

LOCAL FUND AUDIT, GAJAPATI, ODISHA

CATEGORY : Municipality/Municipal Corporation,General

Audit Report No : 396251/AR/2018-2019-GAJAPATI

PARA: 1 TITLE SHEET

1	Name of the Institution :	Paralakhemundi Municipality
2	Year of Accounts under Audit :	2017-2018
3	Name of the Local Authority during the year of A/Cs :	ASHOK KUMAR ROUT,EXECUTIVE OFFICER 01.04.17 TO 31.03.18
	Name of the Local Authority at the time of Audit :	ASHOK KUMAR ROUT,EXECUTIVE OFFICER 01.04.17 TO 31.03.18
4	Duration of Audit :	01-05-2018 To 19-07-2018 (Mandays Consumed :- 45)
5	Name of the Auditors :	HEMANTA KUMAR DEHURY - Lead Auditor(01-05-2018 to 19-07-2018) RANJAN KUMAR BEHERA - Auditor(01-05-2018 to 19-07-2018)
6	Name of the Reviewing Officer :	JEETEN KUMAR MOHANTY(Audit Superintendent)
7	Date of submission of report by Reviewing officer :	12-12-2018
8	Entry Conference Date :	30-04-2018
9	Exit Conference Date :	15-01-2019
10	Name of the District Audit Officer :	MAMATA JAIN
11	Date of approval of report by District Audit Officer :	25-01-2019

PARA: 2 PHYSICAL VERIFICATION

S/no	Items	Date Of Physical verification Before / After Transaction	Physical Balance	Balance As per Cash Book / Stock Register	Reference To The Page No Of Cash Book / Stock Register	Discrepancies If Any
1	Cash in hand	01.5.18	68000.00	68000.00	10 of subsidiary cash Book.	-Nil-
2	ServicePostage Stamps	01.5.18	995.00	995.00	88	-Nil-
3	Miscellaneous Receipt Books	01.5.18	54 Nos	54 Nos	03	-Nil-
4	Appeal form	01.5.18	900	900	87	-Nil-
5	Moving vehicle receipt book	01.5.18	57	57	89	-Nil-
6	Measurement Books	01.5.18	13 Nos	13 Nos	144	-Nil-
7	Cart and Carriage	01.5.18	53	53	55	-Nil-
8	Other receipt books Holding Tax	01.5.18	06	06	27	-Nil-
9	Tin Token	01.5.18	0	0	98	-Nil-
10	Stand Fee of Rs10.00	1.5.18	0	0	99	-NIL-
11	Stand Fee of Rs 5.00	1.5.18	0	0	100	-NIL-
12	Stand Fee of Rs2.00	1.5.18	0	0	101	-NIL-
13	Car festival Rt books of Rs1.00	1.5.18	51	51	93	-NIL-
14	Car festival Rt book of Rs 1.50	1.5.18	62	62	91	-NIL-
15	Mutation Forms	01.5.18	550 Nos	550 Nos	68	-NIL-
16	Building plan approval forms	1.5.18	550 Nos	550 Nos	68	-NIL-
17	Car festival of Rt. book of Rs0.50	1.5.18	49	49	95	-NIL-

Comments

As per Rule 20(a) of Odisha Local fund Audit Rules,1951, the physical verification of liquid cash, saleble forms etc. are conducted on the date of commencement of audit and found that no discrepancy is found in between both closing balance as per physical balance and balance as per stock register /cash book .

The Car Festival, Moving Vehicle , appeal forms and Cart and Carriage receipt books are rolling down for last couple of years together. However these receipt books have been utilized during the year 2017-18 for collection of revenue.

Further As per Rule-128, of Odisha Municipality Rule 1953 the cash book shall be balanced at the close of every month and signed by the executive officer in token of the correctness of every entry made therein. The balance brought out shall be stated both in words and figures and shall be agreed with the balance of the pass books. But the same has not been done by this Municipality. However the E.O. has been advised to follow said Rules to curb Misappropriation/ Embezzlement of cash in future.

PARA: 3 LIST OF VERIFIED RECORDS

A : List Of Verified Records/Register

Sino	List Records/Register	Rules	Form No
1	Measurement Book	Rule 365	Form W-VIII
2	Stock & Store Register of Municipality	Rule 346	Form W-VII
3	Register of Works	Rule 345	Form W-VI
4	Miscellaneous Supply Bill	Rule 343	Form W-V
5	Contract Certificate	Rule 343	Form W-IV
6	Contract Agreement Form	Rule 341	Form W-III
7	Nominal Muster Roll (NMR)	Rule 340	Form W-II
8	Register of Estimates & Allotments	Rule 332	Form W-I
9	Warrant register	Rule 202	Form R
10	Form of inventory & Notice	Rule 203	Form Q
11	Distrain Warrant Register	Rule 202	Form P
12	Notice of demand for tax u/s-161 of OM Act	Rule 202	Form O
13	Progress statement of collection of taxes	Rule 200	Form N
14	Tax collector's Ledger	Rule 198	Form M
15	Stock account of Receipt Forms	Rule 196	Form L
16	Tax collector's daily collection register	Rule 192	Form K
17	Tax Receipt Form	Rule 188	Form I
18	Arrear Demand Register	Rule 187	Form H
19	Mutation Register	Rule 184	Form G
20	Register of Petitions	Rule 183	Form F
21	Form of appeal petition	Rule 183	Form E
22	Demand and Collection Register	Rule 178	Form B
23	Tax Ledger (personal A/C of Tax Payers)	Rule 178	Form B(I)
24	Assessment List	Rule 177	Form A
25	Stock Register of Stationery	Rule 172	Form No. XLIV
26	Stamp Account	Rule 172	Form No. XLIV
27	Stock account of Tickets used for daily collection of Market fees	Rule 171	Form No. XLIII
28	Register of Grants	Rule 80	Form No. XLII
29	Daily Collection Register	Rule 171	Form No. XL
30	Arrear List	Rule 170	Form No. XXXIX
31	Register of Rents for which there is fixed demand	Rule 163	Form No. XXXVI
32	Register of Lands	Rule 160	Form No. XXXV
33	Miscellaneous Receipts	Rule 157	Form No. XXXIV
34	Appropriation Register of Loan Funds	Rule 150	Form No. XXVIII
35	Loan Register	Rule 149	Form No. XXVII
36	Register of Investments	Rule 148	Form No. XXVI
37	Register of Outstanding Advances	Rule 140	Form No. XIX
38	Advance Ledger	Rule 136	Form No. XVIII
39	Register of adjustments	Rule 132	Form No. XVII
40	Cash Book of the municipality	Rule 125	Form No. XIV
41	Voucher of Recoupment of Permanent Advance Account	Rule 110	Form No. XIII
42	Permanent Advance Account	Rule 108	Form No. XII
43	Periodical Increment Certificate	Rule 99	Form No. XI
44	Absentee Statement	Rule 97	Form No. X
45	Salary Bills	Rule 97	Form No. IX
46	Order Book	Rule 96	Form No. VIII
47	Register of Bills	Rule 96	Form No. VII
48	Challan	Rule 87	Form No. VI
49	Subsidiary Cash Book	Rule 128 A	Form No. V-A
50	Cashier's Cash Book	Rule 81	Form No. V
51	Schedule for the Budget Estimate	Rule 77	Form No. III
52	Abstract of the Budget Estimate	Rule 74	Form No. I-A

53	Budget Estimate	Rule 74	Form No. I
----	-----------------	---------	------------

B : List of Records/Registers not Maintained

Sino	List Records/Register	Rules	Form No
1	Register of Distraigned property & sales	Rule 204	Form S
2	Register of Interest Bearing Securities	Rule 147	Form No. XLI
3	Jamabandi Register	Rule 170	Form No. XXXVII
4	Ledger of Lessees	Rule 170	Form No. XXXVIII
5	License Register for Drivers and Owners of Carriages plying for hire	Rule 156	Form No. XXXIII
6	Stock account of License Number Plates	Rule 155	Form No. XXXII
7	Application for License for Carriage, Cart, Horses and Other animals	Rule 152	Form No. XXXI
8	License for Carriages, Carts, Horses Other and animals	Rule 154	Form No. XXX
9	Register of the Tax on Carriages, Carts, Horses and Other animals	Rule 151	Form No. XXIX
10	Establishment Audit Register	Rule 146	Form No. XXV
11	Deposit Ledger	Rule 142	Form No. XX
12	Register of outstanding deposits	Rule 143	Form No. XXI
13	Abstract Register of Receipts	Rule 129	Form No. XV
14	Abstract Register of Expenditure	Rule 129	Form No. XVI
15	Subsidiary account of special taxes	Rule 79	Form No.-IV

C : List of Records/Registers not Produced to Audit

Sino	List Records/Register	Rules	Form No
1	Register of writes off of demands	Rule 190	Form J
2	Annual Account of Receipts and Expenditure	Rule 145	Form No. XXIV
3	Register of Quarterly & Annual account of Receipt	Rule 144	Form No. XXII
4	Register of Quarterly & Annual account of Expenditure	Rule 144	Form No. XXIII

D : List of Records/Registers not Required

Sino	List Records/Register	Rules	Form No
------	-----------------------	-------	---------

Comments

POM No.01 dt.01.05.2018

During the course of audit it is noticed that in spite of objections raised by last and previous audit and objection memo issued vide POM no.1/03-1.05.2018 on production of mandatory records and registers of the accounts such as

(1) Abstract Register of Rceipts Rule 129 in Form No.XV,and (2) Abstract Register of Expenditure Rule 129 in Form No.XVI:-

The local authority stated that said registers will be maintained and produced to next audit. Being said registers are mirror of the financial position of the municipality, due to non maintenance of the same the local authority could not ascertain the actual Receipt and Expenditure occurred in the that particular year by the institution. However the Executive officer is suggested to maintain the same and intimated to audit.

(2)Register of Adjustment Rule-132 in Form No.XVII:-

Due to non maintenance of said register the position of adjustment of advance and the actual position of outstanding advance at the end of financial year could not be ascertained by the local authority. In response to audit objection memo no-01/01.05.2018 the local authority stated that said register will be maintained and produced to next audit. However the Executive officer is suggested to maintain the same meticulously to curb embezzlement of cash and intimate to audit.

(3) Register of Deposit Ledger Rule 142 in Form No. XX:-

A huge amount under Security Deposit has been realized from the development work bills and refunded to the executants during the year under audit. In support of receipt and refund thereof have neither been maintained in Security Deposit Cash Book/ Ledger nor opened separate bank account. As a result of which the position of refundable security deposit amount could not be ascertained by the local authority and the audit. Hence effective steps may be taken by the local authority to maintain the same to refrain repeated refunds and misappropriation of cash and intimate to audit.

(4) Register of Quarterly and Annual Account of Receipt Rule 144 in Form No.XXII, and (6) Register of Quarterly and Annual Account of Expenditure Rule 144 in Form No.XXIII:-

The local authority stated that the said registers will be maintained and produced to next audit. Being said registers are actually the mirror of financial position of the municipality, due to non maintenance of the same the local authority could not ascertain the actual Receipt and Expenditure occurred quarterly and annually in that particular year by the institution. However the Executive officer is suggested to maintain the same and intimate to audit.

During the course of exit conference the local authority stated that necessary steps are to be taken to maintain the mandatory records and registers and to produce before next Audit for verification. However the E.O is suggested to maintain the same and produced before next audit for verification.

PARA: 4 FINANCIAL POSITION

Paralakhemundi Municipality - 2017-2018

S/no	Name of the Cash Book	OB as on Date	Opening Balance(In Rs:)	Receipt during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Total(In Rs:)	Expenditure during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Closing Balance as per Audit (DD MM YYYY)	Closing Balance(In Rs:)(AUDIT)	Closing Balance as per (DD MM YYYY) Cash Book	Closing Balance(In Rs:)(CASH BOOK)	Difference (In Rs:)	Remarks
1	Accountant Cash Book	01-04-2017	2922927 26.75	15196840 6.00	44426113 2.75	15513504 2.74	31-03-2018	2891260 90.01	31-03-2018	2891360 90.01	-10000.00	As Per Last AR NO.210450/AR/2013-14. Difference of Rs 10000.00
	GRAND TOTAL		2922927 26.75	15196840 6.00	44426113 2.75	15513504 2.74		2891260 90.01		2891360 90.01	-10000.00	

Comments

Details of Closing Balance as per cash book as on 31.03.2018:-

I) In Cash= Rs 0.00

II) In Bank= Rs 157086051.01

III) In P.L.A/c.= Rs 131376505.00

IV) TDRs= Rs 673534.00

Total= Rs 289136090.01

4.1. Reconciliation of Audit Closing Balance and Cash Book Closing Balance as on 31.03.2018

(i) Closing Balance as per Accountant Cash Book =Rs. 289136090.01

(ii) Deduct B.D. No.054361/4.03.14 shown receipt (hoarding board) but not deposited in Municipality fund Rs.10000.00.(As per AR.No.210450/AR/14-15)

(iii) Closing Balance as per audit as on 31.03.2018 Rs. 289126090.01

During the exit conference the local authority stated that necessary steps to be taken to reconcile difference amount of Rs.10000.00 into the Municipal Account and same will be produced before next audit. However the E.O is suggested to maintain the error free account henceforth.

4.2:- Non maintenance of Cash book under Double Entry Accrual Based Accounting System (DEABAS)

Here it is mentioned that, adoption of modern accrual based double entry system of accounting is mandatory for all ULB level which is a reformed set by the govt. of India. The state govt. decided to introduce double entry system of accrual based accounting in ULBS across the state during the year 2007. The Odisha Municipal Accounts rules 2012 was notified in July 2012 requiring all municipalities to maintain their books of account on accrual basis under double entry system of book keeping and data based formats Govt. in H &UD Department has instructed all the ULBS to maintain the same w.e.t 01.10.2013. But the same has not yet been practically implemented in this Municipality.

Further it is noticed from the accountant cash book that, the day to day totaling and balancing has not been made. Being the Accountant cash book is a mirror of all types of transactions in the ULBs needs its proper maintenance with accuracy by the Accountant as per Rule-126,Rule-127 and Rule-128 of Odisha Municipality Rule,1953.

Rule-126, the accountant shall write in the receipt side of the cash book (XIV) by transcribing the totals only under the various accounts headings as per cash book, challans, bank deposits etc.

Rule-127, the payment side of cash book shall be posted from the details of vouchers and of the cheques drawn.

Rule-128, the cash book shall be balanced at the close of every month and signed by the executive officer in token of the correctness of every entry made therein. The balance brought out shall be stated both in words and figures and shall be agreed with the balance of the pass books. In response to audit objection memo vide page no.09/dtd.03.05.18, the local authority stated that System Based Account Cash Book will be generated and produced to next audit. The reply of the local authority is not to the point to settle up the objection. The Executive Officer is suggested to maintain the same from 01.04.2018 on wards with intimation to audit.

During the exit conference the local authority assured to adhere the instruction. However the E.O is suggested to ensure it.

Para 4.3.-Annual Budget

1. Section-104:- Presentation and sanction of budgets. At least two months before the close of year, the Chairperson shall present before the municipality a complete account of its probable receipts and expenditure for the following financial year together with the actual of the current year. However the budget for the year 2017-18 was placed on 06.03.2017 (Council Resolution No.02)
2. Section-107:-Sanction of budget estimate. After expiry of 14 days (since presentation before the municipality), the municipality shall sanction the estimate and submit forthwith to the State Government. In the council meeting dated 06.03.17(Council Resolution No.02) the budget for 2017-18 was approved by the municipal council. Vide this office Letter No.2989/18.9.17 the budget for 2017-18 was sent to the Project Director DRDA-cum-DUDA.Gajapati for counter signature and onward submission to Govt. Vide office letter No.2120/DUDA/20.09.17 a copy of the budget for 2017-18 was sent to the commissioner –cum- Director, Municipal Administration, H.& U.D. Deptt. Govt. of Odisha,BBSR. For approval.
3. Section-109:- Provides for approval of the budget estimate by the State Government. However the budget for 2017-18 has been approved by the Govt. of Odisha H&UD Department BBSR vide L.No.2372/HUD/31.01.2018 received by this Municipality.

From the above it can be seen that the period of submission of budget to the higher authority for approval is delayed. The local authority is advised to prepare the budget in time in a realistic manner to avoid any type of deviation of actual receipt and expenditure and send it to Govt. in proper time.

Para 4.4

Liquid Assets & Liabilities for the Year 2017-18.

Assets & Liability on account of the Municipality for the year 2017-18			
Liability	Amount(Rs)	Assets	Amount(Rs)
Unspent Grant	225215821.94	Outstanding Adv	3815544.00
Salary Payble	2770328.00	Closing Balance	289126090.00
Loan	4934743.74	Outstanding Tax	16902654.00
SD/EMD	12474270.00		
Govt Due	733555.00		
Contribution Payble	1802500.00		
Energy Charges Payble	376104.00		
Asset over Liability	72763808.32		
TOTAL	309844288.00		309844288.00

It is ascertained from the above that the financial condition of the municipality is not sound. The taxes and rents should be collected as per the present market rate to enhance the financial condition of this municipality. Also internal source of income should be raised and administrative & other avoidable extravagant expenditure should be curtailed for betterment of the financial condition of this municipality and to reduce the position of liabilities.

During the exit conference the local authority stated that realistic budget estimate would be prepared henceforth. However the E.O is suggested to ensure it.

Para 4.5

Sinking Funds:

As per Sec-III of O.M. Act,1950 Rule 20(d) of OLFA Rules,provision has been made for sinking fund where loan has been incurred by the U.L.B. to clear of the liabilities.Furter as per previous Audit Report a total sum of Rs 4934743.74 has been pending for clear off as on 31.03.2018 U.L.Bs liabilities towards water supply and NSDP loan.No action has been taken so far in this regard.In response to audit objection ,it is replied that no sinking fund is maintained in this municipality.However,E.O. is advised to maintain sinking funds for better prospects of municipality to waive out pending liabilities.

During exit conference the local authority assured to adhere the instruction. However the E.O is suggested to ensure it.

PARA: 5 DETAILS OF CLOSING BALANCE AS PER BANK PASS BOOKS & CASH BOOK BANK BALANCE FIGURE

Paralakhemundi Municipality - 2017-2018

S/no	Name of the Bank	A/C No.	Closing Balance Date As on (dd/mm/yyyy)	Closing Balance in Pass Book(In Rs:) (A)	Closing Balance in Bank Date Cash Book (dd/mm/yyyy)	Closing Balance in Bank as mentioned in Cash Book(In Rs:) (B)	Difference(In Rs:)(A-B)	Remarks
1	All Bank Pass Bokks		01-04-2017	296668151.50	31-03-2018	289136090.01	7532061.49	
	GRAND TOTAL			296668151.50		289136090.01	7532061.49	

Reconciliation

Reconciliation between closing balance of Bank Pass Book and Cash Book as on 31.03.2018

Details of Closing Balance as per Bank Pass Book and Cash Book as on 31.03.2018					
	Name of the Scheme(Bank pass books)	Bank Name	Accounts No	Closing Balance as per pass book as on 31.03.2018	Closing Balance in Bank as mentioned in Cash Book as 31.03.18
1	Miscellaneous	Andhra Bank	43810011005247	Rs. 56,812.00	
2	UIDSSMT (Grant PHD)	Bank of Baroda	23470100002724	Rs. 1,016,560.00	
3	HIV AIDS (Pension Grant)	Bank of Baroda	23470100002735	Rs. 68,117.50	
4	IHSDP (Grant)	Bank of Baroda	23470100002726	Rs. 14,295,376.50	
5	SJSRY	Bank of Baroda	2141	Rs. 23,017.00	
6	SJSRY	Bank of Baroda	23470100002094	Rs. 9,641.00	
7	Performance Insentive (Grant)	ICICI Bank	63801000163	Rs. 1,383,373.00	
8	Kalyan Mandap	ICICI Bank	63801000572	Rs. 4,565,422.00	
9	CC Road (Grant)	Indian Bank	6157046691	Rs. 1,413,235.00	
10	SJSRY	Indian Bank	575325264	Rs. 64,089.00	
11	Special Fund	HDFC Bank	26821450000054	Rs. 2,120,750.00	
12	BRGF	HDFC Bank	50100082188461	Rs. 46,184,243.00	

13	Biju yuava Bahini	HDFC Bank	50100231402601	Rs. 0.00
14	Swachha Bharat Mission	IDBI Bank	181810400012449	Rs. 8,183,175.00
15	Swachha Bharat Mission	Axis Bank	915010031469801	Rs. 822,549.00
16	MBPY	Axis Bank	917010081121647	Rs. 13,161,253.00
17	IGNWPS	Axis Bank	917010081131039	Rs. 0.00
18	IGNDPS	Axis Bank	917010081126655	Rs. 32,471.00
19	IGNOAPS	Axis Bank	917010081137448	Rs. 0.00
20	NFBS	Axis Bank	917010079277523	Rs. 0.00
21	Bhimabhoi B Samarthya	Axis Bank	917010079277536	Rs. 0.00
22	Senior Citizen	Axis Bank	917010079277549	Rs. 0.00
23	Begger	Axis Bank	917010079277552	Rs. 0.00
24	Third Genre	Axis Bank	917010079277510	Rs. 0.00
25		Axis Bank	917010065677252	Rs. 0.00
26	Mid Day Meal	State Bank of India	11304881970	Rs. 3,488,019.10
27	Deduction Accounts (SD,IT,CESS, Vat, Royalty)	State Bank of India	11304879267	Rs. 7,757,178.17
28	NULM	State Bank of India	11304879289	Rs. 5,188,308.10
29	SJSRY Subsidy (Revolving Fund Grant)	State Bank of India	31099394125	Rs. 939,412.00
30	SJSRY Subsidy (Grant)	State Bank of India	11304880433	Rs. 330,653.41
31	High Mast Light Grant	State Bank of India	32780438378	Rs. 312,784.00
32	L F S pension fund	State Bank of India	11304875069	Rs. 483,372.50
33	Non L F S pension fund	State Bank of India	11304875070	Rs. 638.49
34	Current Account(ZA)	State Bank of India	11304876131	Rs. 15,305.50
35	BRGF (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010591644	Rs. 1,635,289.55
36	Capacity Building of BRGF A/c (Salary Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010598059	Rs. 25,807.00

37	Chief Minister's Relief Fund Account (Special Fund)	Union Bank of India	406902010599429	Rs. 10,186.00	
38	IDSMT (Municipality Fund)	Union Bank of India	406902010004431	Rs. 1,723,234.00	
39	Park & Greenary (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010595904	Rs. 256,701.00	
40	Tourisim Grant	Union Bank of India	406902010595903	Rs. 688,825.00	
41	Water Bodies (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010595905	Rs. 1,867,842.00	
42	Octrai Grant	Union Bank of India	406902010598763	Rs. 1,013,726.00	
43	Motor Vehicle Tax (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010598673	Rs. 292,143.00	
44	Election & Cencus	Union Bank of India	406902010004842	Rs. 777,352.56	
45	13th Finance (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010595939	Rs. 23,033,099.88	
46	MLA LAD (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010596817	Rs. 7,060,815.00	
47	MP LAD (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010004954	Rs. 5,778,953.00	
48	FDR/SRC (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010007834	Rs. 2,176,804.00	
49	Municipality Fund	Union Bank of India	406902010004619	Rs. 1,528,399.99	
50	MBPY, IGNOF, ODP (Oldage Pension)	Union Bank of India	406902010591995	Rs. 2,590,449.20	
51	SJSRY (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010003241	Rs. 508,353.35	
52	12th Finance (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010007835	Rs. 41,731.00	
53	Road Development (Grant)	Union Bank of India	406902010592435	Rs. 770,381.00	
54	Maintenance of Road & Bridges(General) & Maintenance of Non - Residential Building (Genaeral) Grant	Union Bank of India	406902010598762	Rs. 645,550.00	
55	Miscellaneous	Union Bank of India	406901010004001	Rs. 263,233.85	
56	Miscellaneous	Union Bank of India	406902010000648	Rs. 13,480.85	
57	Total in Bank Pass Book			Rs. 164,618,112.50	157086051.01
(B)	In PL A/C.				
			Scheme ID-01	66,267,792.12	66,267,792.12
1)	14th FCA		Scheme ID-18	19,122,000.00	19,122,000.00
2)	4th SFC		Scheme ID-27	906,000.00	906,000.00
3)	Creation of Capital Asset		Scheme ID-30	1,898,000.00	1,898,000.00

4)	M.V. Tax		Scheme ID-42	3,579,000.00	3,579,000.00
5)	Octroi Compensation Grant		Scheme ID-45	10,556,113.00	10,556,113.00
6)	Compsation of Arr. Pension		Scheme ID-48	16,812,000.00	16,812,000.00
7)	Hon. TA,DA		Scheme ID-51	63,600.00	63,600.00
8)	Devolution Fund		Scheme ID-54	12,172,000.00	12,172,000.00
	Total in P.L. Pass Book			131,376,505.12	131,376,505.12
C)	TDRs				
1		TDR No.683133		215,764.00	215,764.00
2		TDR No.683134		457,770.00	457,770.00
	Total			673,534.00	673,534.00
		GRANT TOTAL		296,668,151.62	289,136,090.13

During the course of audit, it is observed that the bank position of all bank accounts has not been reflected by the local authority in the Accountant Cash Book vis-a-vis their actual position in the Bank Pass Book as on 31.03.2018 . Basing on last Audit Report and actual bank position of Bank Pass Book ,Non-Reconciliation of Closing Balance of Bank Pass Book and Closing Balance of Cash Book i.e. Rs Rs7532061.49 (296668151.62-289136090.13) is given below.

Closing Balance as per Pass Book as on 31.03.18	Closing Balance as per Cash Book as on 31.03.18	Difference
1) In Bank-Rs 164618112.50	1) In Bank-Rs 157086051.01	Rs7532061.49
2) In P.L.-Rs 131376505.12	2) In P.L.- Rs 131376505.12	
3) FDR-Rs 673534.00	3)FDR- Rs 673534.00	
4) Total-Rs 296668151.62	4) Total- Rs 289136090.13	

During the course of exit conference the local authority assured to work out bank reconciliation statement every month. However the local authority is suggested to ensure it.

The Reconciliation figure between the cash book and bank pass book along with its cause and consequence relates to the year under audit is furnished below.

01)	Closing balance as per cash book			289136090.01
02)	Add. Difference between Closing Balance of Bank Pass Book and Cash Book as on 31.03.14			(+)6833906.49
	Chques issued in the Year 2017-18 but not encashed till 31.03.18			
	Vr No/Date	Cheque No/Dt..	Amount	Date of encashment.
	762/26.3.18	693722/26.03.18	143795.00	Not encashed.
	765/31.03.18	005483/31.03.18	2964.00	5.4.18
	766/31.03.18	005484/31.03.18	41396.00	12.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396872/30.3.18	10000.00	16.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396873/30.3.18	10000.00	16.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396883/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396892/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396876/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396878/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396869/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396874/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396838/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396888/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396903/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396898/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396882/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396884/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18
	764/30.3.18	396887/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18

764/30.3.18	396836/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396867/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396835/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396875/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396891/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396893/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396885/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396847/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396866/30.3.18	10000.00	17.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396870/30.3.18	10000.00	18.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396879/30.3.18	10000.00	18.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396881/30.3.18	10000.00	18.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396894/30.3.18	10000.00	18.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396890/30.3.18	10000.00	18.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396880/30.3.18	10000.00	19.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396846/30.3.18	10000.00	19.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396839/30.3.18	10000.00	19.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396842/30.3.18	10000.00	19.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396827/30.3.18	10000.00	20.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396899/30.3.18	10000.00	20.4.18	
764/30.3.18	396868/30.3.18	10000.00	20.4.18	
	396824/30.3.18	10000.00	21.4.18	
	396897/30.3.18	10000.00	21.4.18	
	396904/30.3.18	10000.00	23.4.18	
	396895/30.3.18	10000.00	23.4.18	
	396896/30.3.18	10000.00	23.4.18	
	396900/30.3.18	10000.00	23.4.18	
	396901/30.3.18	10000.00	24.4.18	
	396871/30.3.18	10000.00	24.4.18	
	396889/30.3.18	10000.00	24.4.18	
	396886/30.3.18	10000.00	25.4.18	
	396831/30.3.18	10000.00	26.4.18	
	396830/30.3.18	10000.00	26.4.18	
	396845/30.3.18	10000.00	5.5.18	
	396843/30.3.18	10000.00	7.5.18	
	396844/30.3.18	10000.00	7.5.18	
Total		698155.00		

Grand Total **Rs 296668151.49**

It was observed that no where in the cash book the said difference of Rs.6833906.49 between pass book and cash book has been reconciled.

As per H&UD Dept. L. No. dated of Govt. of Odisha BBSR the reconciliation of cash book with pass book should be made in the 1st week of every month and a certificate duly countersigned by the Executive Officer should be recorded the cash books. But in contravention of above Govt. order neither Bank Reconciliation has been made nor the certificate to that effect has been recorded in the Cash Books.

On issue of audit objection memo no-05/14.05.2018 the Local Authority replied that it will be reconciled henceforth. However it may immediately be reconciled and should be submitted to next audit for verification.

5.2. LOSS OF INTEREST DUE TO NON ADOPTION OF FLEXI ACCOUNT:-

Government in Finance Department vide its Lr. No.35425(42)F Dt. 12.10.12 instructed all departments to invest of scheme funds kept in bank account by implementing agencies to state and centrally sponsored bank schemes Above a threshold limit in fixed deposits through flexi account. These accounts provide the liquidity associated with saving account along with higher returns of Fixed Deposits on surplus funds. So that higher interest accruals from the scheme funds can be earned back to expand the coverage of the scheme without affecting fund flow.Hence the attention of the E.O of this Municipality is drawn in the matter to adopt this procedure hence forth

During the course of exit conference the local authority agreed to maintain the flexi account of difference schemes during 2018-19. However the local authority is suggested to ensure it.

5.2.1. Parking of Municipality fund in ineligible Banks:-

As per instruction of Finance Department Lr.No.15984/F dt.30.05.16(DLFA Lr.No.6119/dt.17.05.2017) parking of Municipality fund in 11(Eleven) Public Sector Banks (1.SBI,2.Indian Overseas Bank,3.UCO Bank,4.Bank of Baroda,5.Union Bank of India,6.Bank of India,7.Indian Bank,8.United Bank of India,9.Canara

Bank,10.Allahabad Bank,11.Andra Bank) ,2(Two)Regional Rural Banks (1.UGB,2.Odisha Gramya Bank),1.(one) Co-Operative Bank (Odisha State Co-Operative Bank) and 1.(one) Private Sector Banks (HDFC) are eligible to handle the business and deposits for the financial year 2017-18. But It would be seen from the above bank accounts that in violation of said govt instructions a huge amount of Govt. Grants have been kept in the ineligible Banks such as ICICI Pkd, IDBI Pkd, and Axis Bank Pkd During the year 2017-18. It should be switch over to the eligible banks.

Name of ineligible Bank	Account No.	Amount(Rs)	Scheme
ICICI Bank,PKD	63801000163	1383373.00	Performance Incentive Grant
ICICI Bank,PKD	63801000572	4565422.00	Kalyan Mandap
IDBI,PKD	400012449	8183175.00	SBM
AXIS Bank,PKD	81121647	13161253.00	MBPY
AXIS Bank,PKD	81126655	32471.00	IGNDP
Total		27325694.00	

During exit conference the local authority assured to withdraw the balance amount from ineligible banks during 2018-19. However the local authority is suggested to ensure it.

PARA: 6 STOCK POSITION

Paralakhemundi Municipality - 2017-2018

S/no	Material/ Item	Opening Balance	Receipt	Issued	Closing Balance As per Audit	As per stock register	Remarks
1	MDM Rice,In Qutl	37.523	0	0	37.52	37.523	As per AR No-296493 AR 2017-18 Gajapati

Comments

6.1.Physical verification report of major items:- The physical verification report regarding present stock position of major items like computer printer, scanner, Xerox machine, A.C., Vehicle, Steel Almirah different types of Chairs and tables etc. The local authority produced only the Stock Register to Audit. But It is ascertained by audit that the said register has not been properly maintained.

Any way basing on the records produced by the local authority, the Audit framed a matrix & furnished below. Hence the present Executive Officer was asked to conduct physical verification of major items like computer, printer, scanner, Xerox machine, A.C, Fan, Vehicle, Steel Almirah, Chairs and tables and any other articles available inside this municipality and to produce the report regarding present position of the stocks for verification.But no such report furnished by E.O.

List of Asset as per Stock Register produced by the local authority.

SI No	Items	Opening Balance	Purchase	Issue	Total (Closing Balance)
1	Iron Almirah	21	0	0	21
2	Wooden Almirah	12	0	0	12
3	Iron Table	16	0	0	16
4	Wooden Table	11	0	0	11
5	S.Chair	14	0	0	14
6	Pl.Chair	47	0	0	47
7	Iron Self	04	0	0	04
8	Computer	14	0	0	14
9	Computer Table	10	0	0	10
10	Computer Chair	03	0	0	03
11	Wooden Chair	02	0	0	02
12	Official Chair	06	0	0	06
13	LED TV	0	01	01	01
14	Biometric Machine	01	0	0	01
15	CC Camera	08	0	0	08
16	Xerox Machine	01	0	0	01
17	Printer	14	0	0	14
18	Colour Printer	01	0	0	01

On issue of audit objection statement memo no.14/26.05.2018 the local authority replied that, the instruction given by Audit will be followed. Hence it is suggested to conduct physical verification once a year for each kind of articles and recorded. All discrepancies noticed must be proper investigated and brought to account immediately so that inventory may represent a true account. The result of physical verification is duly certify by the competent authority and produced to next audit.

During the course of exit conference the local authority assured to maintain the dead stock register as per OGFR with regular physical verification. However the E.O is suggested to maintain the same and compliance reported.

Details of Stock and store position of electrical goods as on 31.03.2018 is detailed below.

SL No.	Electrical Equipment	OB(no.)	Received	Total	Issued	CB	SRP.
1)	T5 24Watt Lamp.	0	1100	1100	730	370	37
2)	T5 Electronic Choke	180	400	580	300	280	77
3)	Tube Light Starter(40W.)	50	20	70	02	68	91
4)	Service wire 4mm(Katta)	03	04	07	07	0	107
5)	Service wire 2.5mm(Katta)	0	4	4	4	0	105
6)	SV Lamp Holder	23	30	53	16	37	121
7)	SV Lamp (250	25	210	235	114	121	143

	Watt.)						
8)	SV Lamp (400 Watt.)	0	40	40	30	10	145
9)	SV Lamp choke (250 watt)	02	185	187	51	134	171
10)	SV choke (400 watt)	0	40	40	30	10	181
11)	SV Lamp igniter (250 watt)	30	210	240	95	145	195
12)	SV Lamp Capacitor	50	50	100	0	100	219
13)	Tube light(40watt)	0	50	50	13	37	321
14)	Tube light holder (40watt.)	0	20	20	04	16	335
15)							

6.1.1 Non account of the replaced non perishable electrical accessories in the stock register.

As thousands of electrical accessories are being issued & transactions in this head are being made in the Stock Register, so there must be a good number of defunct electrical accessories would be coming under warranty & guaranty period which could be returned to the company. But the same has not been done at all during the whole year 2017-18 which is a great loss. Then the non perishable items years together costs a lot which can be auctioned. But the same has not been done at all as per the verbal conversation with the Municipality staff. That is also a loss.

So the local authority is advised to be aware of it & instruct his official to take account of the non perishable electrical appliance to stock & store to save the Govt fund.

6.1.2 Production of Rice Stock Register:-

During the course of audit it is ascertained from the last and previous audit report that 37.52305 qtl of Rice has been kept unutilized till the date. As per Rule 106(iii) of OGFR, the stock and stores should be checked by the competent authority once a year and a certificate of the result of check to be recorded.

In response to audit objection memo issued vide page no.the reply of the local authority was not new but the same & stated that, the stock has been handed over to Sri P.Kansu, Edn. Sr.Clerk Gajapati. The reply of the local authority is not to the point to settle up the objection. Neither the stock register of the said MDM nor utilization thereof could be made available to audit for verification. Hence as per DLFA Letter No.7723/29.09.2016 the objection stands in its own merit.The details of which is dealt in last audit report no.296493/AR/2017-18 for the year 2016-17.

PARA: 7 INVESTMENT

Paralakhemundi Municipality - 2017-2018

S/no	Opening Balance of Investment as on (DD MM YYYY)	Opening Balance(In Rs:)	Amount Encashed during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Total(In Rs:)	Amount Invested during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Closing Balance as per (DD MM YYYY) Audit	Closing Balance Audit(In Rs:)	Closing Balance as per (DD MM YYYY) Investment Ledger	Closing Balance Investment Ledger(In Rs:)	Difference(In Rs:)	Remarks
1	01-04-2017	673534.00	0.00	673534.00	0.00	31-03-2018	673534.00	31-03-2018	673534.00	0.00	
	GRAND TOTAL	673534.00	0.00	673534.00	0.00		673534.00		673534.00	0.00	

DETAILS OF CB ON INVESTMENT & Comments :

Section 115 of the Orissa Municipal Act, 1950 provides that the municipality may invest any money not required for immediate expense, either in Govt. Security or in any other form with approval of the State Govt. It is noticed during the course of audit that no amount has been invested by this municipal council in any bank or in Govt. security during the financial year 2017-18.

SL NO	FDR No.	Name Of Bank	Date of Investment	Amount of Investment	Rate of Interest	Date of Maturity	Matured Value
1)	683133/11305139107	SBI,PKD	05.4.16	215764.00	7%	05.4.19	265700.00
2)	683134/11305139118	SBI,PKD	03.4.16	457770.00	7%	03.4.19	563716.00
	Total			673534.00			829416.00

Non-maintenance of Investment Register:

As per Rule 148 of the Odisha Municipal Rules, 1953 a register of Government and other securities held by the municipality should be maintained. But no such register maintained by this municipality. On issue of audit objection statement vide memo No.28/ dated 8.05.18 the local authority replied that this register has not been maintained since long. The local authority is suggested to maintain the same and produced to next audit.

PARA: 8 ADVANCE

Paralakhemundi Municipality - 2017-2018

S/no	Advance Outstanding as on (DD MM YYYY)	Cashbook Name	Advance Outstanding (In Rs:)	Advance Paid during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Total(In Rs:)	Advance adjusted during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Advance Outstanding as per (DD MM YYYY) Audit	Advance Outstanding Audit (In Rs:)	Advance Outstanding as per (DD MM YYYY) Cash Book	Advance Outstanding Cash Book(In Rs:)	Difference (In Rs:)	Remarks
1	01-04-2017	Accountant Cash Book	3687544.00	348000.00	4035544.00	220000.00	31-03-2018	3815544.00	31-03-2018	3815544.00	0.00	
	GRAND TOTAL		3687544.00	348000.00	4035544.00	220000.00		3815544.00		3815544.00	0.00	

Comments :

Comments on Advance

As per provision of SR 509 of Orissa Treasure Code (Vol-I) and Rule 136 to 140 of OM Rules,1953 and instruction of the Finance Department, advance granted to contractors and individuals for departmental and allied purposes were required to be promptly adjusted within one month from the date of disbursement of advance by submitting detailed accounts and refunding balances,if any. An advance ledger is to be maintained in each ULB recording person wise separate accounts of such advance as the same is to be balanced and signed by the Executive Officer on quarterly basis. The drawing and disbursing Officer is responsible for any deviation of the same. Rule 14 of OGFR provides that every officer whose duties to render accounts on returns in respect of public money is responsible for their completeness and strict accuracy. According to the govt. Instructions, each item of outstanding advances as appearing in the Cash Book of the DDO is to be analyzed and get adjusted within one month as delay in rendering detailed accounts may lead to misappropriation of funds.

The details of Outstanding Advance relates to the Year 2017-18(During theyear) has been furnished below.

Details of Outstanding Advance relates to the Year 2017-18						
SL No	Name of Adv. Holder with Degsn.	Vr No/Date	Scheme	Amount	Purpose	Name of Sanctioning Authority
	N. Simanchal.Jamadar	43/17.4.17	Own Fund	10000.00	Purchase of broom	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	T. HariBabu,Driver	241/1.8.17	Own Fund	11000.00	Purchase of Blaching powder	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	T. HariBabu,Driver	391/13.10.17	Own Fund	75000.00	Purchase of hand made Trolley	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	T. HariBabu,Driver	470/18.11.17	Own Fund	12000.00	Purchase of Blaching powder	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	Ghanasyam Behera,OTS	480/20.11.17	Own Fund	15000.00	Purchase ofstationary product.	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	Bansidhar Pattanaik,Peon	541/22.12.17	Own Fund	100000.00	For medical expense	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	Bansidhar Pattanaik,Peon	557/29.12.17	Own Fund	50000.00	For medical expense	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	Sri Badakrushna, Sweeper	585/5.1.18	Own Fund	25000.00	Purchase of sanitart material	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	Ghanasyam Behera,OTS	622/20.1.18	Own Fund	20000.00	Celebration of Rebulic day	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.
	Total			318000.00		

Details of total Outstanding Advance as on 31.03.18 of the year under audit.

SL NO	Name & Designation of Advance Holder	Vr No./Date	Amount of Advance Outstanding		Pupose of Advance	Name of Sanctioning Authority
			For more than One Year	Less than one Year		

1	Prior to 2013-14	Not mentioned	2590095.00	0.00		
	Total		2590095.00	0.00		
1	Sri Biswajit Dash,Jr Asst.	57/13-14	19449.00	0.00	ULB Election	Sri Bibhuti Bhusan Panda,Ex E.O.
2	Sub Collector,PKD	397/13-14	10000.00	0.00	General Election	Sri Bibhuti Bhusan Panda,Ex E.O.
3	A. Dugra Prasada,I/C Cashier	473/13-14	210910.00	0.00	ULB Election	Sri Bibhuti Bhusan Panda,Ex E.O.
4	Sri Biswajit Dash,Jr Asst.	474/13-14	90350.00	0.00	ULB Election	Sri Bibhuti Bhusan Panda,Ex E.O.
5	A. Dugra Prasada,I/C Cashier	897/13-14	34155.00	0.00	ULB Election	Sri Bibhuti Bhusan Panda,Ex E.O.
	Total		364864.00	0.00		
1	Sri N. Simanchal,Jamadar	162/24.6.2014	10000.00	0.00	Purchase of Sanitary Material	Sri Duryadhan Sahu, I/C. E.O.
2	Sri Suresh Kumar Panigrahi,OTS	393/11.10.2014	45400.00	0.00	Food Assistance for HUDHUD cyclone affected people.	Pabitra Mohan Kanungo,I/C. E.O.
3	Sri Srikant Kumar Nayak,Advocate	225/25.7.14	7500.00	0.00	W.P.C. Lt No.-18474/2013	Sri Duryadhan Sahu, I/C. E.O.
4	Sri Srikant Kumar Nayak,Advocate	310/3.9.14	15000.00	0.00	W.P.C. Lt No.-20166 etc.	Sri Duryadhan Sahu, I/C. E.O.
5	Sri Srikant Kumar Nayak,Advocate	392/29.9.14	5000.00	0.00	W.P.C. Lt No.-16757	Pabitra Mohan Kanungo,I/C. E.O.
	Total		82900.00	0.00		
1	Ghanashyam Behera,OTS	456/2015-16	20000.00	0.00	Wall Painting	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu,Ex-E.O.
2	Ghanashyam Behera,OTS	520/15-16	20000.00	0.00	Wall Painting	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu,Ex-E.O.
3	Sri Srikant Kumar Nayak,Advocate	157/15-16	5000.00	0.00	Legal Fee	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu,Ex-E.O.
4	Sri Srikant Kumar Nayak,Advocate	260/15-16	15000.00	0.00	Legal Fee	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu,Ex-E.O.
5	Sri Srikant Kumar Nayak,Advocate	899/15-16	5000.00	0.00	Legal Fee	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu,Ex-E.O.
6	Sri Suresh Kumar Panigrahi,OTS	238/15-16	28000.00	0.00	Car Festival	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu,Ex-E.O.
7	Sri Suresh Kumar Panigrahi,OTS	651/15-16	20000.00	0.00	Distribution of HSY	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu,Ex-E.O.
8	Sri Suresh Kumar Panigrahi,OTS	896/15-16	50000.00	0.00	Distribution of HSY	Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O..

9	Rajesha Kumar Bebatra, Peon	325/15-16	30000.00	0.00	Purchase of Furniture	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu, Ex-E.O.
10	T. Hari Babu, Driver	696/15-16	45000.00	0.00	Purchase of Bleaching Powder	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu, Ex-E.O.
11	Smt. Rasmita Nayak, C.O.	648/15-16	20000.00	0.00	NULM	Sri Purna Chandra Sahu, Ex-E.O.
12	Sri Kasinatha Das, Advocate	898/15-16	3185.00	0.00	Legal Charge	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
	Total		261185.00	0.00		
1	Ram Prasad Jena, Jamadar	94/11.5.16	20000.00	0.00	Purchase of Stationary	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
2	P. Durga Rao, Driver	120/26.5.16	6000.00	0.00	Repair of Tractor	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
3	Sri Suresh Kumar Panigrahi, OTS	122/26.5.16	26000.00	0.00	Distribution of HSY	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
4	T. Hari Babu, Driver	214/2.7.16	12000.00	0.00	Purchase of Bleaching Powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
5	Sri Pradeep Kumar Lima, Jamadar	309/6.8.16	9500.00	0.00	Purchase of Spay Machine	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
6	T. Hari Babu, Driver	440/28.9.16	12000.00		Purchase of Bleaching Powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
7	P. Durga Rao, Driver	498/4.11.16	10000.00	0.00	Registration of Cess Poll	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
8	Ram Prasad Jena, Jamadar	527/15.11.16	15000.00	0.00	Purchase of Sanitary Material	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
9	T. Hari Babu, Driver	594/23.12.16	40000.00	0.00	Hiring of JCB for Encroaching	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
10	Sri Srikant Kumar Nayak, Advocate	667/21.01.17	30000.00	0.00	Legal Charges	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
	Sri N. Simanchal, Jamadar	752/6.03.17	6000.00	0.00	Sanitary Material	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
12	T. Hari Babu, Driver	778/14.03.17	12000.00	0.00	Purchase of Bleaching Powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
	Total		198500.00	0.00		
1	N. Simanchal, Jamadar	43/17.4.17	0.00	10000.00	Purchase of broom	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
2	T. Hari Babu, Driver	241/1.8.17	0.00	11000.00	Purchase of Blaching powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
3	T. Hari Babu, Driver	391/13.10.17	0.00	75000.00	Purchase of hand made Trolley	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
4	T. Hari Babu, Driver	470/18.11.17	0.00	12000.00	Purchase of Blaching powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
5	Ghanashyam Behera, OTS	480/20.11.17	0.00	15000.00	Purchase of stationary product.	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
6	Bansidhar Pattanaik, Peon	541/22.12.17	0.00	100000.00	For medical Expense	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
7	Bansidhar	557/29.12.17	0.00	50000.00	For medical Expense	Ashok Kumar

	Pattanaik, Peon					Rout, E.O
8	Sri Badakrushna, Sweeper	585/5.1.18		25000.00	Purchase of sanitart material	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O
9	Ghanasyam Behera, OTS	622/20.1.18	0.00	20000.00	Celebration of Rebulic day	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O
	Total		0.00	318000.00		
	Grand Total (Rs 3815544.00)		3497544.00	318000.00		

During the exit conference the local authority stated that the advance has been rolling since long. However steps will be taken to recoup the advance at an early date. However the E.O is advised to take suitable steps to recoup the huge advance as soon as possible.

Advance adjusted during the year under audit of Paralakhemundi ULB for the year 2017-18:-

SL NO	Name & Designation of Advance Holder	Ref. to Vr No./Date/Year of Adv. Payment	Amount of Adv. Paid	Ref. to Vr No./Date/Year of Adjustment	Amount of Adv. Adjustment	Name of Scheme
1	Ghanasyam Behera, OTS	590/20.12.16/16-17	20000.00	125/02.6.17/17-18	20000.00	Own Fund
2	Ghanasyam Behera, OTS	374/22.8.16/16-17	50000.00	126/2.6.17/17-18	50000.00	Own Fund
3	Ghanasyam Behera, OTS	662/24.1.17/16-17	20000.00	171/22.6.17/17-18	20000.00	Own Fund
4	Sri Gangadhar Khanja, OTS	471/1.10.15/15-16	26000.00	282/17.08.17/17-18	26000.00	Own Fund
5	Ghanasyam Behera, OTS	122/8.5.15/15-16	10000.00	283/17.8.17/17-18	10000.00	Own Fund
6	Ghanasyam Behera, OTS	192/7.7.17/17-18	15000.00	387/12.10.17/17-18	15000.00	Own Fund
7	P. Durga Rao, Driver	493/4.11.16/16-17	60000.00	409/31.10.17/17-18	60000.00	Own Fund
8	T. Hari Babu, Driver	116/23.5.16/16-17	4000.00	410/31.10.17/17-18	4000.00	Own Fund
9	Ghanasyam Behera, OTS	138/8.6.17/17-18	15000.00	687/23.2.18/17-18	15000.00	Own Fund
10	Total		220000.00		220000.00	

Year –wise-break-up of Outstanding Advance as on 31.03.2018 is given below.

Details of Year –wise-break-up of Outstanding Advance as on 31.03.2018	
1995-96	487421.00
1996-97	500.00
1997-98	202540.00
1998-99	569000.00
1999-2000	16520.00
2000-01	16449.00
2001-02	515235.00
2002-03	86410.00
2003-04	6839.00
2004-05	34756.00
2005-06	28120.00
2006-07	25854.00

2007-08	186005.00
2008-09	141375.00
2009-10	12200.00
2010-11	38100.00
2011-12	145271.00
2012-13	77500.00
2013-14	364864.00
2014-15	82900.00
2015-16	261185.00
2016-17	198500.00
2017-18	318000.00
Total	3815544.00

Non-adjustment of advance paid during the year 2016-17 (Un-secured Advance)ULB A/C.(More than one year)

During the scrutiny of Accountant cash Book w.r.t. paid vouchers, it was noticed that an amount of Rs 198500.00 out of Rs 352500.00 in the year 2016-17 has not been adjusted till 31.03.18. As per FN Letter No.-2221/dt.08.03.2002 any advance that remains un-adjusted for more than one year without any valid reason is unsecured and also treated as loss of Govt. fund. Further as per Letter No.15179/DLFA dtd.28.09.2013 in case of advance that remains un-adjusted for more than one year which is irrecoverable both sanctioning authority and advance holder should be held equal responsible. On the strength of Govt. orders an amount of Rs 198500.00 needs recovery from the officials as noted against each in the table furnished below.

Details of Outstanding Advance relates to the Year 2016-17.					
SL NO	Vr No./Date	Name of Payee with designation	Amount(Rs)	Purpose	Name of Sanctioning authority
1)	94/11.5.16	Ram Prasad Jena, Jamadar	20000.00	Purchase of stationary	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
2)	120/26.5.16	Sri T. Hari Babu, Driver	6000.00	Repair of Tractor	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
3)	122/26.5.16	Sri Suresha Chandra Panigrahi, OTS	26000.00	Distribution of HSY	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
4)	214/2.7.16	Sri T. Hari Babu, Driver	12000.00	Purchase of bleaching Powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
5)	309/6.8.16	Sri Pradeep Kumar Lima, Jamadar	9500.00	Purchase of Spray machine	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
6)	440/28.9.16	Sri T. Hari Babu, Driver	12000.00	Purchase of bleaching Powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
7)	498/4.11.16	Sri P. Durga Rao, Jamadar	10000.00	Registration of Cess	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
8)	527/15.11.16	Ram Prasad Jena, Jamadar	15000.00	Purchase of sanitary material	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
9)	594/23.12.16	Sri T. Hari Babu, Driver	40000.00	Hiring of JCB for incroachment	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
10)	667/21.01.17	Srikanta Kumar Nayak, Advocate	30000.00	Legal Charges	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
11)	752/6.3.17	Sri N. Simanchal, Jamadar	6000.00	Purchase of sanitary material	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
12)	778/14.03.17	Sri T. Hari Babu, Driver	12000.00	Purchase of bleaching Powder	Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.
Total			198500.00		

In response to audit objection memo no.54/27.6.18, the local authority did not produce any supporting adjustment vouchers against said outstanding advance (more than one year) till closure of audit and replies that un-adjusted advances for more than one year will be adjusted soon and produce to next audit. The following officials are held responsible for such non-adjustment of Rs 198000.00, relevant to the year 2016-17 which is more than one year

During the exit conference the local authority stated that steps will be taken to recoup the advance at an early date. However the local authority is advised to take suitable steps to recoup the outstanding advance as soon as possible.

1) Asok Kumar Rout, E.O. = Rs 114250.00

2) T. Hari Babu, Driver = Rs 41000.00

3) Suresh Ch. Panigrahi, O.T.S. = Rs 13000.00

4) Pradeep Kumar Lima, Jamadar = Rs 4750.00

5) P. Durga Rao, Driver = Rs 5000.00

6) N. Simanchal, zamadar = Rs 3000.00

7) Ram Prasad Jena,Zamadar=Rs 17500.00

Total=Rs 198500.00

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Sno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs.)
1	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	114250
2	Ram Prasad Jena,Jamadar	Jamadar	Now at-Paralakhemundi municipality Dist-Gajapati	17500
3	Suresh Ch. Panigrahi	O.T.S.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Dist-Gajapati	13000
4	Pradeep kumar Lima	Jamadar	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	4750
5	T. Hari Babu	Driver	Now at-Paralakhemundi municipal Dist-Gajapati	41000
6	P. Durga Rao	Driver	Now at-Paralakhemundi municipal Dist-Gajapati	5000
7	N. Simanchal	Jamadar	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	3000

PARA: 9 GRANTS

Paralakhemundi Municipality - 2017-2018

S/no	Grants Outstanding as on (DD MM YYYY)	Grants Outstanding (In Rs:)	Grants Received during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Total(In Rs:)	Grants Spent during the Year under Audit(In Rs:)	Grants unspent as on (DD MM YYYY)	Grants unspent (In Rs:)	Remarks
1	01-04-2017	236888600.94	127400250.00	364288850.94	139073029.00	31-03-2018	225215821.94	
	GRAND TOTAL	236888600.94	127400250.00	364288850.94	139073029.00		225215821.94	

Comments :

9.1

The Statement showing the details of Grant received and utilized during the Year 2017-18 is furnished below.

Statement and Quotation; Vide para No.-9 of AR							
Statement showing the details of Grant received and utilized during the Year 2017-18							
SL No	Pupose of Grant	G.O. No./Deptt/ Date	OB as on 01.4.17	Grant received during the Year 2017-18	Total	Grant utilized during the year 2017-18	Unspent Grant as on 31.03.18
(i)	Recurring the Grant						
	Octroi Compensation	4216/HUD/23.02.17	167413.00	0.00	167413.00	0.00	167413.00
1)		7517/HUD/30.03.17	240000.00	0.00	240000.00	0.00	240000.00
		13722/HUD/19.6.17	0.00	18228000.00	18228000.00	18228000.00	0.00
		30385/HUD/23.12.17	0.00	9078000.00	9078000.00	9078000.00	0.00
		5214/HUD/23.2.18	0.00	9077000.00	9077000.00	6846664.00	2230336.00
	Total		407413.00	36383000.00	36790413.00	34152664.00	2637749.00
2)	Maint. of Road & Bridge (N)		131499.00	0.00	131499.00	131499.00	0.00
	Maint. of Road & Bridge (Smashans)	3488/HUD/06.2.18	0.00	2411000.00	2411000.00	2411000.00	0.00
		964/HUD/18.01.17	2411000.00	0.00	2411000.00	2411000.00	0.00
	Road Maintenance (HC)		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Road Devolpment		-543567.00	0.00	-543567.00	0.00	-543567.00
	Total		1998932.00	2411000.00	4409932.00	4953499.00	-543567.00
	Total(1+2)		2406345.00	38794000.00	41200345.00	39106163.00	2094182
(ii)	Non-Recurring						
	Imp. Of Ubran Road & Bridge		1560516.00	0.00	1560516.00	0.00	1560516.00
	Non-Residential Building	4127/HUD/18.02.16	813019.00	0.00	813019.00	536110.00	276909.00
		979/HUD/13.01.17	474000.00	0.00	474000.00	0.00	474000.00
		3498/HUD/06.02.18	0.00	474000.00	474000.00	0.00	474000.00
	Entertainment Tax		70000.00	0.00	70000.00	0.00	70000.00

	Performance Incentives	29458/HUD/16.22.15	3673270.00	0.00	3673270.00	0.00	3673270.00
	Electrical & Lighting		941000.00	0.00	941000.00	0.00	941000.00
	Protection & Conservation of Water		1355817.00	0.00	1355817.00	0.00	1355817.00
	MLA LAD		3546218.00	0.00	3546218.00	544199.00	3002019.00
		202/DPMU/13.06.17	0.00	500000.00	500000.00	0.00	500000.00
		193/DPMU/21.03.18	0.00	5685000.00	5685000.00	0.00	5685000.00
	MP LAD		1932522.00	500000.00	2432522.00	680987.00	1751535.00
	BRGF		48286346.55	0.00	48286346.55	14690279.00	33596067.55
	Salary for MIS & Accountant		-121500.00	0.00	-121500.00	0.00	-121500.00
	13 th FCA		31213088.00	0.00	31213088.00	7042133.00	24170955.00
	14th FCA	32239/HUD/16.12.15	6062000.00	0.00	6062000.00	6062000.00	0.00
		19460/HUD/03.8.15	6038000.00	0.00	6038000.00	6038000.00	0.00
		18473/HUD/16.8.17	0.00	9613000.00	9613000.00	884215.00	8728785.00
		30505/HUD/12.12.17	0.00	9509000.00	9509000.00	0.00	9509000.00
	4th SFC	5238/HUD/25.02.16	27885.00	0.00	27885.00	27885.00	0.00
		26534/HUD/11.11.16	960000.00	0.00	960000.00	960000.00	0.00
		26542/HUD/11.11.16	457000.00	0.00	457000.00	457000.00	0.00
		2779/HUD/06.2.17	960000.00	0.00	960000.00	486114.00	473886.00
		3270/HUD/10.2.17	458000.00	0.00	458000.00	0.00	458000.00
	SJSRY		1617804.76	0.00	1617804.76	0.00	1617804.76
	TOTAL		110324986.31	26281000.00	136605986.31	38408922.00	98197064.31
	NULM (Central Share)	1982/SUDA/13.09.17	0.00	383400.00	383400.00	302400.00	81000.00
	SUH	1506/SUDA/14.7.17	0.00	1600000.00	1600000.00	0.00	1600000.00
	SM & ID	11468/SUDA/11.05.16	8000.00	0.00	8000.00	0.00	8000.00
		2472(39)/SUDA/02.11.17	0.00	240000.00	240000.00	240000.00	0.00
		1366(12)/SUDA/27.6.17	0.00	66000.00	66000.00	66000.00	0.00
	CB& T	11468/SUDA/11.05.16	22600.00	0.00	22600.00	0.00	22600.00
	EST & P	11468/SUDA/11.05.16	426756.00	0.00	426756.00	0.00	426756.00
	NSDC		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total		457356.00	2289400.00	2746756.00	608400.00	2138356.00
	NULM (State Share)	1366(12)/SUDA/27.6.17	0.00	44000.00	44000.00	44000.00	0.00
	SM & ID	2475(13)/SUDA/02.11.17	0.00	160000.00	160000.00	160000.00	0.00
		11707/SUDA/7.6.16	8900.00	0.00	8900.00	0.00	8900.00
	CB& T	11707/SUDA/7.6.16	18000.00	0.00	18000.00	0.00	18000.00
		1981/SUDA/13.9.17	0.00	255600.00	255600.00	0.00	255600.00
	EST & P	11707/SUDA/7.6.16	1095086.00	0.00	1095086.00	0.00	1095086.00
	NSDC		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

			1121986.00	459600.00	1581586.00	204000.00	1377586.00
	IHSDP		6182237.00	0.00	6182237.00	10591941.00	-4409704.00
	Repair of Drain		-8064.16	0.00	-8064.16	0.00	-8064.16
	Environment of Devlp. Slums		3470600.00	0.00	3470600.00	0.00	3470600.00
	TRW Grants		11159.62	0.00	11159.62	0.00	11159.62
	Town planning		1674.17	0.00	1674.17	0.00	1674.17
	UIDSSMT		-84190.00	0.00	-84190.00	0.00	-84190.00
	12th FCA		2900104.00	0.00	2900104.00	0.00	2900104.00
	Const. of Passenger Shed		12000.00	0.00	12000.00	0.00	12000.00
	IDSMT		2021559.00	0.00	2021559.00	0.00	2021559.00
	Const. of Sulabha Souchalaya		324194.00	0.00	324194.00	0.00	324194.00
	Public Toilet	19161/HUD/31.07.15	1114007.00	0.00	1114007.00	0.00	1114007.00
	NSDP		28404.00	0.00	28404.00	0.00	28404.00
	Total		15973684.63	0.00	15973684.63	10591941.00	5381743.63
	MV Tax		1495010.00	0.00	1495010.00	1495010.00	0.00
		20033/HUD/07.8.15	1487000.00	0.00	1487000.00	1487000.00	0.00
		5195/HUD/25.2.16	1487000.00	0.00	1487000.00	1296127.00	190873.00
		24513/HUD/19.10.16	1642000.00	0.00	1642000.00	0.00	1642000.00
		2767/HUD/6.2.17	1642000.00	0.00	1642000.00	0.00	1642000.00
		18979/HUD/19.8.17	0.00	1790000.00	1790000.00	0.00	1790000.00
		3415/HUD/05.2.18	0.00	1789000.00	1789000.00	0.00	1789000.00
	Total		7753010.00	3579000.00	11332010.00	4278137.00	7053873.00
	USHA		200000.00	0.00	200000.00	0.00	200000.00
	SECC/Census		-7185.00	0.00	-7185.00	0.00	-7185.00
			0.00	288000.00	288000.00	0.00	288000.00
	NFDR/SRCC		2083046.00	0.00	2083046.00	511370.00	1571676.00
		/21.03.18	0.00	200000.00	200000.00	0.00	200000.00
			0.00	5000.00	5000.00	0.00	5000.00
	Tourism		446582.00		446582.00	0.00	446582.00
	Kalayanmandap		0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00
	Children Park		225738.00	0.00	225738.00	0.00	225738.00
	Park & Graneery		-185340.00	0.00	-185340.00	173176.00	-358516.00
	Highmast Light		182400.00	0.00	182400.00	0.00	182400.00
	C.C. Road		5302175.00	0.00	5302175.00	106769.00	5195406.00
	Total		8247416.00	493000.00	8740416.00	791315.00	7949101.00
	Devolution Fund		1855259.00	0.00	1855259.00	1855259.00	0.00
		20041/HUD/7.8.15	6119000.00	0.00	6119000.00	834129.00	5284871.00
		5283/HUD/25.02.16	6119000.00	0.00	6119000.00	3721001.00	2397999.00
		18503/HUD/19.8.17	0.00	6086000.00	6086000.00	0.00	6086000.00
		3435/HUD/5.2.18	0.00	6086000.00	6086000.00	0.00	6086000.00

	Total		14093259.00	12172000.00	26265259.00	6410389.00	19854870.00
	Devolution Fund(Town Hall)	5246/HUD/25.02.16	1142000.00	0.00	1142000.00	0.00	1142000.00
		5250/HUD/25.02.17	3001000.00	0.00	3001000.00	0.00	3001000.00
		5255/HUD/25.2.18	857000.00	0.00	857000.00	0.00	857000.00
		16230/HUD/4.7.16	6142000.00	0.00	6142000.00	0.00	6142000.00
		2600/HUD/03.2.17	6143000.00	0.00	6143000.00	0.00	6143000.00
	Total		17285000.00	0.00	17285000.00	0.00	17285000.00
	Sanitation,light,wt. supply in Local Festival	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Nation Population Repr(13-14)	33272.00	33272.00	0.00	33272.00	0.00	33272.00
	CM Relief Fund(HSY)	380/Emg./12.01.15	97000.00	0.00	97000.00	97000.00	0.00
		7311/Emg./14.7.17	0.00	400000.00	400000.00	303000.00	97000.00
		407/Emg./7.3.18	0.00	200000.00	200000.00	0.00	200000.00
	NFBS	1207/DSSO/22.12.17	0.00	210000.00	210000.00	210000.00	0.00
		660/DSSO/22.06.17	0.00	700000.00	700000.00	650000.00	50000.00
	MBPY/NOAP/HIV		3764771.00	0.00	3764771.00	3764771.00	0.00
			0.00	18497800.00	18497800.00	13466244.00	5031556.00
	Pension/Family Pension		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Spl. Devolp. Progm		473501.00	0.00	473501.00	473501.00	0.00
		813/DRDA/6.4.17	0.00	540000.00	540000.00	540000.00	0.00
			0.00	460000.00	460000.00	212.00	459788.00
	AWC	1457/SWO/17.10.17	0.00	1400000.00	1400000.00	0.00	1400000.00
	Solid waste Management	32241/HUD/29.12.15	2109000.00	0.00	2109000.00	0.00	2109000.00
		15630/HUD/29.6.16	3721000.00	0.00	3721000.00	0.00	3721000.00
	Hon./DA/SA	4319/HUD/29.2.16	19900.00	0.00	19900.00	0.00	19900.00
		21555/HUD/9.9.16	61200.00	0.00	61200.00	0.00	61200.00
		4475/HUD/25.02.17	8000.00	0.00	8000.00	0.00	8000.00
		17435/HUD/8.7.17	0.00	63600.00	63600.00	0.00	63600.00
	Total		10287644.00	22471400.00	32759044.00	19504728.00	13254316.00
	Compensation of Arr. Pension	4324/HUD/20.2.16	4845321.00	0.00	4845321.00	4845321.00	0.00
	Compensation of Basic. Service	4324/HUD/20.2.17	124000.00	0.00	124000.00	124000.00	0.00
		29620/HUD/19.12.16	21661000.00	0.00	21661000.00	10899060.00	10761940.00
		6095/HUD/17.3.17	14453000.00	0.00	14453000.00	0.00	14453000.00
		20539/HUD/7.9.17	0.00	8435000.00	8435000.00	0.00	8435000.00
		5632/HUD/26.2.18	0.00	8377000.00	8377000.00	0.00	8377000.00
	Total		41083321.00	16812000.00	57895321.00	15868381.00	42026940.00
	Swacha Bharai Mission		1501783.00	0.00	1501783.00	940300.00	561483.00
			3777550.00	0.00	3777550.00	0.00	3777550.00

			2575260.00	0.00	2575260.00	0.00	2575260.00
		2357/HUD/25.01.2018	0.00	188850.00	188850.00	0.00	188850.00
		5351/HUD/24.02.20158	0.00	1056000.00	1056000.00	0.00	1056000.00
	Total		7854593.00	1244850.00	9099443.00	940300.00	8159143.00
	Maintenance Of creation Assests	19682/HUD/29.8.17	0.00	453000.00	453000.00	453000.00	0.00
		/15.03.18	0.00	453000.00	453000.00	155883.00	297117.00
	Creation of Capital Assets	20278/HUD/4.9.17	0.00	949000.00	949000.00	949000.00	0.00
		/15.03.18	0.00	949000.00	949000.00	802470.00	146530.00
	Total		0.00	2804000.00	2804000.00	2360353.00	443647.00
	Grand Total		236888600.94	127400250.00	364288850.94	139073029.00	225215821.94

During the exit conference the local authority stated that, steps are being taken to spent all the Govt., grants for the intended purpose during 2018-19. However the E.O is advised to spend all the outstanding Grants for the intended purpose for the development of rural people.

9.2 Low Level of Utilization of Govt. Grant:-

Rule 171(3)(1) of OGFR Vol-I, provides that the grants sanctioned shall be spent within a year from the date of sanction. The unspent balance of the previous year's grant has either to be surrendered to Govt., or it has to be taken into accounts in subsequent year's grant with prior approval of the sanctioning authority. On going through the previous year audit report, it is noticed that on Ist. April '2017, a huge amount of unspent balances of Rs.236888600.94 was lying with this Municipality under various schemes for years together. Fresh grants of Rs.127400250.00 were also released without verifying the unspent position of earlier grants. From the above table, it may be seen that the expenditure incurred was only Rs139073029.00 during 2017-18 while total fund available was Rs.364288850.94 for expenditure & as on 31.03.2018, Rs.225215821.94 was remain unspent. The percentage of utilization of the grants in this Municipality is very low in comparison to the total grants available for utilization under different developmental schemes. The overall utilization of grants of this ULB for the year 2017-18 was 38.18 % only. This indicates that the Govt. money released to this Municipality for developmental works is not being utilized for the intended purposes in time. The delay in implementation of developmental programs defeats the very purpose of the concerned schemes. The details of head wise receipt and utilization of grants are furnished in above table. The less utilization of funds was mainly due to non-preparation of proper budget estimates, inadequate monitoring and planning in time, lack of sincerity of concerned employees etc. The Executive Officer concerned assured to take steps for utilization of the funds soon. But such assurance cannot bear fruit in the absence of proper monitoring and planning. Hence steps may be taken to utilize the same with prior approval of the sanctioning authority and proper planning and monitoring may be made for the same and compliance reported to audit.

9.3. Inordinate delay in utilization of Govt. Grants:-

It would be seen from the table given that a huge amount of grant to the tune of Rs.225215821.94 as ascertained from the Grant register is remaining unutilized for a period ranging from 5 to 10 years which leads to ncroachment of grants by the other head of expenditure. So the Executive Officer of the Municipality is suggested to utilize the pending grants as soon as possible or refund the same to the proper quarter with prior approval of sanctioning authority & under intimation to audit.

As per Rule 171 of the Odisha General Financial Rules (OGFR) (Volume I) and instructions contained in the sanction orders, scheme funds were to be utilized in the year of receipt.

Un-utilized fund, if any, may either be refunded to the Government or utilized in subsequent year with prior approval of the Government. The reasons for non-utilization of 100% of the Grant within the financial year/stipulated period could not be clarified to audit. Due to non-utilization of grant in due time the very purpose of objectives of the grant is not fulfilled. Moreover it hampers not only the interest of common people but also curtail the further flow of central grant into the state. Hence the local authority is suggested to utilize the grants of 2017-18 immediately without any delay for vested interest of common public as well as of the state.

9.4 The details of year wise break-up of unspent Govt. Grants as on 31.03.18 is furnished below.

Details of Year wise break-up of unspent Govt. Grants is given below.	
Year	Amount(Rs)
Up to 2015-16	84933418.94
2016-17	68796241.00
2017-18	71486162.00
Total	225215821.94

Para 9.5

Non-recoup of Diverted amount.

As per Rule 171(4) of O.G.F.R., if diversion of fund from one scheme to another persists, the diverted fund must be returned to the mother scheme at that moment, the fund is available on checking of cash book w.r.t. pass book, an amount of Rs 59841.00 has been diverted from SDP to BRGF scheme. But till the closure of audit or financial year as on 31.03.18, the same has not been recouped. This is highly irregular and objectionable in audit, as the said diverted amount has not been recouped by 31.3.2018. Such procedure also violates rule 9(1) of OGFR 1959.

In response to audit objection memo No. 95/18.7.18, the local authority replied that diverted fund will be recouped very soon and comply to next audit. However the E.O is advised to take step for recoup the said diversion amount by obtaining grants from appropriate authorities and compliance reported. Till then Rs. 59841.00 is held under objection.

During exit conference the local authority stated that steps are being taken to recoup the diversion amount during 2018-19. However the local authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

PARA: 10 UTILISATION CERTIFICATE

Paralakhemundi Municipality - 2017-2018

S/no	U.C Outstanding as on (DD MM YYYY)	U.C Outstanding(In Rs:)	U.C due for submission during the period under Audit(In Rs:)	Total(In Rs:)	U.C Submitted during the period under Audit(In Rs:)	U.C needs to be submitted as on outstanding as on (DD MM YYYY)	U.C needs to be submitted as on outstanding (In Rs:)	Remarks
1	01-04-2017	353136762.51	139073029.00	492209791.51	120999961.00	31-03-2018	371209830.51	
	GRAND TOTAL	353136762.51	139073029.00	492209791.51	120999961.00		371209830.51	

Comments :

Comments:-

As per Rule 173 of OGFR, Vol.-I, Utilisation Certificate(UC) is to be submitted to the proper quarter by 30th June of the succeeding year of expenditure.

As per para 5(1) of the O.M. No.21241/F,dtd.17.07.2014 of Finance Department (deemed to be a part of OGFR),submission of U.C. to the sanctioning authority is required in respect of those grant-in aid or grant sanctioned for specific purpose wherein the sanction order specifically stipulates submission of such utilization certificate.

Non submission of U.C. to a tune of Rs.371209830.51 as on 31.03.2018 is alarming which and defeats the very purpose of Govt. policy and planning and speaks about the improper management of manpower in Municipality level during 2017-18 and lack of supervision of local authority.

Suggestion:-The local authority is suggested to look into the matter personally to clear up all old pending utilisation certificates and compliance reported to audit.

The details of U.C. submitted by the local authority during the year as 31.03.2018 has been given below.

SI No	Name of the Grant	Related Year	Letter No/Date	Amount of UC Sent	To Whom Submitted
1	Kalyan Mandap	2013-14	1227/07.04.2017	3000000	PD,DRDA-cum-DUDA
2	Devolution Fund	2013-14	1228/07.04.2017	3418500	
3	13 FC GABG	2013-14	1479/27.04.2017 & 1481/27.04.2017	4100921	
4		2014-15	1480/27.04.2017 & 1482/27.04.2017	4327578	
5	13 FC M of R & B	2013-14	1229/07.04.2017	1678855	
6		2014-15	1230/07.04.2017	1897572	
7	BRGF	2011-12	648 to 652 dated 17.02.2018	22269617	PD,DRDA-cum-DUDA
		2013-14		17190933	
		2014-15		4608590	
8	Road Development	2015-16	3612/10.11.2017	1978000	PD,DRDA-cum-DUDA
9	MV Tax	2015-16	3611/10.11.2017	2436233	
10	Devolution Fund	2015-16	3616/10.11.2017	3946061	

11	4th SFC	2015-16	3613/10.11.2017	3430999	
12	13th FC General Performance	2015-16	3614/10.11.2017	691000	
13	14th FC GABG	2016-17	3615/10.11.2017	2292367	
14	MV Tax	2015-16	732/24.02.2018	175213	PD,DRDA-cum-DUDA
15		2016-17	733/24.02.2018 & 734/24.02.2018	2545120	
16	Devolution Fund	2015-16	737/24.02.2018	2068279	
17	13th FC General Performance	2015-16	731/24.02.2018	286723	
18	14th FC GABG	2015-16	729/24.02.2018	3190097	
19		2016-17	730/24.02.2018	3017383	
20	Creation of Capital Asset	2016-17	741 & 742 /24.02.2018	1256090	
21	Maintenance of R & B	2015-16	739/24.02.2018	1390251	
22		2016-17	740/24.02.2018	974099	
23	Entry Tax	2017-18	738/24.02.2018	18228000	
24	NRB	2012-13	735/24.02.2018	277000	
25	Children Park	2012-13	736/24.02.2018	447438	
26	MLA LAD	2008-09	1040 / 16.03.2018	195331	PD,DRDA-cum-DUDA
		2009-10		380000	
		2010-11		927891	
		2011-12		3008361	
27		2011-12	1952/23.06.2017	400000	
28		2016-17	1953/23.06.2017	400000	
29	SDP	2014-15	2289/25.07.2017	540000	
30	Swachha Bharat Mission	2015-16	2379/31.07.2017	1593890	PD,DRDA-cum-DUDA
31			4339/14.12.2017	482500	
32	Election (Payment Remuneration to Supervisor)	2016-17	1702/23.05.2017	36000	Sub-Collector, Gajapati
33		2016-17	1703/23.05.2017	28500	
34	Election (Payment Remuneration to BLO)	2016-17	1704/23.05.2017	117000	
35	Harichandra Sahayata Yojna (HSY)	2016-17	2288/25.07.2017	150000	Collector, Gajapati
36	SMID (SS)	2014-15		37000	PD,DRDA-cum-DUDA
37	SMID (CS)	2014-15		113000	
38	SMID (CS)	2015-16		37500	
39	SMID (SS)	2015-16		12500	

40	SMID (CS)	2016-17	20000
41	SEP (I) CS	2014-15	11377
42	EST & P (CS)	2016-17	512428
43	EST & P (CS)	2016-17	350364
44	CBT (CS)	2015-16	99005
45	CBT (SS)	2016-17	72000
46	CBT (CS)	2016-17	108000
47	CBT (CS)	2017-18	244395
Total UC Sent During 2017-18			120999961

It would be seen from the above table that the ULB is in a backlog in submission of utilization certificate which is hampering the very purpose of creating Grants on the District level as well as the State. However the Executive Officer is suggested to take special drive to submit the pending the UCs at least to minimize the pendency and reported to Audit.

The details of U.C.s submitted year wise during 2017-18

SL No	Year	Amount(Rs)
1)	2008-09	195331.00
2)	2009-10	380000.00
3)	2010-11	927891.00
4)	2011-12	25677879.00
5)	2012-13	724438.00
6)	2013-14	29389209.00
7)	2014-15	11535117.00
8)	2015-16	21818251.00
9)	2016-17	11879351.00
10)	2017-18	18472395.00
	Total	120999961.00

The details of Year-wise break-up of pending UCs as on 31.03.2018

Year	Amount (Rs)
UP TO 2011-12	5993917.51
2011-12	13708480.00
2012-13	3890565.00
2013-14	29477284.00
2014-15	6796811.00
2015-16	87333298.00
2016-17	103408841.00
2017-18	120600634.00
Total	371209830.51

During the exit conference the local authority stated that steps would be taken to submit all pending UCs during the year 2018-19. However the local authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

PARA: 11 MISAPPROPRIATION & DEFALCATION

11.1 - Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of Holding Tax collection.

Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of Holding Tax collection :-

On checking of Holding Tax receipt book with Ref. to DCR of Sri Girish Chandra Behera ,Peon (in-Charge of H.Tax collection), it is found that a sum of Rs 12306.60 has been collected through receipt book but against this collection, Rs5469.60 has been accounted into municipality fund .At a result ,an amount of Rs 6837.00 has been misappropriated which needs immediate recovery from the person concerned.

SL No.	Particulars	DCR P. No.	Book No	MR No./Date	Amount collected(Rs)	Amount taken into DCR(Rs)	Amount less taken(Rs)
1)	H. Tax	29	89	55/30.4.18	12306.60	5469.60	6837.00
Total							6837.00

In response to audit objection memo No.28/5.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 6837.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 6837.00 is suggested for recovery from Girisha Chandra Behera, Peon.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.6837.00 has already been realized from Sri Girish Chandra Behera, Peon. vide MR No.83/95 dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.2 - Misappropriation of cash due to Non- deposit of Holding Tax collection -

Misappropriation of cash due to Non- deposit of Holding Tax collection :-

On checking of Holding Tax receipt book with Ref. to DCR of Sri Pradeep Kumar Lima ,Jamadar, it is found that a sum of Rs 979.20 has been collected through receipt book but same has neither been accounted for in DCR nor deposited into municipality fund .At a result ,an amount of Rs 979.20 has been misappropriated which needs immediate recovery from person concerned.

SL No.	Particulars	Book No	MR No./Date	Amount collected(Rs)	Amount taken into DCR(Rs)	Amount less taken(Rs)
1)	H. Tax	82	43/21.4.18	979.20	0.00	979.20
Total						979.20 or 979.00

In response to audit objection memo No.29/11.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 979.00 will be recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 979.00 is suggested for recovery from Pradeep kumar Lima,Jamadar.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.979.00 has already been realized from Sri Pradeep Kumar Lima, Jamadar. vide MR No.90/4 dated 27.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.3 - Misappropriation of cash due to non-deposit of Misc. Collection-

Misappropriation of cash due to non-deposit of Misc. Collection:-

On checking of miscellaneous receipt book with Ref. to DCR of Sri Vallamsethy Laxama Rao,OTS , it is found that a sum of Rs 5640.00 has been collected through receipt book but same has neither been accounted for in DCR nor deposited into municipality fund .At a result ,an amount of Rs 5640.00 has been misappropriated which needs immediate recovery from person concerned.

SL No.	Particulars	Book No	MR No./Date	Amount collected(Rs)	Amount taken into DCR(Rs)	Amount less taken(Rs)

1)	Misc. collection	21	68/9.5.17	1800.00	0.00	1800.00
2)	-do-	25	92/2.6.17	900.00	0.00	900.00
3)		25	100/2.6.17	540.00	0.00	540.00
4)		26	18/3.6.17	900.00	0.00	900.00
5)		41	99/15.11.17	1200.00	0.00	1200.00
6)		41	100/15.11.17	1200.00	0.00	1200.00
7)		46	100/28.12.17	900.00	0.00	900.00
Total						5640.00

In response to audit objection memo No.30/12.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 5640.00 will be recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs5640.00 is suggested for recovery from Vallam Dethy Laxman Rao,O.T.S.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.5640.00 has already been realized from Vallam Dethy Laxman Rao, OTS. vide MR No.90/1 dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.4 - Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of amount collected through Misc. Receipt -

Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of amount collected through Misc. Receipt -

On checking of Misc. collection receipt book with Ref. to DCR of Sri Vallam sethy Laxaman Rao,OTS it is found that a sum of Rs 7501.00 has been collected through receipt book but against of it, Rs 4060.00 has been accounted into municipality fund .At a result ,an amount of Rs 3441.00 has been misappropriated which needs immediate recovery from person concerned.

SL No.	Particulars	DCR P. No.	Book No/	Amount collected(Rs)	Amount taken into DCR(Rs)	Amount to be recovered(Rs)
1)	Misc.	158	41	7501.00	4060.00	3441.00
Total						3441.00

In response to audit objection memo No.31/13.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 3441.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 3441.00 is suggested for recovery from Vallam Dethy Laxman Rao,O.T.S.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.3441.00 has already been realized from Vallam Dethy Laxman Rao, OTS. vide MR No.90/2 dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.5 - Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of Holding Tax collection -

Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of Holding Tax collection :-

On checking of Holding Tax receipt book with Ref. to DCR of Sri Yerukola Gaddaya,OTS it is found that a sum of Rs 963.80 has been collected through receipt book but an amount of Rs 103.60 has been accounted into municipality fund .At a result ,an amount of Rs 860.20 has been misappropriated which needs immediate recovery from person concerned.

SL No.	Particulars	DCR P. No.	Book No	MR No./Date	Amount collected(Rs)	Amount taken into DCR(Rs)	Amount less taken(Rs)
1)	H. Tax	164	58	29/13.11.17	963.80	103.60	860.20
Total							860.20 or 860.00

In response to audit objection memo No.32/18.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 860.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 860.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri Yerukola Gaddaya,OTS

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.860.00 has already been realized from Yerukola Gaddaya, OTS. vide MR No.83/70 dated 24.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.60 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.6 - Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of holding tax-

Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of Holding Tax:-

On checking of Holding Tax receipt book with Ref. to DCR of Sri Aliya Sabara,OTS it is found that a sum of Rs 174098.40 has been collected through receipt book but against it, an amount of Rs 173528.20 has been accounted for into municipality fund .As a result ,an amount of Rs 570.20 has been misappropriated which needs immediate recovery from person concerned.

SL No.	Particulars	DCR P. No.	Book No/MR No./Dt	Amount collected(Rs)	Amount taken into DCR(Rs)	Amount less taken(Rs)
1)	H. Tax	04-05	68/75-100/6.4.18	13784.80	13704.80	80.00
2)	H. Tax	14	75/24-63/12.4.18	22785.20	22753.20	32.00
3)	H. Tax	17	75/80-100/20.4.18	18890.20	18665.00	225.00
4)	H. Tax	20	80/1-61/24.4.18	74215.00	74065.00	150.00
5)	H. Tax	23	80/62-100/26.4.18	44423.20	44340.20	83.00
Total				174098.40	173528.20	570.20 or 570.00

In response to audit objection memo No.33/19.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 570.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 570.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri Aliya Sabar,,OTS

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.570.00 has already been realized from Sri Alia Sabar, OTS. vide MR No.83/97 dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.7 - Misappropriation of cash due less deposit of Holding Tax-

Misappropriation of cash due to less deposit of Holding Tax :-

On checking of Holding Tax receipt book with Ref. to DCR of Sri Suresha Chandra Panigrahi,OTS it is found that a sum of Rs 4796.92 has been collected through receipt book No.49/88-100/18.7.17of DCR Page No.108 but against it an amount of Rs 4596.92 has been accounted into municipality fund .As such ,an amount of Rs 200.00 has been misappropriated due to less deposit which needs immediate recovery from person concerned.

In response to audit objection memo No.34/20.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 200.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 200.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri Suresha Chandra Panigrahi,OTS

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.200.00 has already been realized from Sri Suresh Chandra Panigrahi, OTS. vide MR No.83/96 dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.8 - Misappropriation of cash due to non-deposit of stand fee-

Misappropriation of cash due to non-deposit of stand fee:-

On checking of stand fee coupons with Ref. to DCR, it is noticed that the Book No.383 consists of 50 pages. And each page having two parts of value Rs 5/- each. That means every page is of value Rs10.00. This seems very absurd. So after interaction with the person concerned he replied that, as there was shortage of receipt book, so I urged to book two receipts in one page. That means one page of receipt can be given to two persons. If Audit admits so, then the total cost of one receipt book is Rs500.00. In this way Rs 500.00 was collected by Manoj Kumar Satapathy, B.C. But that amount of Rs 500.00 has neither been accounted in DCR nor deposited in municipality fund. As a result, an amount of Rs 500.00 has been misappropriated which needs immediate recovery from the person concerned.

In response to audit objection memo No.36/22.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 500.00 will be recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 500.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri Manoj Kumar Satapathy, B.C.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.500.00 has already been realized from Sri Manoj Kumar Satapathy, B.C. vide MR No.83/100 dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.9 -

Misappropriation of cash due to non-deposit of collection amount:-

On checking of DCR with Ref. to cashier cash book, it is seen that an amount of 5730.00 vide DCR Page No.120/dated 22.09.17 has been collected by the cashier A. Durga Prasad but not reflected in cashier cash book. As a result, that amount of Rs 5730.00 which has not been deposited in municipal fund needs recovery from the person concerned.

In response to audit objection memo No.37/23.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 5730.00 will be recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 5730.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri A. Durga Prasad.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.5730.00 has already been realized from A.Durga Prasad, Cashier-cum-OTS. vide MR No.83/98., dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.10 - Misappropriation of Cash due to Non-deposit of collected amount of Holding Tax

Misappropriation of Cash due to Non-deposit of collected amount of Holding Tax

On checking of Holding Tax receipt book with Ref. to DCR, it is found that a sum of Rs 5222.06 or say Rs 5222.00 has been collected by Sri Brundaban Pani, OTS through receipt book No.45 P. NO. 85-100 of DCR Page No.129 but against it, no amount has been accounted for into municipality fund. As such, an amount of Rs 5222.00 has been misappropriated due to non-deposit of cash which needs immediate recovery from the person concerned.

In response to audit objection memo No.44/25.7.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 5222.00 will be recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 5222.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri Brundaban Pani, O.T.S.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.5222.00 has already been realized from Brundaban Pani, OTS.

vide MR No.83/68., dated 24.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.60 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.11 - Less deposit of cash due to totaling mistake in cashier cash book-

Less deposit of cash due to totaling mistake in cashier cash book-

During the course of totaling of different collections such as Holding Tax, licence fee of offensive and dangerous trades, market and stall, lease amount and miscellaneous collection in Cashier's cash book, it is observed that a sum of Rs 1727.00 has been less credited into Municipality fund vide page No.191. The details are given below.

SL No.	Amount collected (Rs)	Amount deposited in Municipality fund(Rs)	Less deposit (Rs)
1)	86937.00	90530.00	(-)3593.00
2)	417085.00	419085.00	(-)2000.00
3)	454522.00	447202.00	(+)7320.00
Total	958544.00	956817.00	(+)1727.00

In response to audit objection memo No.43/25.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs1727.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 1727.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri A. Durga Prasad.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.1727.00 has already been realized from A.Durga Prasad, Cashier-cum-OTS. vide MR No.83/99., dated 26.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

11.12 - Misappropriation of cash due to Non- deposit of Holding Tax collection -

On checking of Holding Tax receipt book with Ref. to DCR, it is found that a sum of Rs 18060.10 has been collected by Sri Brundaban Pani,OTS through receipt book No.52 P. NO. 1-20,P.-52,56,76,83-84,86.But that amount has not been accounted for neither in DCR nor in municipality fund. As such, an amount of Rs 18060.10 has been misappropriated due to non-deposit of cash which needs immediate recovery from person concerned as details below.

SL NO	Book No/MR No/Dt.	Amount Collected (Rs)	Amount taken into DCR	Amount to be deposited (Rs)
1)	52/01/19.5.17	918.00	0.00	918.00
2)	52/02/19.5.17	699.00	0.00	699.00
3)	52/03/19.5.17	103.80	0.00	103.80
4)	52/04/149.5.17	244.80	0.00	244.80
5)	52/05/1.6.17	984.60	0.00	984.60
6)	52/06/1.6.17	2040.00	0.00	2040.00
7)	52/07/20.6.17	415.00	0.00	415.00
8)	52/08/21.6.17	330.40	0.00	330.40
9)	52/09/21.6.17	48.80	0.00	48.80
10)	52/10/21.6.17	253.80	0.00	253.80
11)	52/11/29.6.17	204.00	0.00	204.00
12)	52/12/30.6.17	496.00	0.00	496.00
13)	52/13/	10.80	0.00	10.80
14)	52/14/	29.20	0.00	29.20
15)	52/15/	16.00	0.00	16.00
16)	52/16/	2448.00	0.00	2448.00
17)	52/17/	19.20	0.00	19.20
18)	52/18/	67.80	0.00	67.80
19)	52/19/	16.20	0.00	16.20
20)	52/20/	56.00	0.00	56.00

21)	52/52/	38.40	0.00	38.40
22)	52/56/	3078.60	0.00	3078.60
23)	52/76/	1456.80	0.00	1456.80
24)	52/83/	1180.40	0.00	1180.40
25)	52/84/	2868.50	0.00	2868.50
26)	52/86/	36.00	0.00	36.00
Total				18060.10 or 18060.00

In response to audit objection memo No.47/20.7.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 18060.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 18060.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri Brundaban Pani,O.T.S.

During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.18060.00 has already been realized from Brundaban Pani, OTS. vide MR No.83/69., dated 24.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.60 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

PARA: 12 LOSS OF STOCK & STORE

12.1 - Loss of H.S.D. oil due to wrong calculation in Log Book -
On scrutiny of Log Book of tractor bearing No OR 20 A 0277 with Ref. to paid voucher No. 255/8.9.17 of Accountant Cash Book ,it is observed that, after balancing by the Driver A. Durgaprasad Rao on dated 3.6.17 , the closing balance of HSD lessened by 10lts by the way of wrong subtraction i.e (30lts-3lts=17lts) instead of (30lts-3lts=27lts) 'which leads to a loss of 10lts of H.S.D. stock @ Rs 59.17 amounting to Rs 591.70 or say Rs592.00.
In response to audit objection memo No.56/26.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 592.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 592.00 is suggested for recovery from Sri P. Durga Prasad Rao,Driver.
During exit conference the local authority replied that the total amount of Rs.592.00 has already been realized from P.Durga Prasad Rao, Dirver. vide MR No.90/5., dated 27.12.2018 and accounted for in the Cashier's cash book page No.61 dated 24.12.2018. This was verified during exit conference. Hence the para is dropped

PARA: 13 AUDIT OF RECEIPTS

13.1 -										
Revenue Receipts of the Urban Local Bodies was checked in details up to date(as on 1.05.2018 i.e. on the date of commencement of audit) with reference to all subsidiary documents viz., receipt book, daily collection register, Cashier's cash book and treasury Challans (as per Rule 11 & 12 of O.L.F.A. Rules, 1951).										
Assessment of New Holdings:-										
The ward wise total no. new holdings that have newly assessed as per Assessment Register in this municipality is furnished below.										
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>SL NO</th> <th>No. of new holdings assessed(can be assessed as per Assessment Register)</th> <th>No. of new buildings during the year</th> <th>No. of electricity connections</th> <th>Remarks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>143 Nos.</td> <td>129</td> <td>129</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	SL NO	No. of new holdings assessed(can be assessed as per Assessment Register)	No. of new buildings during the year	No. of electricity connections	Remarks	1	143 Nos.	129	129	
SL NO	No. of new holdings assessed(can be assessed as per Assessment Register)	No. of new buildings during the year	No. of electricity connections	Remarks						
1	143 Nos.	129	129							

Assessment of Taxes:-

As per Sec.146 of Odisha Municipality Act,1950 ,new valuation and assessment list should be prepared by the V.O (valuation organization) once in every five years. Further, Holding Tax is determined on the basis of annual value of property calculated on the basis of construction cost of building and a reasonable ground rent for the land on which the building is situated.

As per Sec.143-A, of Odisha Municipality act, 1950, the Executive Officer of the municipal area shall, until appointment of a valuation officer therefore, exercise the powers and performs the duties of the valuation officer in respect of ULB.

SL No	Name of ward	No. of Holdings exists	New Assessment	Total
1)	01	624	11	635
2)	02	477	7	484
3)	03	471	4	475
4)	04	398	4	402
5)	05	420	02	422
6)	06	412	4	416
7)	07	726	7	733
8)	08	382	0	382
9)	09	486	4	490
10)	10	374	5	379
11)	11	408	1	409
12)	12	481	12	483
13)	13	454	6	460
14)	14	553	1	554
15)	15	674	19	693
16)	16	612	42	654
		7952	129	8081

In response to audit objection memo No.11/22.5.18, the local authority replies that there are 129 nos of new holdings assessed completely out of 143 no. of assessed during the year. Hence it is suggested to local authority to hasten to clear up the pending for assessment and include in the same year demand for growth of municipal revenue.

But since 2003 no assessment has been made. If not made during last five years, the E.O as per section 143-A of the O.M.Act,1 950, shall exercise the powers and perform the duties of valuation officer and no. of 129 houses newly assessed .

To arrive Annual Rental Value (ARV) for new holdings is @ 10.50 / sqm vide council resolution No.03/30.11.2016 with effect from 1.4.2017 onwards and ARV should be found out as per guidelines of H & U.D. Deptt. Govt. of Odisha along with benchmark value of land as per sub-registrar office , Gajapati.

This municipal council implemented the collection of Holding, Lighting, Latrine and Water taxes as per revised valuation of the holdings w.e.f. from 01.04.2003 in the following format.

The municipal council body vide Lt No527 /dtd 9.2.2001 has passed to impose tax on holdings, latrine,light and water at the following rates.

Name of Holdings	Percentage of Tax
Holding	6% of annual value of holding
Latrine	1% of annual value of holding
Light	5% of annual value of holding
Water	8% of annual value of holding
Total	20% of annual value of holding

On the very day of commencement of audit, the Demand collection and balance (DCB) registers for Holding tax, Licence fees of shops and stall, rent of market complex etc., were asked through audit objection statement.

As per Rule.178 of Orissa Municipal Rules-1953 the DCB shall be maintained in Form-B for demand and collection of taxese and rent etc

Similarly as per Rule-50 of Odisha Municipal Account Rules-2012, the DCB register of tax and rents shall be maintained in Form ACNT-20. Such DCB register shall be d by the respective section for any damand that is raised or failing due, at the beginning or during the course of the year, any collection that is made in respect of an assesses and the balance amount outstanding from the assesses.

The DCB position of Municipality for the year 2017-18 is furnished by the Local authority is given below.

Demand , collection and Balance of Taxes In respect of Paralakhemundi Municipality For the year 2017-18

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
SI no	Name of the Tax	Demand			Collection				Balance			
		Arrear	Current	Total	Arrear	Current	TOTAL	Rebeat	Current collection +Rebate	Arrear	Current	Total
1	Holding Tax	2739600.53	1785755.43	4525355.96	380954.80	856021.68	1236976.48	137862.45	993884.13	2358645.73	791871.30	3150517.03
2	Latrine Tax	519879.74	300297.72	820177.46	68535.15	144896.04	213431.19	22977.07	167873.11	451344.59	132424.61	583769.20
3	Light Tax	2650294.62	1503506.54	4153801.16	333848.82	724512.15	1058360.97	114885.37	839397.52	2316445.80	664109.02	2980554.82
4	Water Tax	4194988.29	2405516.32	6600504.61	531856.50	1166727.00	1698583.50	183816.60	1350543.60	3663131.79	1054972.72	4718104.51
	Total-I	10104763.18	5995076.01	16099839.19	1315195.27	2892156.87	4207352.14	459541.49	3351698.36	8789567.91	2643377.65	11432945.56
5	Cart and carriage	25000.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25000.00	0.00	25000.00
6	Cattle pond	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	Daily Market	610491.00	300000.00	910491.00	0.00	300000.00	0.00	300000.00	0.00	610491.00	0.00	610491.00
8	Market and Mutton	950350.00	146136.00	1096486.00	616164.00	119038.00	0.00	735202.00	0.00	334186.00	27098.00	361284.00
9	Slaughter house	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	Weekly Market	1200.00	0.00	1200.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1200.00	0.00	1200.00
11	Fishery Lease	0.00	64650.00	64650.00	0.00	64650.00	0.00	64650.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	Stand Fee	1918811.00	175900.00	2094711.00	0.00	175900.00	0.00	175900.00	0.00	1918811.00	0.00	1918811.00
13	D & O TRADE	71870.00	0.00	71870.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	71870.00	0.00	71870.00
14	Stall and house	3646641.00	3439764.00	7086405.00	1068632.00	2122632.00	0.00	3191264.00	0.00	2578009.00	1317132.00	3895141.00
15	Moving Vehicle	154017.00	0.00	154017.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	154017.00	0.00	154017.00
16	Coconut Tree	2852.00	0.00	2852.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2852.00	0.00	2852.00
17	Proj and erection	185115.00	0.00	185115.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	185115.00	0.00	185115.00
18	Rathayatra Mahasul	0.00	471000.00	471000.00	0.00	471000.00	0.00	471000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	hoarding Board	121000.00	0.00	121000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	121000.00	0.00	121000.00
20	Two Wheeler Parking	0.00	101000.00	101000.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	100000.00	0.00	0.00	1000.00	1000.00
21	Trade License	0.00	58250.00	58250.00	0.00	58250.00	0.00	58250.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total-II	7687347.00	4756700.00	12444047.00	1684796.00	3411470.00	0.00	5096266.00	0.00	6002551.00	1345230.00	7347781.00
	Grand TOTAL	17792110.18	10751776.01	28543886.19	2999991.27	6303626.87	459541.49	5555807.49	3351698.36	14792118.91	3988607.65	18780726.56

In response to audit objection memo no.26/05.06.2018 the local authority produced demand, collection and balance register as based on demand and collection of holding tax of different wards, parking fees of two wheeler etc. During the course of audit of DCB register as well as hand demand register of Tax Collectors, it is revealed that no such eye catching action has been taken to collect revenues of Municipal body by the authorities. As a result, the municipal body is not enrich empowered and strengthen. Therefore the municipal body does not create any capital asset for long term benefit. However it is suggested that the local authority must collect the revenues and credited into municipal fund.

Percentage of collection:-

The percentage of collection as per DCB statement is given below.

Name of Tax	Total Demand (Rs)	Total collection +Rebate (Rs)	% of collection with that of demand.
1) Holding Tax	4525355.96	1374838.93	30.38
2) Latrine Tax	820177.46	236408.26	28.82
3) Light Tax	4153801.16	1173246.34	28.24
4) Water Tax	6600504.61	1882400.10	28.52
Total	16099839.19	4666893.63	28.99 or 29%

Time barred dues-

As the tax dues becomes time-barred after a lapse of three years .i.e nearly 3 years and 9 months from the date it becomes due, it should be considered as the outstanding dues relates to the years from 5th year and on wards starting from the current year under audit as time-barred.

In response to audit objection memo 13/24.5.18, local authority replied that, year wise break up of outstanding taxes since last five years has been worked out and the same will be produced to next audit. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. But in the year under audit, it is noticed that from last and previous audit reports in respect of paralakhemundi municipality has not worked out of the outstanding taxes. Due to non-availability of proper position of outstanding taxes of last five year years, time-barred dues could not be worked out.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to collect the balance amount of Taxes, Rent and Lease etc. during the year 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

13.2 - Irregular lease of Ratha Yatra108-111

Irregular lease of Ratha Yatra : POM No.58 dt.27.06.2018

On checking of the cashier's cash book with Ref. to Ratha Yatra Lease file, it is seen that Sri Adarsha Kumar Das was awarded as the highest bidder of Ratha Yatra lease for the year 2017-18 and he has deposited the total lease amount of Rs 471000.00, in shape of DDs No.845398/7.6.17 of Rs 50000.00, 45397/7.6.17 of Rs 50000.00 and 775919/7.6.17 of Rs 50000.00 and in shape of cash remaining amount of Rs 321000.00 has been deposited for Ratha Yatra for the year 2017-18 7. But on checking of the lease file it is revealed that

1. The last tender of Ratha Yatra collection was done for the year 2016-17 and the tender was awarded in favours of Sri Adarsa Kumar Das, with annual tender amount of Rs.661500.00 vide Office L.No.1935/22.6.2017

2. For the year 2014-15 and 2015-16, tender was awarded in favours of Sri Adarsa Kumar Das, with annual tender amount of Rs 422222.00 and Rs 652525.00 vide Office L.N. 1304/12.6.2014 and 1618/8.7.2015 respectively.

3. For invitation of Ratha Yatra tender call notice, 2017-18 vide Office Letter No.1883/16.6.17, it is seen that three bidders were participate towards lease of car festival. On scrutiny of bid amounts of three participants, it is seen that Sri Adarsha Kumar Das is highest bidder of Rs 421000.00. Hence, the E.O., PKD, municipality called for negotiation Sri Adarsha Kumar Das for conformation of Ratha Yatra lease amount for the year 2017-18.

But at his own willingness, he agreed to pay of Rs 471000.00 and deposited the same. Here, audit pointed out that, without re-tender call by municipality, the conformation was given to Sri Adarsha Kumar Das. As per Sec 132, of Odisha Municipal Act, 1950, the tax is imposed at a rate of exceeding 10% on the annual value, But neither the Executive Officer nor the Chairperson has taken any steps to tender the Ratha Yatra by enhancing 10% in every year which amounts of Rs 727650.00. as per Govt./ Norm. Hence why, the difference amount of Rs 256650.00 will not be treated as a loss of additional revenue of municipality fund was asked through objection memo

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.58/27.6.18, the local authority replied that scrutiny will be made and necessary steps to be taken to enhance the lease amount in the next year. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the objection. Here audit points out without follow of re-tender and having without approval of council body resolution in this matter, the tender is awarded to Adarsh Kumar Das. As a result, the municipality losses its revenue and earns lesser revenue than the previous year lease amount of Rathayatra. Here, audit suggest to the local authority to take effective steps for earning more than the previous year. So Audit worked out the differential amount of Rs 256650.00 which is a loss of additional revenue of Municipality fund. So the amount of Rs 256650.00 is kept under objection till the same was investigated by the higher officials of Govt. in H & UD Deptt. Odisha and District administration as well.

During exit conference the local authority stated that This office has called totally 3 times quotations towards lease of Ratha Yatra for the year 2017-2018. The 1st quotation call notice issued vide No.1775, dated 31.5.2017 and nobody is participated. Again the second quotation called Notice issued vide L.No. 1868, dated 9.6.2017 but nobody is participated. Again the 3rd quotation is called vide Letter No.1883, dated 16.6.2017. 3 Nos of quotations were received towards Ratha Yatra tender for the year 2017 the details of participated bidders are noted below.

1. Sri. Sujit kumar Panigrahy has quoted Rs. 3,20,000.00

2.Sri. Krushna ch.boxi has quoted	Rs. 3, 05,000.00
3.Sri.Adarsh kumar dash has quoted	Rs. 4,21,000.00

It is found that Sri. Adarsh kumar Dash has quoted the 1st highest rate for Rs. 4,21,000.00/-, but the quoted amount of Sri.Dash is less as comparison to the lease amount for the previous year 2016. Hence vide this office letter No. 1892, dated 21.6.2017 Sri. Adarsh kumar Dash was called for negotiation and he has agreed and submitted application to take up the lease for Rs. 4,71,000.00 and this office accepted the negotiation rates of Sri. Adarshkumar Dash and allowed the lease and the same was approved by the Chairperson, Paralakhemundi Municipality Hence the Audit objection Para may kindly be dropped.

However the local authority failed to produce the extract of news papers where the quotation call notices for the Ratha Yatra, 2017 were published three times. Also no correspondence has been made with the next higher authority (competent authority) regarding finalization of quotation price less than that of previous year amounting to Rs.256650.00. It was also seen that the same person namely Sri Adarsh Kumar Dash was the successful bidder since last four years (including this year-2017) with the bid amount as follows.

2014=Rs.422222.00
2015=Rs.652525.00
2016=Rs.661500.00
2017=Rs.471000.00

.As per Rule, the upset price for this year quotation should be 10% excess of the amount for the previous year 2016 i.e (Rs.661500.00 + 10% of Rs.661500.0) =Rs.727650.00. But against Rs.727650.00 this year bid was finalized with money value of Rs.471000.00 resulted in less collection of revenue of Rs.256650.00. So till approval of the same by the competent authority the differential amount of Rs.256650.00 is kept under objection.

13.3 - Non-Collection of license fees in respect of installed mobile towers within the municipal Area-

Non-Collection of license fees in respect of installed mobile towers within the municipal Area:-

1.Non-Collection of Renewal fees from Telecom Tower Companies:-

on scrutiny of Telecom Tower companies correspondences file and the last and previous audit report it is noticed that, 5no. of Telecom Towers have already been installed within Municipality area and another applied on 2.08.2013 as details below. As per Letter No. 6875-Gen (TEL)-06/2007-Com.-16.08.2007 read with GO No.35742-1357-150010/2013/HUD/17.12.2013 relating to special regulation for installation of Telecom Towers in urban area of Odisha,2013 the telecom companies have to deposit the annual renewal fee @ 1000/- per annum failing which penalty, if any ,@1% of Rs 10000.00 per month of delay of renewal will be levied on telecom tower companies.. On the basis of above said Govt. order the pre mentioned telecom companies have to deposit annual renewal license fee along with penalty for the year 2017-18 late fee (Rs1000.00+penalty Rs1200.00) Rs.2200.00 each comes to Rs.11000.00 which is a loss to the Municipal fund as follows .

Loss of revenue due to non-collection of license fee.							
SL No.	Name of	Location of	Date of	Due period for	Amount	Penalty,If	Total(Rs)

	Company	Tower	Installation	renewal	Paid for renewal Fee @ Rs 1000.00 per Year	any, @ 1% of Rs 10000.00 per month of dealy of renewal.		
1)	Reliance communiation, 6 th floor, Fortune Tower, BBSR	New Bus Stand, PKD	23.02.2008	2018-19	1000.00	1200.00		2200.00
2)	T. T. Info Service Ltd, Fortune Tower, BBSR	Head Post Office, PKD	20.7.2011	2018-19	1000.00	1200.00		2200.00
3)	Bharati Air-tel Ltd., Sriya Square, BBSR	PKD	17.12.2008	2018-19	1000.00	1200.00		2200.00
4)	ATC-Transcend Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd, BBSR	Friends Colony, PKD	19.12.2009	2018-19	1000.00	1200.00		2200.00
5)	ATC-Transcend Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd, BBSR	Teli Sundi Street, PKD	19.12.2009	2018-19	1000.00	1200.00		2200.00
	Total				5000.00	6000.00		11000.00

In response to audit objection memo No.57/27.6.18, the local authority replied the same will be intimated to telecom companies and take necessary steps to collect the same from them.

Hence, the audit suggest the local authority to collect the aforesaid dues immediately and credit in the municipal fund. Till then the whole amount of Rs 11000.00 is kept under objection.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to collect the renewal fees during the year 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it. Till then Rs.11000.00 is kept under objection

13.4 - Non-Realisation of License Fee of Municipal Quarters-

Non-Realization of License Fee of Municipal Quarters:-

From the DCB position of Municipal quarters as furnished by the local authority , there are 62 Nos of municipal quarters. Out of them ,9 No. of quarters are damaged.Hence rest 51Nos of quarters are allotted to municipal staff and private holders.But scrutiny of DCB of municipal quarter lease file, it is seen that all the staffs have deducted full amount of HRA @ 5% which is due and other private lease holders have not paid the license fee of quarters regularly. Therefore, it is seen that an amount of Rs 972804.00 has not been collected yet by the municipality which is a loss of municipal fund as detailed below. Why ,the said amount of Rs 972804.00 will not be treated as a loss of municipal fund may be clarified to audit.

DCB position from private lease holders as on 31.03.18 is furnished below.

SL No	Name of Lease holder	Demand			Collection			Balance		
		Arrear	Current	Total	Arrear	Current	Total	Arrear	Current	Total
1)	Spl. Branch	784	9408	10192	0	9408	9408	748	0	748
2)	Arakshita Behera	4703	15270	19973	0	0	0	4703	15270	19973
3)	K. Madhab Rao	0	12223	12223	0	10110	10110	0	2113	2113
4)	Satyabhama Das	1930	12233	14163	0	0	0	1930	12233	14163
5)	Sitala Pani	0	12233	12233	0	11121	11121	0	1112	1112
6)	Chandramati Jena	0	12233	12233	0	4044	4044	0	8189	8189
7)	Bansidhar Panda	0	12233	12233	0	12233	12233	0	0	0
8)	R. Ramkrishna	1262	16532	17794	0	0	0	1262	16532	17794
9)	G. Pusha Kumar	0	16532	16532	0	16532	16532	0	0	0
10)	Surashri Mishra	0	8688	8688	0	5068	5068	0	3620	3620
11)	Laxmi Narayan Pattanaik	0	13244	13244	0	13244	13244	0	0	0
12)	Ramkrushna Ratha	0	13244	13244	0	13244	13244	0	0	0
13)	Simadri Behera	0	13244	13244	0	13244	13244	0	0	0
14)	Krishnabeni Pattanaik	0	13244	13244	0	5055	5055	0	8189	8189
15)	Maheswari Mishra	0	13244	13244	0	13244	13244	0	0	0
16)	Abudul Khayam	1262	16532	17794	0	0	0	1262	16532	17794
17)	Sankar Biswal	0	3600	3600	0	3000	3000	0	600	600
18)	Krupasindu Ratha	60973	16532	77505	0	0	0	60973	16532	77505
19)	Krushna Ch. Pattanaik	0	16532	16532	0	16532	16532	0	0	0
20)	Santunu Choudhary		3600	3600	0	3600	3600	0	0	0
21)	G. Debaki	0	16532	16532	0	16532	16532	0	0	0
22)	jagadisha Dev	57408	16532	73940	0	0	0	57408	16532	73940
23)	K.Jagashiswar Rao	26280	16532	42812	0	0	0	26280	16532	42812

24)	Pradeep Kumar Panda	94844	16532	111376	0	0	0	94844	16532	111376
25)	Appana Parichha	18979	16532	35511	0	0	0	18979	16532	35511
26)	Krupasindu Panda	0	16532	16532	0	16532	16532	0	0	0
27)	Malati Mishal	100000	16532	116532	0	0	0	100000	16532	116532
28)	T. Kalabati	76096	16532	92628	0	0	0	76096	16532	92628
29)	Basanti Bhukta	79041	16532	95573	0	0	0	79041	16532	95573
30)	Linga Murtti	26280	16532	42812	0	0	0	26280	16532	42812
31)	Brundaban Pani	5985	0	5985	0	0	0	5985	0	5985
32)	U. Kumar Devi	74329	16532	90861	0	0	0	74329	16532	90861
33)	N. Chandra Mahakuda	0	16532	16532	0	16532	16532	0	0	0
34)	Jayaram Pattanaik	74918	16532	91450	0	0	0	74918	16532	91450
35)	Netra Karjee	0	16532	16532	0	16532	16532	0	0	0
34)	K. Appala Narasama	52704	16532	69236	0	0	0	52704	16532	69236
		686864	370996	1120095	0	147291	147291	749099	223705	972804

In response to audit objection memo No.98/18.7.18, the local authority replied that, the same will be intimated to the person concerned and take necessary action to collect both arrear and current license fee of quarters. Hence, the audit suggest the local authority to collect the aforesaid dues immediately and credit to the municipal fund. Till then the whole amount of Rs 972804.00 is kept under objection.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to collect the same as quick as possible. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it. Till then Rs.972804.00 is kept under objection.

13.5 - Less collection of license fee for granting permission and renewal of permission on respect of telephone towers within the municipal area-

Less collection of license fee. (For granting permission and renewal of permission) in respect of telephone towers within the municipal area:-

As per Lt No:-28334/H & UD,dt 31.12.2014 along with Para-10 of regulation act, the installation and renewal fees in respect of mobile towers have been enhanced within the municipal jurisdiction i.e. for installation of new mobile towers is Rs 75000.00.The license fee for renewal of new installation of mobile towers is @ 10% of installation fee will be charged at a interval of three years by ULBs. However, there is a condition that the said circular will be operative after publication of same i.e. on or after 31.12.2014 in Odisha Gazette. Here audit asks to local authority that ,how many new mobile towers has been installed satisfying such criteria ,may be furnish in the format given below.

SL No	Name of service provider(Telecom Company)	Particulars of mobile tower (location)	Amount of revenue due to be collected				Amount collected				Less collection
			Installation charge	Renewal fee	Penalty	Total	Installation charge	Renewal fee	penalty	Total	
1)	2)	3)	4)	5)	6)	7)	8)	9)	10)	11)	12)

In response to audit objection memo No.59/27.6..18, the local authority replied that no telecom tower has been installed on or after 31.12.14. Here, the audit suggest the local authority to follow such instruction to collect enhanced license fee if any mobile tower has been installed on or after 31.12.14 for growth of municipal revenue . The reply of local authority is accepted by audit. Hence the para is dropped.

--

PARA: 14 AUDIT OF EXPENDITURE

--

14.1 - Inadmissible payment towards family pension Pension to a deceased person- POM-46/25.6.18

--

Inadmissible payment towards family pension to a deceased person:-

On scrutiny of salary acquittance roll and pension acquittance roll , it is noticed that, Nagabansa Kanna,W/O- Late N. Appana was appointed as a scavenger under Rehabilitation Scheme as per L.N. 3807/dtd 30.9.89 . She was drawing both salary and family pension. She passed away on 02.03.17 as per her death certificate. Surprisingly, it reveals that ,a total sum of Rs 14000.00 @ Rs 3500.00/ month for the period of 3/2017 to 6/2017 has been credited in her account towards family pension. Here one question arises that, how the family pension was sanctioned against a dead person ? That means pension to a ghost ? What absurd ?

In response to audit objection memo No.46/25.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs14000.00 will be re recovered from the person concerned within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs14000.00 is suggested for recovery from the person concerned.

During exit conference the local authority replied that already the person concern is dead. The recovery will be made from his pending dues as per provision. However the compliance of local authority is not satisfactory. The local authority is suggested to recover Rs.14000.00 from the legal heirs of the deceased employee and compliance reported to audit.

--

--

14.2 - Excess payment towards differential arrear DA drawn-POM-48/25.6.18

--

Excess payment towards differential arrear DA drawn:-

On scrutiny of pay acquittance roll of N. Mariamma,sweeper, with Ref. to paid voucher of arrear DA for the period of 7/2016 to 12/2016 @ 132% per month , it is observed that, an amount of Rs 3906.00 was paid in excess towards differential arrear DA, due to increase in pay by Rs 43.00 (Rs6373.00-6330.00) from july,2016 to December,2016 .So,an amount of Rs 3906.00 was paid in excess towards differential arrear DA than the actual by way of increase in pay for above period .The detail is given below.

Sl No.	Name of employees with designation	For the month	Actual drawn (Rs)	Due (Rs)	Excess drawn (Rs)
1)	N. Mariamma,sweeper.	7/16	22272.00	21620.00	652.00
		8/16	22272.00	21620.00	652.00
		9/16	22272.00	21620.00	652.00
		10/16	22272.00	21620.00	652.00
		11/16	22272.00	21620.00	652.00
		12/16	22736.00	22090.00	646.00
	Total				3906.00

In response to audit objection memo No.48/25.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 3906.00 will be recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 3906.00 is suggested for recovery from N. Marriamma,Sweeper.

During exit conference the local authority stated that the concerned person is already dead. The recovery will be made from her pending dues as per provision. However the Local Authority is suggested to ensure it and compliance reported to audit.

--

--

--

14.3 - Excess payment towards differential arrear DA drawn-Ref. to POM-49/26.6.18

--

Excess payment towards differential arrear DA:-

On scrutiny of pay acquittance roll of H. K. Nagabansa,sweeper, with Ref. to paid voucher of arrear DA for the period from 7/2016 to 12/2016 @ 132% per month , it is observed that, an amount of Rs 500.00 was paid in excess towards differential arrear DA, due to increase in pay by Rs 43.00 (Rs6373.00-6330.00) from july,2016 to December,2016 .So,an amount of Rs 500.00 was paid in excess towards

differential arrear DA than the actual by way of increase in pay for above period. The detail is given below. The details are given below

SI No.	Name of employees with designation	For the month	Actual drawn (Rs)	Due (Rs)	Excess drawn (Rs)
1)	H.K. Nagabansa, Sweeper	7/16	18265.00	18165.00	100.00
		8/16	18265.00	18165.00	100.00
		9/16	18265.00	18165.00	100.00
		10/16	18265.00	18165.00	100.00
		11/16	18265.00	18165.00	100.00
		12/16	18730.00	18630.00	100.00
	Total				500.00

In response to audit objection memo No.49/26.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 500.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 500.00 is suggested for recovery from H. K. Nagabansa, Sweeper.

During exit conference the local authority has been recovered the said amount from H.K.Nagabansa, Sweeper vide MR No.29, book no.90 dt.15.1.2019 and accounted in Cashier cash book page no 69 dt. 15.01.2019. Hence the sub-para is dropped.

14.4 -

Excess payment in arrear DA due to excess shown in Basic Pay:- on checking of pay acquittance roll with Ref. to paid vouchers of arrear DA, it is noticed that a sum of Rs 1031.00 has been paid in excess towards arrear DA due to excess shown in Pay Band of Rs 11005+GP 4200.00 instead of Rs 10560+GP 4200.00 which is furnished below.

SL No	Name of employee with designation	For the month	Actual DA @ 132% drawn(Rs)	Due DA @ 132% (Rs)	Excess paid (Rs)
1)	Ganesha Prasad Patro, J.E.	7/16	35276.00	34245.00	1031.00
	Total				1031.00

In response to audit objection memo No.50/26.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs1031.00 will be recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 1031.00 is suggested for recovery from Ganesha Prasad Patro, J.E.

During exit conference the local authority has been recovered the said amount from Ganesha Prasad Patra, JE vide MR No.27, book no.90 dt.15.1.2019 and accounted in Cashier cash book page no 69 dt. 15.01.2019. Hence the sub-para is dropped.

14.5 -

Less deduction towards Professional Tax:

As per Letter No.31801-CTA-43/2010/FD/dtd 21.07.10, the professional tax is to be deducted @1250 per annum if the gross salary remains within the slab of Rs 160000 -Rs300000 and Rs 2500 per annum if exceeds Rs 300000.00 During the scrutiny of acquittance roll with Ref. to Account Cash Book, It is noticed that an amount of Rs 1100.00 has been less deducted towards professional tax which needs to be recovery from the person concerned. The details are furnished below.

SI	Name Of Employee with designation	Salary paid during the Year 2017-18	PT Due	PT deducted	Less deducted
1	A. K. Rout, E.O.	678040.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
2	G.P. Patro, J.E.	442981.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
3	S. Koteswar Rao, Pharmacist	483922.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
4	S.Ch. Panigrahi, OTS	365862.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00

5	V. Laxman Rao,OTS	373662.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
6	Y.Gaddaya,OTS	373662.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
7	H.K. Palka,OTS	365862.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
8	Aliya Sabar,OTS	365862.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
9	G.S. Behera,OTS	366042.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
10	G.D. Khanja,OTS	373662.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
11	A. Dugra Prasad,OTS	373662.00	2500.00	2400.00	100.00
	Total		27500.00	26400.00	1100.00

In response to audit objection memo No.28/5.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 1100.00 will be re recovered from the officials concerned within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 1100.00 is suggested for recovery from the officials as noted against each in table above.

During exit conference the local authority stated that the same will be deducted from Salary bill of January, 2019. Hence the local authority is suggested to ensure it and compliance reported to audit.

14.6 -

Less deduction towards Professional Tax:

As per Letter No.31801-CTA-43/2010/FD/dtd 21.07.10,the professional tax is to be deducted @1250 per annum if the gross salary remains within the slab of Rs 160000 -Rs300000 and Rs 2500 per annum if exceeds Rs 300000.00 During the scrutiny of acquittance roll with Ref. to Account Cash Book, It is noticed that an amount of Rs 1100.00 has been less deducted towards professional tax which needs to be recovery from the person concerned. The details are furnished below.

P.K. Behera,Attendant					
Sl	For Month	Salary paid during the Year2017-18	PT Due	PT deducted	Less deducted
1	Mar-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
2	Apr-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
3	May-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
4	Jun-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
5	Jul-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
6	Aug-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
7	Sep-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
8	Oct-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
9	Nov-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
10	Dec-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
11	Jan-18	27015.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	27015.00	300.00	125.00	175.00
	Total	308910.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00

R.P. Jena,Jamadar

1	Mar-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
2	Apr-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
3	May-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
4	Jun-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
5	Jul-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
6	Aug-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
7	Sep-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
8	Oct-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
9	Nov-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
10	Dec-17	26626.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
11	Jan-18	27406.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	28223.00	300.00	125.00	175.00
		321889.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
P.K. Lima, Jamadar					
1	Mar-17	25063.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
2	Apr-17	25063.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
3	May-17	25063.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
4	Jun-17	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
5	Jul-17	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
6	Aug-17	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
7	Sep-17	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
8	Oct-17	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
9	Nov-17	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
10	Dec-17	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
11	Jan-18	25805.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	25805.00	300.00	125.00	175.00
		307434.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
P. Durga Rao, Peon					
1	Mar-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
2	Apr-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
3	May-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
4	Jun-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
5	Jul-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
6	Aug-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
7	Sep-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
8	Oct-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
9	Nov-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
10	Dec-17	25291.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
11	Jan-18	26048.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	26813.00	300.00	125.00	175.00

		305771.00		2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
P. Bhimudu,Peon.						
1	Mar-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
2	Apr-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
3	May-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
4	Jun-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
5	Jul-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
6	Aug-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
7	Sep-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
8	Oct-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
9	Nov-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
10	Dec-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
11	Jan-18	26991.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
12	Feb-18	26991.00	300.00	125.00		175.00
		308622.00	2500.00	1500.00		1000.00
B.P. Mishra,Amin						
1	Mar-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
2	Apr-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
3	May-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
4	Jun-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
5	Jul-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
6	Aug-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
7	Sep-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
8	Oct-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
9	Nov-17	25488.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
10	Dec-17	26247.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
11	Jan-18	27016.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
12	Feb-18	27016.00	300.00	125.00		175.00
		309671.00	2500.00	1500.00		1000.00
Subasini Mahakuda,Peon						
1	Mar-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
2	Apr-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
3	May-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
4	Jun-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
5	Jul-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
6	Aug-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
7	Sep-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
8	Oct-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
9	Nov-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
10	Dec-17	25464.00	200.00	125.00		75.00
11	Jan-18	26991.00	200.00	125.00		75.00

12	Feb-18	26991.00	300.00	125.00	175.00
		308622.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
Balmiki Barik, Peon					
1	Mar-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
2	Apr-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
3	May-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
4	Jun-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
5	Jul-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
6	Aug-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
7	Sep-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
8	Oct-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
9	Nov-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
10	Dec-17	24955.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
11	Jan-18	26466.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	26466.00	1050.00	125.00	925.00
		302482.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
P. Sankar Rao,T.S.					
1	Mar-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
2	Apr-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
3	May-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
4	Jun-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
5	Jul-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
6	Aug-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
7	Sep-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
8	Oct-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
9	Nov-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
10	Dec-17	26934.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
11	Jan-18	28596.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	28596.00	300.00	125.00	175.00
		326532.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
B.B. Rout,Peon					
1	Mar-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
2	Apr-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
3	May-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
4	Jun-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
5	Jul-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
6	Aug-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
7	Sep-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
8	Oct-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
9	Nov-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
10	Dec-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00

11	Jan-18	25677.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	26418.00	1050.00	125.00	925.00
		301405.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
Girisha Chadra Behera, Peon.					
1	Mar-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
2	Apr-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
3	May-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
4	Jun-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
5	Jul-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
6	Aug-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
7	Sep-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
8	Oct-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
9	Nov-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
10	Dec-17	24931.00	125.00	125.00	0.00
11	Jan-18	25677.00	200.00	125.00	75.00
12	Feb-18	25677.00	1050.00	125.00	925.00
		300664.00	2500.00	1500.00	1000.00
	Total				11000.00

In response to audit objection memo No.52/26.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 11000.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs11000.00 is suggested for recovery from the officials as noted against each in the table above.

During exit conference the local authority stated that the same will be deducted from Salary bill of January, 2019. Hence the local authority is suggested to ensure it and compliance reported to audit.

14.7 -

Inadmissible Payment towards Medical allowance to employee of Paralakhemundi Municipality for the Year 2017-18.

On checking of pay acquittance roll of the municipality employees , it is noticed that some employees have been availed medical allowance for the year 2017-18,in contravention of Rule 415 of the OM Rules-1953 and Letter No.14965/27.05.2008 of the H & UD Deptt. states that conditions of employees of a council shall not be more favourable than those of Govt. servants of the similar standing and status in respect of (a) salary and allowance ,(b) leave and leave salary,(c) travelling allowance, superannuation and retirement. Since the state Govt. employees are not availing the medical allowance ,the ULB employees are not eligible to get the same. Hence the payment of Rs 167200.00 as details below towards medical allowance to the staff cannot admitted in audit . The details are as follows.

SL No	Name with designation	Period	Amount(Rs)	Remarks per month
1	Asok Kumar Raut,E.O.	03/17 to 2/18	4200.00	350/- p.m.
2	B.V. Raman,AEE	01/18 to 02/18	400.00	200/-p.m.
3	Sri G.P. Patro,J.E.	03/17 to 11/17	1800.00	200/-p.m.
4	P.K. Samal,JA	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
5	Sri Koteswar Rao,Phram	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
6	P.K. Behera,Attendant	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
7	P. Sankar Rao,T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
8	S.N. Mahakunda,NW	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
9	R.K. Bebatra,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
10	Sri Balamki Barik,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
11	M.K. Satapathy,B.C.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
12	S.Ch. Panigrahi,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
13	V. Laxman Rao,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
14	S.K. subudhi,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
15	Sri Fakir Behera,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
16	T. Haribabu,R.R. Driver	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
17	N. Simanchal,Zamadar	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
18	R.P. jena,Zamadar	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
19	P.K. Jena,Zamadar	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
20	P.K. Lima,Zamadar	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
21	V. Lingaraj,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
22	Hemalata Mishra,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
23	K.K. Panda,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
24	Y. Gaddaya,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
25	H.K. Palka,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
26	Aliya Sabar,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
27	G.S. Behera,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
28	G.D. Khanja,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
29	A. Durgaprasad,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
30	B.B. Pani,O.T.S.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
31	B.B. Rout,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
32	G.Ch. Behera,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.

33	N.M. M. Rao,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
34	Durga Rao,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
35	B.D. Pattanaik,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
36	B. Bhimuu,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
37	Sri B.P. Mishra,Amin	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
38	Prabhakar Sahoo,W.M.	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
39	T. Hemalata,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
40	Subhasini Mahakunda,Peon	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
41	Total		95200.00	
42	Padal Ravi,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
43	N. Abhimanyu, Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
44	P. Kishore,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
45	Sri P.Ganesh,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
46	N. Saraswati,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
47	Smt. G.Radha,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
48	Smt. G. Lalita,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
49	Smt. P.Kamala,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
50	Smt. N. Anjali,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
51	Smt. N. Sukumari,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
52	Smt. N. Mariamma,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
53	Smt. N. Kanamma,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
54	N. Rasulu,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
55	Sri N. Babudhan,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
56	Sri Guralu Raju,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
57	Sri Padala Janu,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
58	B. Appayamma,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
59	Smt. Guralu Segudu,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
60	Sri H.K. Nagabansa,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
61	Smt Padala Parvati,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
62	Miss J. Purnammo,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
63	Sri Padala Amulya,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
64	Sri P. Kannaka,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
65	Sri S. Ballava,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
66	Sri Padala Nirmala,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
67	Smt N. chilikamma.Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
68	P. Simanchala,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
69	Sri Bada Krishna,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
70	Smt N. Sukundi,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
71	Sri Padala Nanu,Sweeper	03/17 to 2/18	2400.00	200/-p.m.
	Total		72000.00	
	Grand Total		167200.00	

In response to audit objection memo No.61/28.6.18, the local authority replied that, the medical allowance has been paid as per council resolution body approval of Govt. order is placed at the time of Exit-Conference. So, the payment has been made accordingly as per norms and conditions.

The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs167200.00 is kept under objection till approval of Govt. .

During exit conference the local authority stated that the medical allowance has been paid long years ago. And this provision has been passed in the Council Body Meeting vide CR No-01/04.03.2006. Hence the sub para may be dropped. Hence the local authority is suggested without approval of Govt., the medical allowance is not admissible. Till then Rs.167200.00 is kept under objection.

14.8 - Engagement of CLR/DLR/MMRs appointed after on dt 19.5.1997 vide circular No.-MIS-129/2000/36051/H &UD,dtd15.12.2000 is banned without Government approval-

Engagement of CLR/DLR/MMRs appointed after on dt 19.5.1997 vide circular No.-MIS-129/2000/36051/H &UD,dtd15.12.2000 is banned without Government approval:-

As per provision contained in section-73(1) of Oddisha municipality act,1950,every municipality, with the previous sanction of the state Government and as per provisions of the section 73(2) of the Act, the municipality may, in case of emergency, make provisions for temporary employment of employees for a period of not exceeding 44 days.

Further as per section 73(2) stipulates that the pay and allowance paid to the person whose appointment is in contravention of the provisions of this act shall be deemed to be illegal payment and loss a the municipal fund and same shall be recoverable by surcharging it under Local Fund Act,1948,against such holder of elective office, officer or authority who makes such appointment.

In contravention of above Govt. instruction and aforesaid provision of Odisha Municipal Act, 1950,audit found that a total sum of Rs 1958600.00 has been paid towards wages of DLRs/NMRs during the year under audit. This is highly illegal.

SL No	Vr No./Date	Total No. of DLR/NMRs/CLRs	Period	Amount paid(Rs)
1)	62/5.5.17	39	3/17	204800.00
2)	97/18.5.17	39	4/17	187000.00
3)	164/21.6.17	39	5/17	199800.00
4)	226/18.7.17	39	6/17	188200.00
5)	274/10.8.17	39	7/17	195200.00
6)	305/18.9.17	39	8/17	203200.00
7)	382/8.10.17	39	9/17	202800.00
8)	525/18.12.17	39	10/17	192800.00
9)	555/27.12.17	39	11/17	193200.00
10)	619/20.1.18	39	12/17	191600.00
Total				1958600.00

In response to audit objection memo No.62/29.6.18, the local authority does not furnished any reply to settle the para. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs1958600.00 is kept under objection till approval of Govt. .

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to obtain permission from the Govt.. Hence the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported. Till then Rs.1958600.00 is kept under objection.

14.9 - Excess payment shown in social security scheme than actual disbursement- POM-60/28.6.18

Excess payment shown in social security scheme than actual disbursement made:-

On checking of pay acquittance roll of the beneficiaries of social scheme of paralakhemundi municipality for the year 2017-18, it is noticed that a sum of Rs3400.00 as detailed below has been shown excess payment by the disbursing officials than the actual disbursed amount which leads to a loss of municipal fund . Hence the said amount needs immediate recovery from officials concerned.

SL No	Scheme	Vr No./Date	For month	Ward No	Amount shown as Exp.	Actual Payment made	Excess payment (Rs)	Name of Disbursing Officials with Designation
1	MBPY	206/14.07.17	6/17	9	35400	33900	1500	Sri Aliya Sabar,OTS
2	MBPY	302/13.09.17	8/17	1	151100	150500	600	Sri Y Gaddaya,OTS
3	IGNWP	81/11.05.17	4/17	4	41700	41400	300	Sri Brundabana Pani,OTS
4	IGNDP	81/11.05.17	4/17	6	51000	50000	1000	Sri Brundabana Pani,OTS
TOTAL					279200	275800	3400	

In response to audit objection memo No.60/28.6.18, the local authority replied that, the said amount of Rs 3400.00 will be re recovered within the Exit-Conference. The objection stands on its own merit and the amount of Rs 3400.00 is suggested for recovery from official concerned.

During exit conference the local authority recovered the said amount in different MR Nos i.e Rs1500.00 was recovered vide MR No-90/03dtd26.12.2018, (CCB Page-61, dt.24.12.18) Rs1300.00 by 83/73dtd24.12.2018 (CCB page no.60/dt.24.12.18) & Rs600.00 by 83/74dtd24.12.2018 (CCB page no.60/dt.24.12.18). A total of Rs 3400.00 has been recovered. Hence the sub-para is dropped.

14.10 -

POSITION OF MUNICIPALITY ESTABLISHMENT

SI No	Category of Post	LFS/ Non-LFS	No. of sanctioned post	Men in position	Vacancy as on 31.03.2018	Remarks
1	Executive Officer	LFS	1	1	0	
2	Municipal Engineer	LFS	1	0	1	
3	Junior Engineer	LFS	1	1	0	
4	Head Assistant	LFS	1	0	1	
5	Senior Assistant	LFS	3	0	3	
6	junior Assistant	LFS	6	3	3	
7	Pharmacist	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
8	Amin	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
9	OTS	Non-LFS	9	9	0	

10	Bill Collector	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
11	Treasury Sarkar	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
12	Work Mistry	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
13	Jamadar	Non-LFS	3	3	0	
14	R R Driver	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
15	Peon	Non-LFS	20	16	4	
16	Medical attendant	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
17	Night Watcher	Non-LFS	1	1	0	
18	Sweeper	Non-LFS	34	29	5	
	TOTAL		87	70	17	

CONTRACTUAL STAFF POSITION

1	Junior Engineer (BRGF)		1	1	0	
2	Accountant		1	1	0	
3	MIS Programmer		1	1	0	
4	DEO		1	1	0	
5	Community Organiser		3	3	0	
6	Sweeper		4	4	0	
	TOTAL		11	11	0	
1	DLR		41	39	2	
2	NMR		18	18	0	
	Total		59	57	2	

14.11 - List of names of incumbents in all deputation and transfer posts of the ULB

List of Names of incumbency in all deputation and transfer posts of Municipality, Paralkahemundi

Name of the Post	Full name of incumbent	Period of incumbency	GPF A/c No	Phone No	Station to which transferred
J.E	Sri Ganesh Prasad Patra				Berhampur Municipal Corporation, Ganjam

14.12 -

Irregular maintenance of Electrical Accessories. (AOS P-65 TO 70)

In Odisha Govt Administration, the Municipality has been bestowed on a prime responsibility for the welfare of public. Veracity of developmental works, welfare works, electrification, sanitation & awareness campaign are executed by this institution. Among these public welfare works, electrification is a vital & crucial job as it is considered as a basic requirement. So proper execution, utilization & maintenance are inevitable. Along with due procedure must be adhered to in recording the book of account & 'stock & store'. So that the aim & object, purpose & target of Govt would be fulfilled.

During scrutiny of 'Stock & Store' of electrical accessories, it is revealed that, a vast quantity of electrical accessories which costs in lacks(Rs12,41,320.00) has been issued during the financial year 2017-18. But Audit discovered a good number of faults in maintaining the same. That every issuing item should be entered in 'Stock & Store' bearing the date, registration number with warranty guarantee period, so that, at the time of replacement, one can conclude that, whether the replaced accessory is coming under the warranty or guarantee period. And such type of events are obvious as there are thousands of accessories in numbers & lacks of transactions made. But neither any registration no nor any warranty guarantee period has been depicted in the register. And the most surprising fact is that, not a single accessory has been refunded to the company during the whole financial year. So it is obvious that, what a great loss has the Municipality been sustained in years. Then the 2nd major fault is that, the replaced or defunct non perishable accessories must be deposited & the same needs to be depicted in the dead stock register. Because the cost of replaced accessories deposited in years together will cost in lacks. But not a single replaced or defunct accessory has been recorded in the dead stock register. As a result, the Audit is in dark that, as much accessories issued during the year has been actually required or not? So the total procedure of record keeping on electrical item by this municipality is wrong. Whose immediate remedy & rectification is inevitable.

So the local authority is advised to look into the matter physically & take necessary effective steps to eradicate the perennial problem & save the Municipality fund. The details are given below.

Electrical Accessories Issued During The Financial Year 2017-18

Particulars	Date of Issue	No of Accessories Issued	Rate of Accessory @ (Rs)	Amount(Rs)	
2X24 watt T5 Street Light fitting with electronic choke complete set.	08.06.2017	10	3200.00	32000.00	
	21.06.2017	5	3200.00	16000.00	
	11.07.2017	12	3200.00	38400.00	
	27.07.2017	12	3200.00	38400.00	
	08.08.2017	12	3200.00	38400.00	
	29.08.2017	10	3200.00	32000.00	
	21.09.2017	14	3200.00	44800.00	
	10.10.2017	15	3200.00	48000.00	
	27.10.2017	6	3200.00	19200.00	
	08.11.2017	4	3200.00	12800.00	
	17.11.2017	5	3200.00	16000.00	
	29.12.2017	6	3200.00	19200.00	
	05.01.2018	10	3200.00	32000.00	
	15.01.2018	6	3200.00	19200.00	
	01.02.2018	14	3200.00	44800.00	
	21.02.2018	15	3200.00	48000.00	
	26.02.2018	17	3200.00	54400.00	
	Total		173	3200.00	553600.00
	2X24 watt T5 6500K Lamp	25.04.2017	5	150.00	750.00
		12.05.2017	200	150.00	30000.00
08.06.2017		50	150.00	7500.00	

	03.07.2017	50	150.00	7500.00
	27.07.2017	50	150.00	7500.00
	23.08.2017	20	150.00	3000.00
	11.09.2017	30	150.00	4500.00
	21.09.2017	100	150.00	15000.00
	27.10.2017	30	150.00	4500.00
	08.11.2017	50	150.00	7500.00
	29.12.2017	80	150.00	12000.00
	09.01.2018	50	150.00	7500.00
	21.02.2018	20	150.00	3000.00
	Total	735	150.00	110250.00
2X24 T5 Side Holder	12.05.2017	30	80.00	2400.00
	27.10.2017	20	80.00	1600.00
	Total	50	80.00	4000.00
2X24 watt T5 Electronic Choke	12.01.2017	20	780.00	15600.00
	21.03.2017	20	780.00	15600.00
	12.05.2017	60	780.00	46800.00
	08.06.2017	20	780.00	15600.00
	03.07.2017	20	780.00	15600.00
	08.08.2017	20	780.00	15600.00
	11.09.2017	40	780.00	31200.00
	21.09.2017	40	780.00	31200.00
	08.11.2017	20	780.00	15600.00
	29.12.2017	40	780.00	31200.00
	09.01.2018	20	780.00	15600.00
	01.02.2018	20	780.00	15600.00
	Total	340	780.00	265200.00
40 watt Tube Light Starter	21.06.2017	2	10.00	20.00
	Total	2	10.00	20.00
Service Wire 4mm (In Coil)	25.04.2017	2	1280.00	2560.00
	08.06.2017	1	1300.00	1300.00
	29.08.2017	2	1300.00	2600.00
	Total	5	1300.00	6500.00
Service Wire 2.5mm (In Coil)	12.05.2017	2	990.00	1980.00
	21.06.2017	2	990.00	1980.00
	Total	4	990.00	3960.00
Service Wire 4mm (In Coil)	21.12.2017	2	1300.00	2600.00
	29.12.2017	2	1300.00	2600.00
	Total	4	1300.00	5200.00
SV Lamp Holder 250 watt	21.06.2017	10	195.00	1950.00

	27.10.2017	6	195.00	1170.00
	Total	16	195.00	3120.00
SV Lamp 250 watt	12.05.2017	10	440.00	4400.00
	08.06.2017	20	440.00	8800.00
	03.07.2017	10	440.00	4400.00
	27.07.2017	10	440.00	4400.00
	23.08.2017	10	440.00	4400.00
	10.10.2017	5	440.00	2200.00
	27.10.2017	10	440.00	4400.00
	17.11.2017	5	440.00	2200.00
	29.12.2017	15	440.00	6600.00
	01.02.2018	6	440.00	2640.00
	21.02.2018	6	440.00	2640.00
	26.02.2018	7	440.00	3080.00
	Total	114	440.00	50160.00
SV Lamp 400 watt	21.06.2017	12	520.00	6240.00
	15.01.2018	18	520.00	9360.00
	Total	30	520.00	15600.00
Choke for 250 watt SV Lamp	25.04.2017	3	2480.00	7440.00
	12.05.2017	9	2480.00	22320.00
	08.06.2017	10	2480.00	24800.00
	27.07.2017	5	2480.00	12400.00
	11.09.2017	10	2480.00	24800.00
	17.11.2017	5	2480.00	12400.00
	29.12.2017	4	2480.00	9920.00
	01.02.2018	4	2480.00	9920.00
	21.02.2018	4	2480.00	9920.00
	Total	54	2480.00	133920.00
SV Choke 400 watt	15.01.2018	18	3650.00	65700.00
	Total	18	3650.00	65700.00
SV Lamp Ignator 250 watt	12.05.2017	10	430.00	4300.00
	21.06.2017	15	430.00	6450.00
	03.07.2017	10	430.00	4300.00
	23.08.2017	10	430.00	4300.00
	10.10.2017	10	430.00	4300.00
	Total	55	430.00	23650.00
40 watt Tube Light	08.08.2017	4	40.00	160.00
	17.11.2017	2	40.00	80.00
	29.12.2017	5	40.00	200.00
	Total	11	40.00	440.00
	Grand Total			1241320.00

In response to POM No-42/25.06.2018 the local authority replied that, the instruction given by Audit will be adhered to. Any way the answer failed to satisfy Audit. So the amount of Rs1241320.00 is kept under objection till proper maintenance of electrical accessories register and verified by next audit.

During exit conference the local authority replied that instruction noted & adopt the method of maintenance of records strictly as per the instruction given by Audit. However the reply of the local authority is not satisfactory. Till then the entire amount of Rs.1241320.00 is kept under objection.

14.13 -

Violation of norms & conditions of 'Quotation Call Notice' in purchase of Sanitary Materials. (AOS P--71 to 73)

As per the council meeting dtd 28.10.2016 vide decision no-07, it was proposed to buy 100 nos of Plastic Dustbin(240lt) & 32 nos of Garbage Bin(Hanging) & the same was ordered by the Chairperson to the Executive Officer to initiate the process. Then sealed quotations were invited vide Lt No-4638/26.12.2016 from the intending Manufacturing/Suppling/Authorized dealers as per the specification described in the 'Quotation Call Notice'. In the 'Quotation Call Notice' it was clearly mentioned that, **the rates needs to be quoted inclusive all taxes, transportation etc complete in all respect & that to be supplied to the store of Paralakhemundi Municipality.** Three nos of suppliers had applied. All three agencies had applied excluding tax. Among them, the lowest bidder was M/S NIRMAL FABRIMECHS, NEW BUS STAND ROAD-Brahmpur which was ascertained on scrutinizing the comparative statement. Then vide letter no-511/25.02.2017, the said supplier was asked for negotiation. Then the supplier NIRMAL FABRIMECHS quoted the negotiated rates **excluding tax** violating the norms & conditions of 'Quotation Call Notice'vide Letter No-NIL/03.03.2017. And the most surprising fact is that, the Executive Officer accepted the negotiated rate given by the supplier & ordered the supplier to supply the sanitation materials within 15 days vide Letter No-638/03.03.2017 in which the initial signature of the dealing assistant is absent. Then the bill of Rs5,82,062.00 was passed for payment vide voucher no 78/10.05.2017. As a result, Rs60,062.00 was paid in excess. The details are given below.

Items finalized to purchase:-

- 1)Garbage Bin(Hanging):-35 nos
- 2)Plastic Dustbin(240lts):-50nos

Rates as per comparative statement:-

- 1)Garbage Bin-@Rs4800.00/piece
(Comparative statement is silent about tax)
- 2)Plastic Dustbin-@Rs7250.00/piece
(Comparative statement is silent about tax)

Negotiated Rate:-

- 1)Garbage Bin-Rs4700.00 + 5%tax
- 2)Plastic Dustbin-(Rs7150.00 +14.5% tax)

Payment made:-

- 1)Garbage Bin(50 nos)=Rs357500.00 + Rs51838.00(tax)
- 2)Plastic Dustbin35 nos)=Rs58750.00 + Rs8225.00(tax)

Total amount of Tax = Rs.51838.00 + 8225.00 = Rs.60063.00

So here Audit questions that, if the Quotation Call Notice has been published mentioning inclusive of all taxes of materials then how could the local authority accepted the applications of applicants without tax ? How could it was confirmed that, the rates depicted are without tax ? So the local authority is asked to clarify in this regard. In response to audit objection memos No.43 / 25.6.2018 the E.O did not furnished any reply. So Rs.60063.00 is kept under objection till production of supporting documents in this regards.

During exit conference the local authority stated that actually no rules has been violated purchasing sanitary materials. The provision of Quotation Call Notice is devoid of TAX i.e excluding tax. By mistakenly the advertisement published including tax which was unfortunately overlooked. Then Municipality went through the actual provision & negotiated excluding tax & paid accordingly. So here, the slightest irregularity has not been persisted. Hence the Audit is requested to drop the sub para.

However verified the documents and found that no evasion of tax has been made. Hence the sub-para is dropped.

PARA: 15 **AUDIT ON WORKS**

15.1 -

Irregularities found in maintenance of Works case records / Developmental Works. The following irregularities have been noticed during checking of work case records.

- 1) Municipality resolution for approval of project have not been incorporated in the case records as required under Rule 75(a) of PSAP rule 2002.
- 2) The Estimates technically sanctioned by assistant engineer have not been endorsed to APD (Tech) for counter signature comment as per Rule 77(5) Of PSAP Rule 2002 .
- 3) The analysis of rates & quarry chart duly approved have not been found in some of the case records as per Rule 77(2) Of PSAP Rule 2002.
- 4) Most of the work were executed deviating original estimates for which work done estimates duly approved have not been found in the case records.
- 5) The works register have not been maintained in proper form in development section.
- 6) As required Under Rule 77(4) a certificate as Certified that I have personally visited the spot and prepared the estimate using the sanctioned schedule of rates and providing for the most economical and safe way of execution is not found in all most all case records .
- 7) The Completion certificates in form no xxx has not been attached to the case records as required under Rule 80(1) of PSAP Rule-2002
- 8) The resolution number and the date of resolution of Panchayat samiti approving the execution of projects have not been mentioned in the case records before issue of the work order
- 9) In some of the case records the Assistant Engineer has not ensured the execution of of works according to the sanctioned plan, estimates and specifications.

On issue of the audit Objection statement ,the Local authority stated that the above statutory omissions and commissions would be followed hence forth.

Therefore the Local authority is advised to ensure the same and fact reported to Audit.

15.2 - Const of Road & Drain at back side of Jangam Street & Palace, Ph-II-AOSP-132 to 133

Name of the Work:- Const of Road & Drain at back side of Jangam Street & Palace, Ph-II

Head of account:- 14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs7,00,000.00

Vr No-361 & 362/2017-18

MB No-298, Pg No-01-04 & 06-17

Executant- Sri Priyadarsi Panigrahy

J.E-D.Judhistir

Wrong Execution Of Work.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, the earthwork for drain is 0.60mt depth. Sand filling for drain is 0.10mt & road is 0.10mt. Then CC(1:4:8) for drain is 0.10mt height & for road is 0.10mt. CC(1:2:4) for drain is 0.60mt height & for drain bed is 0.05mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.10mt height. The length of the road is 125mt & breadth is 4.00mt(av).

Analysis:-

Analyzing the above measurement it is observed that, after sand filling & CC works both for road & drain, the difference between the heights of road & drain is 0.041mt after 3% cambering. That means either the road is 0.04mt lowered or the drain projection is 0.04mt less in height. Discussing with the JE, audit found out the mistake in making of the projection wall & fixed responsibility.

Calculation:-

$1 \times (90+35) \text{mt} \times 0.20 \text{mt} \times 0.04 \text{mt} = 1.00 \text{cum}$

@Rs5389.45=Rs5389.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs5389.00

Person Responsible- D.Judhistir,JE & Sanjib Champati,ME

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.64/02.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 5389.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	2694
2	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	2695

15.3 - Const of Road & Drain near Siridi Sai Mandir of victory colony.AOSP-138 & 139

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain near Siridi Sai Mandir of victory colony.

Head of account:-Maint(R&B)General-2015-16

Estimated Cost-Rs5,00,000.00

Vr No-388 & 389/2017-18

MB No-270, Pg No-190-200& MB No-293Pg No-31-37

Executant- Sri Paramananda Panda.

J.E-GP Patro.

Excess Measurement Shown.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, dismantling for drain work is 0.70mt depth. The earthwork for drain is 0.35mt depth & road is 0.45mt.Sand filling for drain is 0.10mt & road is 0.10mt. Then CC(1:4:8) for drain is 0.10mt height & for road is 0.10mt. CC(1:2:4) for drain is 0.85(av)mt height & for drain bed is 0.10mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.175mt height. Breadth of the road is 5mt & length is 71.2mt.

Analysis:-

Taking the above measurements in dismantling, sand filling, CC(1:4:8), CC(1:2:4) & CC(1:1.5:3) by the JE, it is noticed that, the projection wall of the drain is 0.10mt above than the road. If one

consider the cambering, then difference will be rise to 0.125mt. But it is noticed from the photograph that, both drain & road are of same level. So it proves that, the JE has shown excess measurement.

Calculation:-

1X13.8mtX0.23mtX0.125mt=0.397cum

1X13.8mtX0.22mtX0.125mt=0.38cum

1X21.8mtX0.20mtX0.125mt=0.545cum

1X21.8mtX0.175mtX0.125mt=0.477cum

Total-1.799cum@Rs5389.45=Rs9696.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs9696.00

Person Responsible- GP Patro.,JE,Sanjib Champati,ME

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.67/03.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 9696.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Sno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesha Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Berhampur Municipal Corporation Dist-Ganjam.	4848
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	4848

15.4 - Name of the Work-Const of Road & Drain at backside of Palace & Jangam StreetAOSP-146-147

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at backside of Palace & Jangam Street

Head of account:-4th SFC-2015-16

Estimated Cost-Rs5,00,000.00

Vr No-70 & 71/2017-18

MB No-281, Pg No-184-197.

Executant- Sri Priyadarsi Panigrahy

J.E-D.Judhistir

Deviation.

On checking of case record & MB it is noticed that,vide item no-4,CC(1:4:8), the concerned contractor has deviated the quantity to an extent 16.00 percent violating the estimate, agreement as well as the OPWD code where maximum 5% can be considered. At a result, the equilibrium of the project might impact & the longevity might lessen. So this is not admitted in audit & needs recovery from the executants.

Quantity to be done as per Estimate as well as Agreement.

CC(1:4:8) using 4cm size HBHG metal including cost of all materials,labour,T&P,watering,curing etc complete...=20.87cum

Work done as per bill form=17.6cum

Less work done=20.87-17.60=3.27cum=16.00% deviation

@Rs3400.67=Rs11120.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs11120.00

Person Responsible- D.Judhistir,JE, Sanjib Champati,ME

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.71/5.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 11120.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Sno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	5560
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	5560

15.5 - Const of community Hall at Batagouda Chowk to Medical Road via Treasury Office AOSP-152 & 153

Name of the Work:-Const of community Hall at Batagouda Chowk to Medical Road via Treasury Office

Head of account:-BRGF(Int Money)

Estimated Cost-Rs15,00,000.00

Vr No-623 & 624/2017-18

MB No-302. Pg No-01to 10

Executant- Sri Jikoniyo Saboro

J.E-BK Kissan

Less Realization towards Penalty violating Agreement as well as OPWD Code.

As per OPWD Code, Agreement & the work order, the agency has committed to carry on the work with due diligence & all works is to be executed in a workmanship manner. That the project must be completed within the stipulated period otherwise a penalty would be charged @ 0.25% of the Estimated cost per month for the delayed period.For the above project, Agreement was done vide No-57/2017-18 & the Work Order was given vide It no-2427/08.08.2017 with a direction to complete the same within 60 days i.e as on 07.10.2017.

But the agency did not completed the work within the stipulated period violating the provision & refuting & rebuffing the Agreement & lingering more & more he completed the work on 08.01.2018. That is delayed by a valuable three month which is not admitted in Audit at all. So penalty needs to be levied on the executants if the local authority fails to comply before Audit with valid & solid reason.

Calculation:-

As per final bill form bill limited to- Rs1433100.00

Penalty Due-Rs 1433100.00X0.25%X3month=Rs10748.00

Penalty Collected:-Rs3583.00

Penalty to be collected:-Rs7165.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs7165.00

Person responsible-Sri *BK Kissan,JE* & B. Venketaraman,AEE and Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.74/7.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 7165.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Binod Kumar Kissan	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	2389
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	2388
3	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	2388

15.6 - Const of Community Hall at Betaguda of Paralakhemundi MunicipaltyAOSP-154-155

Name of the Work:-Const of Community Hall at Betaguda of Paralakhemundi Municipalty

Head of account:-MLALAD-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs2,00,000.00

Vr No-132 & 133/2017-18.

MB No-289, Pg No-163-171, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao

J.E-GP Patro

Fake Display Board.

On checking of case record & MB it is noticed that, an amount of Rs 2000.00 was in provision towards display board in estimate. But in bill form it is seen that, Rs 4500.00 was given which is not admissible. Then on scrutiny of the photograph of the display board, it seems that, the photograph attached is fake. Because the photograph of the display board should be taken displaying the project view or a part of project view. But there is no project view at all in the display board photograph. Then the letters are so prominent, transparent, clear, impeccable that, one can not imagine that, the letters are painted on a surface That must be computerized. From another point of view, Rs 500.00 was given in the mother project as per the deviation statement i.e Const of Community Hall at Betaguda of Paralakhemundi Municipalty-2011-12,EC-Rs4,00,000.00

So the amount of Rs 4500.00 was given in bill towards display board is not admitted. So why the extra amount of Rs2500.00(4500.00-2000.00) will not be recovered from the executants may be clarified to Audit.

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs2000.00

Person responsible-Sri GP Patro,JE,Sanjib Champati,M.E.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.75/09.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 2000.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is

advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs.)
1	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	666
2	Ganesha Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	666
3	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	668

15.7 - Const of Community Hall at Betaguda of Paralakhemundi Municipality.AOSP-157 & 158

Name of the Work:-Const of Community Hall at Betaguda of Paralakhemundi Municipality.

Head of account:-MLALAD-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs2,00,000.00

Vr No-132 & 133/2017-18.

MB No-209, Pg No-163-171, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri A.Ch. Rao

J.E-GP Patro.

Excess payment due to allowing excess lead in Rod.

On checking of Lead Statement, Analysis, Estimate, Bill Form & MB it is noticed that, although the project is within the Municipality area still, a lead of 120km has inadmissibly been allowed in rod. That, Paralakhemundi is a District Head Quarter & there are many registered farms within the town. Then on cross verification of other case records it is revealed that, there are many case records in which the lead in rod is given only 5 km which proves that, rod is available within the town. Then what is necessary to give a lead of 120km in rod ? In other ward it is a loss of Govt fund. Therefore why extra financial benefit will be given to the agency may be clarified to Audit otherwise the excess amount allowed to the agency will be recovered.

Reference Case Records in which the lead in rod is 5km:-

- 1)Imp of road from Nuty's corner towards Matia Street,Dolo Tank Road-2015-16
- 2)Imp of road & drain from MRGH School to Gandhi Chhaka,2013-14.

Quantity(In Qntl)	Lead Allowed in bill form/Qntl (120Km)	Lead Admissible(5Km)	Excess/Qntl	Amount(Rs)
14.7 Qntl	Rs106.70	Rs16.90	Rs89.80	Rs1320.00

Amount needs recovery:-Rs1320.00

Person Responsible:-GP Patro,JE, Sanjib Champati, ME

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.77/10.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 1320.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph				
Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesha Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	660
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	660

15.8 - Renovation of Road & Drain at Seri Jagilli Street AOSP-159 & 160

Name of the Work:-Renovation of Road & Drain at Seri Jagilli Street

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs7,00,000.00

Vr No-677 & 678/2017-18.

MB No-299, Pg No-166-186, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri Sukumari Sabar

J.E-D.Judhistir

AE-B.Venkat Raman,AEE

Less Realization Towards Royalty.

As per OMMC Rules,2016 vide Departmental Notification No.2280/15.12.2016, the rate chart of Royalty for minerals has been came into force w.e.f 15.12.2016. Along with this notification, It no.10672/SM dt.29.12.2016 & OMMC office Memorandum No-9948/SM,dt01.12.2016 must be read to collect the royalty as per the final rate arrived. As per the final rate, the royalty for ordinary sand is Rs35.00(Thirty Five), for ordinary boulders used for road & civil is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty) & for Road Materials including ballast & chips is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty).

On checking of case records & MB it is noticed that, an amount of Rs4156.00 has been less realized towards royalty which needs to be recovered

from the agency . The details are given below.

The Estimate has been prepared on 13.01.2017.

Item	Quantity(Cum)	Collected @, (Rs)	Amount Collected(Rs)	Due @ (Rs)	Amount Due (Rs)	Less Collected (Rs)
Sand	82.29	28.00	2304.00	35.00	2880.00	576.00
Metal	47.43	98.00	4648.00	130.00	6166.00	1518.00
Chips	64.44	98.00	6315.00	130.00	8377.00	2062.00
					Total	4156.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs4156.00

Person responsible-Sri D.Judhistir,JE, B.Venketaraman, AEE and Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.78/11.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 4156.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

15.9 - Const of Road & Drain at back side of Sundhi Street connecting to main road.AOSP-134 & 135

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at back side of Sundhi Street connecting to main road.

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs5,00,000.00

Vr No-447 & 448/2017-18

MB No-296, Pg No-97-98 & 107-122

Executant- Sri Sukumari Sabar.

J.E-D.Judhistir

Wrong Execution Of Work.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, the earthwork for drain is 0.70mt depth. Sand filling for drain is 0.10mt & road is 0.075mt. Then CC(1:4:8) for drain is 0.10mt height & for road is 0.075mt. CC(1:2:4) for drain is 0.60mt height & for drain bed is 0.05mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.10mt height. The average breadth of the road is 3.5mt.

Analysis:-

Analyzing the above measurement it is observed that, after sand filling & CC works both for road & drain, the difference between the heights of road & drain is 0.041mt after 3% cambering. That means either the road is 0.0475mt lowered or the drain projection is 0.04mt less in height. Discussing with the JE, audit found out the mistake in making of the projection wall & fixed responsibility.

<--[if gte mso 9]> Normal 0 false false false EN-US X-NONE X-NONE <[endif]--><--[if gte mso 9]>
<[endif]--><--[if gte mso 10]> <[endif]-->

Calculation:-

1X(39+50)mtX0.20mtX0.0475mt=0.846cum

@Rs5389.45=Rs4559.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant Sukumari Sabar :-Rs4559.00

Person Responsible- D.Judhistir,JE, B.Venketraman, AEE

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.65/21.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 4559.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	2280
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	2279

15.10 - Imp of Road & Drain at backside of Gouda Street-W.No-14 AOSP-140 & 141

Name of the Work:-Imp of Road & Drain at backside of Gouda Street-W.No-14

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs3,00,000.00

Vr No-629 & 630/2017-18

MB No-302, Pg No-11-16.

Executant- S.Laxmiprasad

J.E-BK Kissan.

Excess Measurement Shown.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that earthwork for drain is 0.75mt depth & for road is 0.40mt(av). Sand filling for drain is 0.10mt & road is 0.10(av)mt. Then CC(1:4:8) for drain is 0.10mt height & for road is 0.10mt. CC(1:2:4) for drain is 0.50mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.10mt height. Breadth of the road is 5mt & length is 39.5mt.

Analysis:-

Taking the above measurements in earthwork, sand filling, CC(1:4:8), CC(1:2:4) & CC(1:1.5:3) by the JE, it is noticed that, the projection wall of the drain is 0.10mt above than the road. If one consider the cambering, then difference will be rise to 0.125mt. But it is noticed from the photograph that, both drain & road are of same level. So it proves that, the JE has shown excess measurement.

Calculation:-

1X39.5mtX0.35mtX0.10mt=1.383cum

@Rs5389.46=Rs7454.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant S.Laxmi Prasad :-Rs7454.00

Person Responsible- BK Kissan,JE, B.Venketraman, AEE

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.68/04.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 7454.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Binod Kumar Kissan	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	3727
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	3727

15.11 - Renovation of Road & Drain at Seri Jagilli Street AOSP-161 & 162

Name of the Work:-Renovation of Road & Drain at Seri Jagilli Street

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs7,00,000.00

Vr No-677 & 678/2017-18.

MB No-299, Pg No-166-186, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri Sukumari Sabar

J.E-D.Judhistir

AE-B.Venkat Raman,AEE

Less Realization towards Penalty violating Agreement as well as OPWD Code.

As per OPWD Code, Agreement & the work order, the agency has committed to carry on the work with due diligence & all works is to be executed in a workmanship manner. That the project must be completed within the stipulated period otherwise a penalty would be charged @ 0.25% of the Estimated cost per month for the delayed period.

For the above project, Agreement was done vide No-05/2017-18 & the Work Order was given vide It no-1799/02.06.2017 with a direction to complete the same within 60 days i.e as on 01.08.2017.

But the agency did not completed the work within the stipulated period violating the provision & refuting & rebuffing the Agreement & lingering more & more he completed the work on 07.02.2018. That is delayed by a valuable six month which is not admitted in Audit at all. So penalty needs to be levied on the executants if the local authority fails to comply before Audit with valid & solid reason.

Calculation:-

As per final bill form bill limited to- Rs7,00,000.00

Penalty Due-Rs 7,00,000.00X0.25%X6month=Rs10,500.00

Penalty Collected-Rs7000.00

Net Penalty to be collected-Rs10500.00-Rs7000.00=Rs3500.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs3500.00

Person responsible-Sri D.Judhistir,JE. B.Venketraman, AEE and Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.79/11.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 3500.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	1167
2	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	1167
3	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	1166

15.12 - Const of Road & Drain at Makka Totamma 2nd Lane AOSP-163 & 164

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at Makka Totamma 2nd Lane

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs8,00,000.00

Vr No-695 & 696/2017-18.

MB No-298, Pg No-90-105, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri Jalumuru Bhargav

J.E-D.Judhistir

AE-B.Venkat Raman,AEE

Less Realization Towards Royalty.

As per OMMC Rules,2016 vide Departmental Notification No.2280/15.12.2016, the rate chart of Royalty for minerals has been came into force w.e.f 15.12.2016. Along with this notification, It no.10672/SM dt.29.12.2016 & OMMC office Memorandum No-9948/SM,dt01.12.2016 must be read to collect the royalty as per the final rate arrived. As per the final rate, the royalty for ordinary sand is Rs35.00(Thirty Five), for ordinary boulders used for road & civil is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty) & for Road Materials including ballast & chips is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty).

On checking of case records & MB it is noticed that, an amount of Rs4422.00 has been less realized towards royalty which needs to be recovered

from the agency . The details are given below.

The Estimate has been prepared on 13.01.2017.

Item	Quantity(Cum)	Collected @, (Rs)	Amount Collected(Rs)	Due @ (Rs)	Amount Due (Rs)	Less Collected (Rs)
Sand	108.79	28.00	3046.00	35.00	3808.00	762.00
Metal	51.76	98.00	5073.00	130.00	6729.00	1656.00
Chips	76.28	98.00	7476.00	130.00	9916.00	2004.00
					Total	4422.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs4422.00

Person responsible-Sri D.Judhistir,JE. B.Venketraman, AEE & Ashok Kumar Rout. EO

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.80/12.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 4422.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

15.13 - Const of Road & Drain Gajendra Street AOSP-169 & 170

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain Gajendra Street

Head of account:-MV Tax-2015-16

Estimated Cost-Rs5,22,,000.00

Vr No-28 & 29/2017-18.

MB No-287, Pg No-120-135, 1st R/A

Executant- Sri MA Nausad

J.E-GP Patro.

Excess payment due to allowing excess lead in Rod.

On checking of Lead Statement, Analysis, Estimate, Bill Form & MB it is noticed that, although the project is within the Municipality area still, a lead of 120km has inadmissibly been allowed in rod. That, Paralakhemundi is a District Head Quarter & there are many registered farms within the town. Then on cross verification of other case records it is revealed that, there are many case records in which the lead in rod is given only 5 km which proves that, rod is available within the town. Then what is necessary to give a lead of 120km in rod ? In other ward it is a loss of Govt fund. Therefore why extra financial benefit will be given to the agency may be clarified to Audit otherwise the excess amount allowed to the agency will be recovered.

Reference Case Records in which the lead in rod is 5km:-

1)Imp of road from Nuty's corner towards Matia Street,Dolo Tank Road-2015-16

2)Imp of road & drain from MRGH School to Gandhi Chhaka,2013-14.

Quantity(In Qntl)	Lead Allowed in bill form/Qntl (120Km)	Lead Admissible(5Km)	Excess/Qntl	Amount(Rs)
3.37 Qntl	Rs106.70	Rs16.90	Rs89.80	Rs302.00

Amount needs recovery:-Rs302.00

Person Responsible:-GP Patro,JE, Sanjib Champati, ME

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.83/13.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 302.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesha Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	151
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	151

15.14 - Imp of Dolo tank Road Ph-IVKeuto street to middle of Nagarampalli street AOSP-136 & 137

Name of the Work:-Imp of Dolo tank Road Ph-IV(Keuto street to middle of Nagarampalli street)

Head of account:-Maint of road & bridge-2016-17.

Estimated Cost-Rs5,00,000.00

Vr No-702 & 703/2017-18

MB No-296, Pg No-88-90,100-102MB No- 299,Pg No-131-145

Executant- K Suri.

J.E-D.Judhistir

Wrong Execution Of Work.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, the earthwork for drain is 0.80mt depth. Sand filling for drain is 0.10mt & road is 0.10mt. Then CC(1:4:8) for drain is 0.10mt height & for road is 0.075mt. CC(1:2:4) for drain is 0.45mt height & for drain bed is 0.05mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.175mt height. Average breadth of the road is 5.00mt.

Analysis:-

Analyzing the above measurement it is observed that, after sand filling & CC works both for road & drain, the difference between the heights of road & drain is 0.025mt after 3% cambering. That means either the road is 0.025mt lowered or the drain projection is 0.025mt less in height.

Calculation:-

1X42.75mtX0.20mtX0.025mt=0.214cum

@Rs5389.45=Rs1153.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant Sukumari Sabar :-Rs1153.00

Person Responsible- D.Judhistir,JE, B.Venketraman ME

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.66/03.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 1153.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	577
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	576

15.15 - Repair of Road & Drain at Head Post Office Gali AOSP-165 & 166

Name of the Work:-Repair of Road & Drain at Head Post Office Gali

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs4,00,000.00

Vr No-627 & 628/2017-18.

MB No-302, Pg No-24-27, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri S.Laxmi Prasad

J.E-BK Kissan

AE-B.Venkat Raman,AEE

Less Realization towards Penalty violating Agreement as well as OPWD Code.

As per OPWD Code, Agreement & the work order, the agency has committed to carry on the work with due diligence & all works is to be executed in a workmanship manner. That the project must be completed within the stipulated period otherwise a penalty would be charged @ 0.25% of the Estimated cost per month for the delayed period.

For the above project, Agreement was done vide No-08/2017-18 & the Work Order was given vide It no-1799/02.06.2017 with a direction to complete the same within 60 days i.e as on 01.08.2017.

But the agency did not completed the work within the stipulated period violating the provision & refuting & rebuffing the Agreement & lingering more & more he completed the work on 08.01.2018. That is delayed by a valuable five month which is not admitted in Audit at all. So penalty needs to be levied on the executants if the local authority fails to comply before Audit with valid & solid reason.

Calculation:-

As per final bill form bill limited to- Rs369052.00

Penalty Due-Rs 369052.00X0.25%X5month=Rs4613.00

Penalty Collected-Rs1980.00

Net Penalty to be collected-Rs4613.00-Rs1980.00=Rs2633.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs2633.00

Person responsible-Sri BK Kissan,JE, B.Venketraman, AEE and Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.81/12.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the

Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 2633.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	878
2	Binod Kumar Kissan	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	878
3	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	877

15.16 - Restoration of Mandir at Bhabani Talkies Street,Ward No-13AOSP-156

Name of the Work:-Restoration of Mandir at Bhabani Talkies Street,Ward No-13

Head of account:-MLALAD-2010-11

Estimated Cost-Rs50,000.00

Vr No-726 & 727/2017-18.

MB No-300, Pg No-01-07, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri Kodi Srinu

J.E-BK Kissan

Fund allotted for Display Board has been utilized other way.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, an amount of Rs1100.00 was allotted towards display board in the estimate. But in practical no display board was constructed. Neither any photograph is attached nor reflected in the bill form. But bill amount touched the estimated cost of Rs50000.00. That means the fund allotted for display board has been utilized other way which is not admitted in Audit. Because construction of display board is inevitable as it is meant for every citizen to get the basic information about the project. So why such amount of Rs1100.00 will not be recovered from the agency may be clarified to Audit.

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs1100.00

Person responsible-Sri BK Kissan,JE,B. Venketaraman AEE and Ashok Kumar Rout,EO

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.76/9.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 1100.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Binod Kumar Kissan	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	367
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	366
3	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	367

15.17 - Const of Road & Drain at back side of Arogya Street AOSP-167-168

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at back side of Arogya Street

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs2,50,000.00

Vr No-284 & 285/2017-18.

MB No-296, Pg No-67-77, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri Pradeep Kumar Pujari

J.E-D.Judhistir

AE-Sanjeeb Champati,ME

Less Realization Towards Royalty.

As per OMMC Rules,2016 vide Departmental Notification No.2280/15.12.2016, the rate chart of Royalty for minerals has been came into force w.e.f 15.12.2016. Along with this notification, It no.10672/SM dt.29.12.2016 & OMMC office Memorandum No-9948/SM,dt01.12.2016 must be read to collect the royalty as per the final rate arrived. As per the final rate, the royalty for ordinary sand is Rs35.00(Thirty Five), for ordinary boulders used for road & civil is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty) & for Road Materials including ballast & chips is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty).

On checking of case records & MB it is noticed that, an amount of Rs1405.00 has been less realized towards royalty which needs to be recovered

from the agency . The details are given below.

The Estimate has been prepared on 13.01.2017.

Item	Quantity(Cum)	Collected @, (Rs)	Amount Collected(Rs)	Due @ (Rs)	Amount Due (Rs)	Less Collected (Rs)
Sand	35.96	28.00	1007.00	35.00	1259.00	252.00
Metal	17.27	98.00	1692.00	130.00	2245.00	553.00
Chips	18.77	98.00	1840.00	130.00	2440.00	600.00
					Total	1405.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs1405.00

Person responsible-Sri D.Judhistir,JE,Sanjib Champati AEE and Ashok Kumar Rout,EO.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.82/12.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 1405.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

15.18 - Const of Road & Drain at Kalyan MandapEast side-AOSP148149

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at Kalyan Mandap(East side)

Head of account:-R & B General-2010-11

Estimated Cost-Rs1,67,000.00

Vr No-110 & 111/2017-18

MB No-288, Pg No-117-124

Executant- Sri A.Chandra Sekhara Rao

J.E-GP Patro

Excess Measurement Shown.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, earthwork for road is 0.40mt & for guard wall is 0.35mt. Sand filling for guard wall is 0.05mt & road is 0.05mt. Then CC(1:4:8) for guard wall is 0.10mt height & for road is 0.075mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.10mt height & for guard wall is 0.50mt. Breadth of the road is 2.8mt & length is 36.10mt.

Analysis:-

Taking the above measurements in earthwork, sand filling, CC(1:4:8), CC(1:1.5:3) by the JE, it is noticed that, the projection wall of the guard wall is 0.175mt above than the road. Actually it is noticed from the photograph that, the guard wall & the road are of same level. So the measurement taken by the JE is shown excess.

Calculation:-

1X22.39mtX0.20mtX0.175mt=1.264cum

@Rs6394.48=Rs5010.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs5010.00

Person Responsible- GP Patro.,JE,Sanjib Champati ME

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.72/6.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs5010.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesh Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	2505
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	2505

15.19 - Imp of Road from Rekhana St towards Keuto St Dolo Tank Road-AOSP-150-151

Name of the Work:-Imp of Road from Rekhana St towards Keuto St(Dolo Tank Road)

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2015-16

Estimated Cost-Rs5,00,000.00

Vr No-504 & 505/2017-18

MB No-299, Pg No-13-15 & 17-31

Executant- Sri Sukumari Sabar

J.E-D.Judhistir

Deviation.

On checking of case record & MB it is noticed that, vide item no-5, CC(1:4:8), the concerned JE has deviated the quantity to an extent 15 percent violating the estimate, agreement as well as the OPWD code where maximum 5% can be considered. As a result, the equilibrium of the project might impact & the longevity might lessen. So this is not admitted in audit & needs recovery from the executants.

Quantity to be done as per Estimate as well as Agreement.

CC(1:4:8) using 4cm size HBHG metal including cost of all materials, labour, T&P, watering, curing etc complete...=8.95cum

Work done as per bill form=7.62cum

Less=8.95-7.62=cum1.33=15% deviation

Quantity allowed allowing max 5% deviation=8.50cum

So the quantity excess deviated=8.50-7.62=0.88cum

@Rs3366.66=Rs2963.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs2963.00

Person Responsible- D.Judhistir, JE, B. Venketaraman AEE

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.73/07.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs 2963.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	1482
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	1481

15.20 - Imp of balance drain at upper side of Nagarampalli Street AOSP-171-172

Name of the Work:-Imp of balance drain at upper side of Nagarampalli Street

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs4,00,000.00

Vr No-368 & 369/2017-18.

MB No-297, Pg No-63-64, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri J Bhargav

J.E-D.Judhistir

AE-B.Venkat Raman,AEE

Less Realization Towards Royalty.

As per OMMC Rules, 2016 vide Departmental Notification No.2280/15.12.2016, the rate chart of Royalty for minerals has been came into force w.e.f 15.12.2016. Along with this notification, It no.10672/SM dt.29.12.2016 & OMMC office Memorandum No-9948/SM, dt01.12.2016 must be

read to collect the royalty as per the final rate arrived. As per the final rate, the royalty for ordinary sand is Rs35.00(Thirty Five), for ordinary boulders used for road & civil is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty) & for Road Materials including ballast & chips is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty).

On checking of case records & MB it is noticed that, an amount of Rs2082.00 has been less realized towards royalty which needs to be recovered from the agency . The details are given below.

The Estimate has been prepared on 13.01.2017.

Item	Quantity(Cum)	Collected @, (Rs)	Amount Collected(Rs)	Due @ (Rs)	Amount Due (Rs)	Less Collected (Rs)
Sand	44.45	28.00	1245.00	35.00	1555.00	310.00
Metal	16.10	98.00	1578.00	130.00	2093.00	515.00
Chips	39.27	98.00	3848.00	130.00	5105.00	1257.00
					Total	2082.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs2082.00

Person responsible-Sri *D.Judhistir*,JE,Sanjib Champati ME and Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.84/13.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs2082.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

15.21 - Const of Road & Drain at Punaya SahiAOSP142-143

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at Punaya Sahi

Head of account:-Creation of Capital Asset-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs5,00,000.00

Vr No-706 & 707/2017-18

MB No-300, Pg No-78-87

Executant- Sri Trinath Karai

J.E-BK Kissan

Wrong Execution Of Work.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, the earthwork for drain is 0.80mt depth,for Cut off is 0.20mt & for road is 0.25mt. Sand filling for drain is 0.10mt & road is 0.09mt(av). Then CC(1:4:8) for drain is 0.10mt height, for Cut off is0.15mt & for road is 0.10mt. CC(1:2:4) for drain is 0.55mt(av) height & for drain bed is 0.05mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.10mt height. Length of road is 66mt & breadth is 4mt.

Analysis:-

Analyzing the above measurement it is observed that, after sand filling & CC works both for road,cut off & drain, the difference between the heights of road & cut off is 0.01mt after 3% cambering. That means the cut off wall should be raised by 0.01mt more.

Calculation:-

$2 \times 66 \text{mt} \times 0.30 \text{mt} \times 0.01 \text{mt} = 0.396 \text{cum}$

@Rs3400.68=Rs1347.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs1347.00

Person Responsible- BK Kissan, J.E, B. Venketaraman AEE

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.69/04.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs1347.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Binod Kumar Kissan	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	674
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	673

15.22 - Renovation of Main Drain from Laxmi Cenema Hall towards Seri Jagilli Street-Ph-IIAOSP-175-176

Name of the Work:-Renovation of Main Drain from Laxmi Cenema Hall towards Seri Jagilli Street-Ph-II

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs3,79,000.00

Vr No-573 & 574/2017-18.

MB No-299, Pg No-58-77, 1st & Final R/A

Executant- Sri MA Nousad

J.E-D.Judhistir

Less Realization towards Penalty violating Agreement as well as OPWD Code.

As per OPWD Code, Agreement & the work order, the agency has committed to carry on the work with due diligence & all works is to be executed in a workmanship manner. That the project must be completed within the stipulated period otherwise a penalty would be charged @ 0.25% of the Estimated cost per month for the delayed period.

For the above project, Agreement was done vide No-09/2017-18 & the Work Order was given vide It no-1807/02.06.2017 with a direction to complete the same within 60 days i.e as on 01.08.2017.

But the agency did not completed the work within the stipulated period violating the provision & refuting & rebuffering the Agreement & lingering more & more he completed the work on 28.11.2017. That is delayed by a valuable three month which is not admitted in Audit at all. So penalty needs to be levied on the executants if the local authority fails to comply before Audit with valid & solid reason.

Calculation:-

As per final bill form bill limited to- Rs378965.00

Penalty Due-Rs 378965.00X0.25%X3month=Rs2842.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs2842.00

Person responsible-Sri D.Judhistir, J.E, B. Venketaraman AEE and Ashok Kumar Rout, E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.64/02.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs 2842.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	948
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	947
3	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	947

15.23 - Imp of Road & Drain at Gandhi Nagar-I Ph-IIAOSP-173-174

Name of the Work:-Imp of Road & Drain at Gandhi Nagar-I Ph-II

Head of account:-BRGF-2013-14

Estimated Cost-Rs4,00,000.00

Vr No-683 & 684/2017-18.

MB No-300, Pg No-78-76, 1st & Final R/A

Executant- Sri Johan Gamango

J.E-BK Kissan.

Excess payment due to allowing excess lead in Rod.

On checking of Lead Statement, Analysis, Estimate, Bill Form & MB it is noticed that, although the project is within the Municipality area still, a lead of 120km has inadmissibly been allowed in rod. That, Paralakhemundi is a District Head Quarter & there are many registered farms within the town. Then on cross verification of other case records it is revealed that, there are many case records in which the lead in rod is given only 5 km which proves that, rod is available within the town. Then what is necessary to give a lead of 120km in rod ? In other ward it is a loss of Govt fund. Therefore why extra financial benefit will be given to the agency may be clarified to Audit otherwise the excess amount allowed to the agency will be recovered.

Reference Case Records in which the lead in rod is 5km:-

- 1) Imp of road from Nuty's corner towards Matia Street, Dolo Tank Road-2015-16
- 2) Imp of road & drain from MRGH School to Gandhi Chhaka, 2013-14.

Quantity(In Qntl)	Lead Allowed in bill form/Qntl (120Km)	Lead Admissible(5Km)	Excess/Qntl	Amount(Rs)
5.41 Qntl	Rs106.70	Rs16.90	Rs89.80	Rs486.00

Amount needs recovery:-Rs486.00

Person Responsible:-B.K. Kissan, JE and B. Venketeraman AE

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.85/13.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs 486.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Binod Kumar Kissan	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	243
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	243

15.24 - Const of Road & Drain at back side of Big Bramhin Street AOSP-144-145

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at back side of Big Bramhin Street

Head of account:-MV Tax-2015-16

Estimated Cost-Rs5,00,000.00

Vr No-378 & 379/2017-18

MB No-295. Pg No-18-31

Executant- Sri Prafulla Kumar Patra.

J.E-GP Patro.

Wrong Execution Of Work.

On checking of the case record & MB it is noticed that, the earthwork for drain is 0.23mt depth. Sand filling for drain is 0.10mt & road is 0.05mt. Then CC(1:4:8) for drain is 0.10mt height & for road is 0.10mt. CC(1:2:4) for drain is 0.15mt height & for drain bed is 0.05mt. Then CC(1:1.5:3) for bed is 0.10mt height. The breadth of the road is 2.5mt. Length of road is 50mt.

Analysis:-

Analyzing the above measurement it is observed that, after sand filling & CC works both for road & drain, the difference between the heights of road & drain is 0.0925mt after 3% cambering. That means either the road is 0.0925mt lowered or the drain projection is 0.0925mt less in height.

Calculation:-

$$1 \times 50 \text{mt} \times 0.15 \text{mt} \times 0.0925 \text{mt} = 0.694 \text{cum}$$

$$\text{@Rs}5389.45 = \text{Rs}3740.00$$

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs3740.00

Person Responsible- GP Patro, JE and Sanjib Champati.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.64/02.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs 3740.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesh Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	1870
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	1870

15.25 - Const of Road & Drain from Main Road towards JamiAOSP-177-178

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain from Main Road towards Jhami

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs7,00,000.00

Vr No-315 & 316/2017-18.

MB No-292, Pg No-69-86, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri Sukumari Sabar

J.E-D.Judhistir

AE-B.Venkat Raman,AEE

Less Realization Towards Royalty.

As per OMMC Rules,2016 vide Departmental Notification No.2280/15.12.2016, the rate chart of Royalty for minerals has been came into force w.e.f 15.12.2016. Along with this notification, It no.10672/SM dt.29.12.2016 & OMMC office Memorandum No-9948/SM,dt01.12.2016 must be read to collect the royalty as per the final rate arrived. As per the final rate, the royalty for ordinary sand is Rs35.00(Thirty Five), for ordinary boulders used for road & civil is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty) & for Road Materials including ballast & chips is Rs130.00(One hundred & thirty).

On checking of case records & MB it is noticed that, an amount of Rs3558.00 has been less realized towards royalty which needs to be recovered from the agency . The details are given below.

The Estimate has been prepared on 13.01.2017.

Item	Quantity(Cum)	Collected @, (Rs)	Amount Collected(Rs)	Due @ (Rs)	Amount Due (Rs)	Less Collected (Rs)
Sand	63.20	28.00	1770.00	35.00	2212.00	442.00
Metal	20.57	98.00	2016.00	130.00	2674.00	658.00
Chips	76.83	98.00	7530.00	130.00	9988.00	2458.00
					Total	3558.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs3558.00

Person responsible-Sri D.Judhistir,JE,Sanjib Champati ME and Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.87/13.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 3558.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

15.26 - Const of Road & Drain from Main Road towards JamiAOSP-177-178

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain from Main Road towards Jami

Head of account:-14th FC(GABG)-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs7,00,000.00

Vr No-315 & 316/2017-18.

MB No-292, Pg No-69-86, 1st & Final Bill.

Executant- Sri Sukumari Sabar

J.E-D.Judhistir

AE-B.Venkat Raman.AEE

Less Realization towards Penalty violating Agreement as well as OPWD Code.

As per OPWD Code, Agreement & the work order, the agency has committed to carry on the work with due diligence & all works is to be executed in a workmanship manner. That the project must be completed within the stipulated period otherwise a penalty would be charged @ 0.25% of the Estimated cost per month for the delayed period.

For the above project, Agreement was done vide No-04/2017-18 & the Work Order was given vide It no-1797/02.06.2017 with a direction to complete the same within 60 days i.e as on 01.08.2017.

But the agency did not completed the work within the stipulated period violating the provision & refuting & rebuffing the Agreement completed the work on 03.09.2017. That is delayed by a valuable one month which is not admitted in Audit at all. So penalty needs to be levied on the executants if the local authority fails to comply before Audit with valid & solid reason.

Calculation:-

As per final bill form bill limited to- Rs685090.00

Penalty Due-Rs 685090.00X0.25%X1month=Rs1713.00

So amount needs recovery from the Executants-Rs1713.00

Person responsible-Sri D.Judhistir,JE,B. Venketaraman and Ashok Kumar Rout,E.O.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.87/13.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 1713.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	571
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	571
3	Ashok Kumar Rout.	E.O.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	571

15.27 - Excess payment in transportation of earth.AOSP-189-195

Excess payment in transportation of earth.

On checking of all work case records are listed out in the tabular form , it is seen that excess payment has been shown towards earthwork excavation in ordinary/hard/stoney/slushy soil etc. with initial lead and lift including rough dressing and leveling in layers not exceeding 0.3m. in item No.02 and item No.03,payment has been shown towards disposal/transportation of same quantity of excavated as above by mechanical means(tractor) with 5km lead including loading and unloading to lower area of town. For transportation of 1cum earth Rs.156.40 allowed in the bill which includes loading and unloading charges of Rs.79.00 per cum as per schedule of Rate 2014. In the loading and unloading charges of Rs.79.00, 2/3 of if i.e. 52.67 considered as loading and 1/3 of it Rs.26.33 considered as unloading as provided in SR 2014. Similarly for conveyance/ disposal of earth by tractor within 5km lead including loading and unloading to the lower area of town(up to 5km) has been allowed. Although for conveyance is allowed for both loading and unloading ,then rate of conveyance will be arrived out by deducting amount towards one side loading from the rate of conveyance.

Rate allowed in MB (Rs)	Rate Admissible (Rs)	Excess Rate(Rs)
Rate allowed for transportation of earth in MB Rs (+) 156.40	Rate allowed for transportation of earth in MB Rs (+) 156.40	53.19
L. Cess(+1.56	Deduct on side loading i.e.2/3x79=Rs 52.66	
Total=Rs (+)Rs157.96	L. cess (+) Rs 1.03	
	Total=Rs 104.77	

By allowing higher rate towards transportation of all kinds of soil in all work case records are listed out in the table below which is a tune of Rs 28814.00 as calculated in the table is given below has been paid excess in favour of contractors which is not admissible in audit.

SL No	Name of work	Vr No/Date	Name of J.E.	Name of contractor	Gross amount of work(Rs)	Diposal of excavated earth in cum	Excess rate allowed for disoposal	Amount shown in excess(Rs)
1)	Imp. Of road from MatiaStrret towards Rekhana Street, 18,1 st & F.HOA-14 th FCA/2015-16	Vr No-506 &507/2017-	D. Yudhistir,J.E	Smt. Sukumar Sabar	1000000.00	61.87	53.19	3290.86 or 3291.00
2)	Const. of main drain at back side of Laxmi Nagar,1 st & F. HOA-BRGF(int.)/16-17	Vr No.571,572/2017-18	D. Yudhistir,J.E.	Sri Jiosh Bhuyan	426000.00	102.84	53.19	5470.05 or 5470.00
3)	Renovation of main drain from laxmi cinema hall towards Seri jagali street ph-ii (1 st & f) HOA-14 th FCA(CABG)/2015-16	Vr No-575,576/17-18	D. Yudhistir,J.E.	M.A. Nausad	700000.00	103.48	53.19	5504.10 or 5504.00
4)	Compl. Of road and drain at Makkatotamma Line-1(1 st &F) HOA-14 th FCA/2016-17.	Vr No-317 &318/2017-18	D. Yudhistir,J.E.	J. Bhargava	300000.00	69.62	53.19	Rs3703.08 or 3703.00
5)	Const. of wall of main drain at back side of Relli street on ward No.-06(1 st &F) HOA-Own fund/16-17	Vr No-49,50/2017-18	D. Yudhistir,J.E.	Sri Chittaranjan Das	39500.00	3.42	53.19	181.90 or Rs 182.00
	TOTAL							18150.00

In response to Audit Objection Memo No91/18.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the

Exit-Conference.The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para.Hence the para stands on its own merit.So,an amount of Rs 18150.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

Person responsible for this para

- 1) D. Judhistir,J.E.=Rs 9075.00
- 2) B. Venketaraman=Rs 9075.00

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	D. Yudhistir.	J.E.BRGF	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati.	9075
2	B. Venketaraman	A.E.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	9075

15.28 - Const. of road & drain in front of Town Hall of Paralakhemundi MPLTY.AOSP-181-184

Name of work-Const. of road & drain in front of Town Hall of Paralakhemundi MPLTY.

Name of contractor-Sri Jalamura Bhatgav

E.C.-Rs 320000.00 HOA-M.V. Tax(2015-16).

Vr No-10 &11/2017-18

Name of J.E.-Ganesha Prasada Patro

Name of M.E.- Sanjib Champati.

Excess payment due to allowing higher rate in Slushy Soil:-

The earthwork in slushy soil(in water upto 0.6m depth requiring the aid of pans and vessels) within 50m initial lead and 1.5 initial lift as per direction and specification .Again for disposal of slushy soil with 5km lead including loading and unloading by mechanical means is not allowed because the slushy soil having the characteristics of liquid mud with negligible bearing capacity which can be removed with aid of pans and vessels only.(A.R.-2006)

The rate of above said item as worked out as per analysis of rate -2006 to the original estimate is as follows.(For 100cum)

- 1) Man Mulia 21 Nos @ 200.00/day=Rs 4200.00
- 2) Woman mulia 23 Nos @ Rs 200.00/day=Rs 4600.00
- 3) Add 7.5% OHC-Rs 660.00
- 4) Add 7.5% Contractor profit 7.5%-Rs660.00
- 5) Total-Rs 10120.00/100cum or Rs 101.20/cum+cess 1%=Rs 102.21

But rate allowed for execution of earthwork excavation in slushy soil with initial lead 50m lead and 1.5 lift in bill is Rs 224.64/cum.

Total quantity executed in earthwork is 80.45cum

Total rate allowed in bill=Rs 80.45x224.64=Rs 18072.28

Rate allowed for 80.45 cum=Rs 102.21x80.45=Rs 8222.79

Hence excess payment on this score is Rs 9849.48 or say 9850.00(18072.28-8222.79) has been made which is loss of municipal fund and needs immediate compliance to audit.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No89/17.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs 9850.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

Person responsible for this para

1) Ganesha Prasada Patro, J.E. = Rs 4925.00

2) Sanjib Champati, ME = Rs 4925.00

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesha Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	4925
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality. Dist-Gajapati	4925

15.29 - Imp. Of drain at friends colony 1st line in Ward No.15 of Paralakhemundi MPLTY. 1st & F/AOSP-185-188

Name of work-Imp. Of drain at friends colony 1st line in Ward No.15 of Paralakhemundi MPLTY. (1st & F)

Name of contractor-Sri Laxmi Prasad.

E.C.-Rs 500000.00 HOA-M.V. Tax(2012-13).

Vr No-286,287/2017-18

Name of J.E.-Ganesha Prasada Patro

Name of M.E.- Sanjib Champati.

Excess payment due to allowing higher rate in Slushy Soil:-

The earthwork in slushy soil (in water upto 0.6m depth requiring the aid of pans and vessels) within 50m initial lead and 1.5 initial lift as per direction and specification. Again for disposal of slushy soil with 5km lead including loading and unloading by mechanical means is not allowed because the slushy soil having the characteristics of liquid mud with negligible bearing capacity which can be removed with aid of pans and vessels only. (A.R.-2006)

The rate of above said item as worked out as per analysis of rate -2006 to the original estimate is as follows. (For 100cum)

- 1) Man Mulia 21 Nos @ 200.00/day = Rs 4200.00
- 2) Woman mulia 23 Nos @ Rs 200.00/day = Rs 4600.00
- 3) Add 7.5% OHC = Rs 660.00
- 4) Add 7.5% Contractor profit 7.5% = Rs 660.00
- 5) Total = Rs 10120.00/100cum or Rs 101.20/cum + cess 1% = Rs 102.21

But rate allowed for execution of earthwork excavation in slushy soil with initial lead 50m lead and 1.5 lift in bill is Rs 193.97/cum.

Total quantity executed in earthwork is 69.66cum

Total rate allowed in bill = Rs 69.66 x 193.97 = Rs 13511.95

Rate allowed for 69.66 cum = Rs 102.21 x 69.66 = Rs 7120.08

Hence excess payment on this score is Rs 6391.86 or say 6392.00 (13511.95 - 7120.08) has been made which is loss of municipal fund and

needs immediate compliance to audit.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.90/18.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs 6392.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

Person responsible for this Paragraph

- 1) Ganesha Prasad Patro, J.E. = Rs 3196.00
- 2) Sanjib Champati, M.E. = Rs 3196.00

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

S/no	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesha Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	3196
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality. Dist-Gajapati	3196

15.30 -

Name of the Work:-Const of Road & Drain at Bhabani Talkies Street of Paralakhemundi MPLTY

Head of account:-MCA-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs3,00,000.00

Vr No-650& 651/2017-18

MB No-295, Pg No-94-109.

Executant- Sri MA Nausad

J.E-GP Patro

Deviation.

On checking of case record & MB it is noticed that, vide item no-4, CC(1:4:8), the concerned contractor has deviated the quantity to an extent 15.00 percent violating the estimate, agreement as well as the OPWD code where maximum 5% can be considered. As a result, the equilibrium of the project might impact & the longevity might lessen. So this is not admitted in audit & needs recovery from the executants.

Quantity to be done as per Estimate as well as Agreement.

CC(1:4:8) using 4cm size HBHG metal including cost of all materials, labour, T&P, watering, curing etc complete...=18.00cum

Work done as per bill form=15.45cum

Less work done=18.00-15.45=2.55cum=15.00% deviation

@Rs3400.68=Rs8672.00

So Amount needs recovery from the Executant-Rs8672.00

Person Responsible- GP Patro, JE, Sanjib Champati M.E.

In response to Audit Objection Memo No.97/18.7.18, the local authority replied that the recovery amount may be adjusted from SD within the Exit-Conference. The reply of local authority is not satisfactory to settle the para. Hence the para stands on its own merit. So, an amount of Rs 8672.00 is suggested for recovery from person concerned.

During exit conference the local Authority stated that steps would be taken to recover the same during 2018-19. However the Local Authority is advised to ensure it and compliance reported.

Person(s) Responsible for this paragraph

Slno	Name	Designation	Adress	Amount(In Rs:)
1	Ganesh Prasad Patro	J.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality Dist-Gajapati	4336
2	Sanjib Champati	M.E.	Now at-Paralakhemundi Municipality.Dist-Gajapati	4336

15.31 -

During the course of Audit, the local authority produced the following case records with connected MBs for verification.

SI No	Name of the Contractor	Name of the work	Grant	Bill	Vr.No & Date	Work value	Payment
16	Priyadarshi Panigrahy	Construction of drain at the end of Katika Street	4th SFC 2015-16 & 13 FC 2010-11	1st & Final	68 & 69/ 09.05.2017	265000	232287
17	Priyadarshi Panigrahy	Construction of road and drain at backside of Palace and Jangam Street	4th SFC 15-16	1st & Final	70 & 71/ 09.05.2017	500000	439533
43	Priyadarshi Panigrahy	Construction of diversion of drain at sadaram tank ph-2 & 3	BRGF 09-10 (2 Lakh) & 4th SFC 15-16 (Lkh)	1st & final	235 & 236/ 28.07.2017	500000	458229
4	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Construction of Road & Drain from MRGH school to towards Gandhi Chhaka	13FC (R&B)Grant 2013-14	3rd & Final Bill	08 A & 09 /07.04.2017	139703	122764
5	Jalumuru Bhargav	Construction of Road and Drain in front of Town Hall in W No. 14 of PKD MPLy	MV tax 2015-16	2nd & Final	10 & 11/ 07.04.2017	78805	68174
6	Saroj Kumar	Construction of	MV tax 2015-16	1st & Final	12 & 13/	495058	440093

	Mohapatro	Road and Drain at Gopin Street in W. No. 10 of PKD MPLY			07.04.2017		
7	M A Nausad	Construction of road and drain at Gajendra Street of PKD MPLY	MV tax 2015-16	1st RA Bill	28 & 29/ 11.04.2017	489517	433256
8	Jiosh Bhuyan	Const of road and drain at Gandhi Nagar of PKD MPLY	Devolution fund 15-16	1st & Final	30 & 31/ 11.04.2017	396059	349940
9	Sri M A Nausad	Repair of Executive Officer Quarter of Paralakhemundi Municipality	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st & Final Bill	39 & 40/ 12.04.2017	41808	37415
34	Radha Agency	Electrification of Gandhi Junction	SPI Dev Fund	1st & Final	165 & 166/ 22.06.2017	69930	63637
19	S Laxmi Prasad	Construction of drain at Laxmi Nagar 1 and II	4th SFC 2015-16 & 13 FC 2010-11	1st & Final	74 & 75/ 09.05.2017	399966	350442
35	Smt. Srimati Panda	Renovation of Patnaik Tank of Paralakhemundi	SPI Dev Fund	1st & Final	167 & 168/ 22.06.2017	470000	419728
51	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Improvement of drain from palace road to siridi sai temple	RD 15-16	1st & final	293 & 294/ 04.09.2017	396780	364072
14	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Repair of Drain from Madiga Street to ITDA via punya Sai Lodge	BRGF Interest Money	1st RA	64 & 65/ 09.05.2017	899672	775838
86	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Construction of road and drain at back side of Sundi Street connecting to Main Road Paralakhemundi Municipality	14th F C GABG) 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	447 & 448/ 18.11.2017	499970	461427
99	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Improvement of road from Rekhana Street towards keuta Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14th F C (GABG) 2015-16	1st and Final Bill	504 & 505/	494999	460463
100	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Improvement of road from Matia Street towards the Rekhana	14th F C (GABG) 2015-16	1st and Final Bill	506 & 507/	999999	929439

		Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality					
113	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Improvement of road from Matia Street towards the Rekhana Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14th F C (GABG) 2015-16	1st and Final Bill	506 & 507/	999999	
128	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Renovation of road and drain at Seri Jagilli Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14th F C Grant - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	677 & 678/ 22.02.2018	700000	642237
20	M A Nausad	Repair and Construction of Culvert at BL peta street	RD Grant 2014-15	1st & Final	76 & 77/ 09.05.2017	48771	43021
1	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Fitting & Fixing of division plates in urinal at new bus stand	BRGF Grant 2012-2013	1st & Final	01 & 02/ 03.04.2017	99000	90090
2	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Electrification & Supplying, Fitting & Fixing of 1HP motor pump set in urinal & new bus stand	BRGF Grant 2012-2013	1st & Final	03 & 04/ 03.04.2017	95575	87929
23	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of CC road and drain at Kalyanmandap East side	R & B General 2010-11	1st & Final Bill	110 & 111/ 26.05.2017	167000	147669
3	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Fitting & Fixing of Gents & Ladies urinal pans at new bus stand	BRGF Grant 2012-2013	1st & Final	05 & 06/ 03.04.2017	38000	34580
25	Johan Gomango	Const of drain at back side of Friends colony lane-2	R & B General 15-16	1st & Final	114 & 115/ 26.05.2017	495164	439788
24	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Renovation of Kanjiahuda at Hatapda	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & Final	112 & 113/ 26.05.2017	96207	85544
109	Sri Ashok Kumar	Completion of First Floor of Market Complex at New Bus Stand of PKD MPLY	BRGF Grant 2014-15	2nd R/A Bill	569 & 570/ 30.12.2017	658115	620764

28	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of community hall near reserve office at Betaguda	MLA 11-12	1st & Final	129 & 130/ 06.06.2017	68816	61158
29	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of community hall near reserve office at Betaguda	MLA Lad 2016-17	1st & Final	132 & 133/ 06.06.2017	200000	178112
30	Sri Saroj Kumar Mohapatro	Construction of road and drain at back side of BB Street and CS Panda street	BRGF Grant 2011-12	1st & Final	140 & 141/ 09.06.2017	599831	515421
27	Sri B Dharma Rao	Construction of community hall at Seri Jagili Street	MPLAD 2012-13	1st & Final	127 & 128/ 02.06.2017	150000	133519
10	Sri Chitta Ranjan Dash	Construction of wall of main drain At back side of Reli Street	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st & Final Bill	50 & 51/ 28.04.2017	39500	35096
33	Sri M A Nausad	Repair of Municipality Quarter allotted in favour of Balmiki Barika	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & Final	162 & 163/ 13.06.2017	27277	24399
11	Sri Chitta Ranjan Dash	Construction of culvert at the end of Jangam Street phase 1 of PKD MPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st & Final Bill	52 & 53/ 28.04.2017	47000	41778
12	Sri Chitta Ranjan Dash	Construction of culvert at the end of jangam street phase 2 of PKDMPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st & Final Bill	54 & 55/ 2017-18	46547	41325
36	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of Working Women's Hostel at Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant 2014-15	1st R/A Bill	174 & 175/ 24.06.2017	3565730	3209460

37	Sri Ashok Kumar	Construction of RCC culvert at Jangam Street Phase - 1 of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant 2012-13	1st and Final Bill	176 & 177/ 24.06.2017	949991	839180
13	Sri Chitta Ranjan Dash	Construction of culvert at the end of jangam street phase 3 of PKDMPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st & Final Bill	56 & 57/ 2017-18	38996	34499
39	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of New Office Building of Paralakhemundi Municipality	13th F C Grant	1st R/A Bill	180 & 181/ 27.06.2017	2996699	2683479
40	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of Road and Drain at Kalyanamandap North Side of PKD MPLY	13th F C Grant 2011-12	2nd & Final	182 & 183/ 27.06.2017	156194	136240
21	Sri Chitta Ranjan Dash	Restoration of Durga prasad byamsala W. No. 03	MLA LAD 2016-17	1st & Final	101 & 102/ 22.05.2017	200000	179009
22	Sri Chitta Ranjan Dash	Construction of Culvert at Tulashi Nagar	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & Final Bill	103 & 104/ 22.05.2017	31609	28043
63	Sri Chittaranjan Dash	Improvement of road at Jangam Street Junction of PKD MPLY	BRGF Grant - 2011-12	1st & final	364 & 365/ 2017-18	455016	410495
31	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Construction of Road and Drain at Ayypa Temple	4th SFC and RD	1st R/A Bill	142 & 143/ 09.06.2017	582795	515972

		Lane 01- and Lane -II					
46	Sri Sanoj Kumar Adhikari	Spreading of Morroum at Parking area near PLN patro quarter	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & final Bill	251 & 252/ 09.08.2017	25000	22078
38	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Construction of road at Dhuba Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant 2012-13	2nd & Final	178 & 179/ 27.06.2017	59841	49525
57	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Completion of road & drain at makatotama lane - 1	14 FC GABG 16-17	1st & Final Bill	317 & 318/ 19.9.2017	297031	274812
65	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Improvement of drain at Upper side of Nagarampalli street	14th F C (GABG) 2016-17	1st & final	368 & 369/ 26.09.2017	400000	372139
50	Sri S Laxmi Prasad	Improvement of drain at friends colony first line	MV Tax 2016-17	1st & final	286 & 287/ 21.08.2017	497990	461275
92	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Improvement of drain at Tulashi Nagar of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14th F C GABG) 2015-16	1st and Final Bill	484 & 485/ 24.11.2017	286723	266431
52	Sri Chandro Sekhar Panigrahi	Repair of Municipality Quarter allotted in favour of	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & final	307 & 308/ 19.9.17	203538	191842

		Haribabu					
53	Sri Chandro Sekhar Panigrahi	Repair of Municipality Quarter allotted in favour of Ghanasyam Behera	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & final	309 & 310/ 19.9.17	245802	231510
54	Sri Chandro Sekhar Panigrahi	Repair of Municipality Quarter No.17 at bebotra street & Quarter No 19 near townhall	Own Fund 2017-18	1st RA	311 & 312/ 19.9.17	57598	54414
55	Sri Prafulla Kumar Patro	Beautification of patnaik tank embakment	BRGF 14-15	1st RA Bill	313 & 314/ 19.9.17	155623	147119
132	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Construction of road and drain at Makkatottamma 2nd Lane (R/S) of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14th F C Grant - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	695 & 696/ 06.03.2018	775040	711976
134	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Construction of Road and Drain at Ayyapa Temple Lane 01- and Lane -II of PKD MPLY	4th SFC 2016-17 & RD Grant - 2015-16	2nd & Final Bill	700 & 701/ 06.03.2018	129670	118878
58	Sri Prafulla	Repair & covering	14 FC GABG	1st & final	319 & 320/	412679	383583

	Kumar Patro	of drain at infront of patnaik tank embakment	16-17		19.9.2017		
136	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Improvement of Dolo Tank road Phase VI (Tulashi Nagar to Telugu Sundi Street of PKD MPLY	Maintenance of R & B Grant - 2016-17	1st R/A Bill	704 & 705/ 06.03.2018	808098	753377
88	Sri Jiosh Bhuyan	Cleaning of Gopalpur Drain Phase 01 of PKD MPIY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	471 & 472/	49592	47112
89	Sri Jiosh Bhuyan	Cleaning of Gopalpur Drain Phase 02 of PKD MPIY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	473 & 474/	49592	47112
90	Sri Jiosh Bhuyan	Cleaning of Gopalpur Drain Phase 03 of PKD MPIY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	475 & 476/	49592	47112
91	Sri Jiosh Bhuyan	Cleaning of Gopalpur Drain Phase 04 of PKD MPIY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	477 & 478/	49592	47112
110	Sri Jiosh Bhuyan	Construction of main drain at back side of Laxmi Nagar of Paralakhemundi Mply	BRGF Interest Money	1st & Final Bill	571 & 572/ 30.12.2017	426000	395043
114	Sri K Rama Rao	Construction of Community Hall at Jagilli Street in Ward No. 01 of Paralakhemundi Municipality	SDP Grant - 2015-16	1st R/A Bill	604 & 605/ 08.01.2018	189351	175395
66	Sri Johan	Repair and	Water Bodies	1st R/A Bill	370 & 371/	281208	256773

	Gamanga	Restoration of Water Body at Dolo Tank road of PKD MPLY	Grant 2011-12		03.10.2017		
67	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of New Office Building of Paralakhemundi Municipality	Devolution fund 2015-16	2nd R/A Bill	376 & 377/ 06.10.2017	3946061	3714070
68	Sri Prafulla Kumar Patro	Construction of Road and Drain at back side of Big Bramhin Street of PKD MPLY	M V Tax - 2015-16	2nd & Final Bill	378 & 379/	122327	112394
69	Sri Paramananda Panda	Construction of Road and Drain near Siridi Sai Mandir at Victory Colony	R & B General 2015-16	1st & Final Bill	388 & 389/	487816	450202
70	Sri Pradeep Kumar Pujari	Construction of Road and Drain at Kirkiri Street of PKD MPLY	CCA 2016-17	1st & Final Bill	396 & 397/ 23.10.2017	198080	182649
71	Sri Sanoj Kumar Adhikiri	Repair of Culvert at Sinki Street of PKD MPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	399 & 400/	44950	41315
72	Sri Ghanashyam Behera	Construction of Inaugural Pedestal at New collectorate Building	Own Fund-2017-18	1st and Final Bill	401 & 402/ 23.10.2017	303584	297791
73	Sri Sankar Badoghar	Repair of Road with drain at Karana Street of PKD MPLY	M V Tax - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	404 & 405/ 31.10.2017	485494	447883
74	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Supplying, Fitting and Fixing of A1 Door, Window and Steel letter at Kalyanamandap of PKD Mply	NRB General Grant - 2015-16	2nd & Final Bill	413 & 414/	53897	51197
75	Sri M A Nausad	Repair of Municipal Quarter allotted to Sri Simanchlo, Zamader	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	418 & 419/	17458	16328
76	Sri M A Nausad	Colour Washing of Office Building of Paralakhemundi Municipality	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	420 & 421/	23323	21924
77	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Minor Repair of Palace Road from Janaki Silks to Sidheswar Dress	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	425 & 426/ 06.11.2017	97000	88361
78	Sri Pardeep Kumar Pujari	Minor Repair of Palace Road from Biswanth Function Hall to Budu Hotel	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	427 & 428/ 06.11.2017	97647	88919
79	Sri Ashok Kumar	Minor Repair of Palace road from Google Plaza to Baratlam Shopping Mahal	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	429 & 430/ 06.11.2017	98820	90030
80	Sri Ashok Kumar	Minor Repair of	Own Fund -	1st and Final Bill	431 &	98500	89696

		Palace road from Shakti Enterprises to Ambica Super Market	2017-18		432/06.11.2017		
135	Sri K Suri	Improvement of Dolo Tank road Phase IV (Keuta Street to middle of Keuta street and Nagarampalli Street of PKD MPLY	Maintenance of R & B Grant - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	702 & 703/06.03.2018	499456	460843
32	Sri Kishore Kumar Biswal	Construction of Drain at back side of Sundi Street	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & Final	160 & 161/13.06.2017	44582	39000
44	Sri Kollana Vasu	Construction of Bus Rest shed -A of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant 2011-12	2nd RA	237 & 238/28.07.2017	1303944	1227109
121	Sri Kollana Vasu	Renovation of Sankuri Tank of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant - 2011-12	1st R/A Bill	640 & 641/02.02.2018	1835041	1715603
85	Sri M A Nausad	Supplying of all materials and Labour, all the items for Celebration of LSG DAY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	444 & 445/13.11.2017	450875	423822
139	Sri Krushna Kumar Behera	Construction of boundary Wall of Sri Satya Narayan Rajuguru School of Paralakhemundi Municipality	MP LAD - 2012-13	2nd & Final Bill	746 & 747/19.3.18	31097	29195
87	Smt. Srimati Panda	Repair of road with drain at Gopin Street of PKD MPLY	M V Tax - 2016-17	1st R/A Bill	449 & 450/	804400	740758
26	Sri M A Nausad	Renovation of Kanjiahuda at Seri Street	Own fund 2017-18	1st & Final	116 & 117/27.05.2017	66540	59203
81	Sri M A Nausad	Cleaning of Dumping Yard Phase -01 of PKD MPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	436 & 437/13.11.2017	49560	47577
82	Sri M A Nausad	Cleaning of Dumping Yard Phase -02 of PKD MPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	438 & 439/	49700	47711
83	Sri M A Nausad	Cleaning of Dumping Yard Phase -03 of PKD MPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	440 & 441/	49700	47711

84	Sri M A Nausad	Cleaning of Dumping Yard Phase -04 of PKD MPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	442 & 443/	44538	42755
93	Smt. Sukumari Sabar	Construction of Repair of Parking Place at PLN Patro Quarter of PKD MPLY	CCA 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	486 & 487/ 24.11.2017	1058010	974724
95	Sri Prafulla Kumar Patro	Repair of Road at Middle of the Chandra Sekher Panda Street of PKD MPLY	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st and Final Bill	493 & 494/ 04.12.2017	30925	27801
96	Sri Deepa Chandan Bardhan	Restoration of Church in Ward No. 07 of PKD MPLY	MLA LAD 2011-12	2nd and Final Bill	496 & 497/	25473	23181
97	Sri Saroj Kumar Mahapatro	Drain Covering and Colour Washing at Atal Park of Paralakhemundi Municipality	Own Fund - 2017-18	1st R/A bill	500 & 501/	290176	273540
111	Sri M A Nausad	Renovation of main drain from Laxmi Cinema hall towards Seri jagilli St Phase 1 of PKD MPLY	14th F C GABG 2016-17	1st & Final Bill	573 & 574/ 30.12.2017	378965	352375
112	Sri M A Nausad	Renovation of main drain from laxmi Cinema hall towards Seri jagilli St Phase 2 of PKD MPLY	14th F C GABG 2015-16	1st & Final Bill	575 & 576/ 30.12.2017	700000	650591
49	Sri Pradeep Kumar Pujari	Construction of road and drain at back side of Arogya Street	14 FC GABG 16-17	1st & final	284 & 285/ 18.8.17	210256	194373
101	Sri Kalyanamu Appa Rao	Repair of Steps at Sakiliramudu Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	M V Tax 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	544 & 545/	99470	91231
102	Sri Kalyanamu Appa Rao	Construction of Drain at Sakiliramudu Street of Paralakhemundi Mply	M V TAX - 2016-17	1st R/A Bill	546 & 547/	52886	48225
103	Bhabani Sankar Panigrahi	Construction of line and sub station for providing powder supply at Kalyannmadap	14th F C (GABG) 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	548 & 549/	436321	414005
105	Smt. Srimati Panda	Repair of road with drain at Gopin Street of	M V TAX - 2016-17	2nd and Final Bill	561 & 562/ 30.12.2017	680838	625916

		PKD MPLY					
106	Sri Prafulla Kumar Patro	Repair of road and drain in front of Kashi hardware shop of PKD MPLY	M V TAX - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	563 & 564/ 30.12.2017	198144	182545
107	Sri Jalumuru Bhargav	Improvement of Dolo Tank road Phase 1 (Keuta Street to Tulashi Nagar 01 of PKD MPLY	MRB Grant - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	565 & 566/ 30.12.2017	974099	904823
108	Sri Prafulla Kumar Patro	Repair of road at Kumti Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	M V TAX - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	567 & 568/ 30.12.2017	273208	251640
45	Sri Prafulla Kumar Patro	Bush cutting and cleaning of Sankuri tank for level Section	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & final Bill	245 & 246/ 05.08.2017	41000	38950
62	Sri Priyadarshi Panigrahi	Improvement of road and drain at back side of Jangam street and Palace Phase II	14th F C (GABG) 2016-17	1st & final	361 & 362/ 2017-18	699990	649088
133	Sri Priyadarshi Panigrahi	Construction of road and drain at Laxminagar & Rajuguru Street back side of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14th F C Grant - 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	697 & 698/ 06.03.2018	999999	919364
18	Sri S Laxmi Prasad	Improvement of drain at back side of Jagannath vihar 1	Road Development Grant 15-16	1st & Final	72 & 73/ 09.05.2017	180000	158169
98	Sri Sankar Badoghar	Improvement of road from Nutty's corner towards Matia Street (Dolo tank road)"	14th F C (GABG) 2015-16	2nd & Final Bill	502 & 503/	155408	143858
64	Sri Sankar Bodoghor	Improvement of road from Nutty's corner towards Matia Street	14th F C (GABG) 2015-16	1st R/A Bill	366 & 367/ 2017-18	839691	783098
115	Sri Kalyanamu Appa Rao	Balance road and drain at Sakiliramudu Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	MCA Grant 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	615 & 616/ 15.01.2018	311762	285541
116	Sri Jikoniyo Sabaro	Construction of road from Batagoudo Chaka to Medical via Treasury Office of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Interest Money	1st and Final Bill	623 & 624/ 20.01.2018	1433100	1319373

117	Sri S Laxmi Prasad	Construction of C C road and drain at back side of Post Office Gali in W. No. 14 of Paralakhemundi Municipality	C C road - 2013-14	2nd and Final Bill	625 & 626/ 20.01.2018	106769	97325
118	Sri S Laxmi Prasad	Repair of road and drain at Head Post Office Gali (balance work) of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14 FC GABG 16-17	1st and Final Bill	627 & 628/ 20.01.2018	396052	364278
119	Sri S Laxmi Prasad	Improvement of road and drain at back side of Goudo Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14 FC GABG 16-17	1st R/A Bill	629 & 630/ 20.01.2018	267052	244115
120	Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of New Office Building of Paralakhemundi Municipality	Devolution Fund - 2015-16	3rd R/A Bill	631 & 632/ 20.01.2018	2068279	1941069
59	Sri Sanoj Ku Adhikari	Const of drain at hightech apartment PH-1	4th SFC 15-16	1st & final	321 & 322/ 19.09.2017	98149	89184
60	Sri Sanoj Ku	Const of drain at	4th SFC 15-16	1st & final	323 & 324/	98801	89852

	Adhikari	hightech apartment PH-2			19.09.2017		
123	Sri Kalyanamu Appa Rao	Beautification work of Kalyanmandap of Paralakhemundi Municipality	14 FC GABG 16-17	1st R/A Bill	644 & 645/ 05.02.2018	243419	226905
125	Sri M A Nausad	Construction of Road and drain at Bhabani Talkies Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	MCA Grant 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	650 & 651/ 12.02.2018	297121	271857
126	Sri Jagannath Prasad Mahapatro	Construction of Road and Drain near Dolo Tank in Ward No. 15 of Paralakhemundi Municipality	R & B General Grant - 2015-16	1st and Final Bill	673 & 674/ 22.02.2018	945063	871634
127	Sri Trinath Karai	Improvement of road and drain at Krushna Nagar - 2 of Paralakhemundi Municipality	13th F C Grant - 2013-14	1st and Final Bill	675 & 676/ 22.03.2018	540938	495136
61	Sri Sanoj Ku Adhikari	Const of drain at hightech apartment PH-3	4th SFC 15-16	1st & final	325 & 326/ 19.09.2017	99083	90157
129	Sri Jiosh Bhuyan	Improvement of Road and Drain at Gandhi Nagar - 2, Phase -01 of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant - 2013-14	1st and Final Bill	679 & 680/ 22.02.2018	666576	607584

130	Sri N China Rao	Construction of Road and Drain at Gandhi Nagar - 2 of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant - 2013-14	3rd and Final Bill	681 & 682/ 22.02.2018	47699	41464
131	Sri Johan Gamanga	Improvement of Road and Drain at Gandhi Nagar -1, Phase - III of Paralakhemundi Municipality	BRGF Grant - 2013-14	1st and Final Bill	683 & 684/ 22.02.2018	382741	350683
47	Sri Sanoj Kumar Adhikari	Shifting & Renovation of Maharaja Statue at Gandhi Junction of Paralakheimundi Municipality	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & Final Bill	253 & 254/ 09.08.2017	49943	47275
48	Sri Sanoj Kumar Adhikari	Colour wash and minor repair to maharaja samadhi for Utkal divas	Own Fund 2017-18	1st & final	280 & 281/ 17.8.2017	22670	21536
41	Sri Sanoj Kumar Adhikary	Construction of Childrens Park inside Maharaja Samadhi of PKD Mply	Devolution fund 12-13	2nd & Final Bill	184 & 185/ 28.06.2017	173176	148872
56	Sri Sukumari Sabar	Construction of road & Drain from main road towards jhami	14 FC GABG 16-17	1st & final	315 & 316/ 19.9.17	685090	636789

122	Sri Supriyo Narayana Mohapatro	Renovation of Patahaghar at Kobichandra Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	SPD 2014-15	1st R/A Bill	642 & 643/02.02.2018	159592	149595
137	Sri Trinath Karai	Construction of road and drain at Puniya Sahi of PKD MPLY	CCA Grant 2016-17	1st and Final Bill	706 & 707/06.03.2018	495380	452810
138	Sri Kodi Srinu	Restoration of Mandir at Bhavani Talkies Street of Paralakhemundi Municipality	MLA LAD - 2010-11	1st and Final Bill	726 & 727/17.3.18	50000	47048
15	Sukumari Sabar	Construction of drain at back side of Betala Temple colony	13 F C 15-16 & RD 15-16	1st & Final	66 & 67/ 2017-18	202000	177033

PARA: 16 AUDIT ON UNITS / DEPARTMENT

16.1 -	Nothing to Audit on Units/Department.

PARA: 17 AUDIT ON SCHEMES / PROGRAMMES

17.1 -	Thematic Audit on the Procurement of Goods/Equipment without following due Tender Process.

17.1.1: Scope:-

Verification of the Purchase/Procurement files of the Municipality for the last three years, i.e 2015-16,2016-17 & 2017-18 along with the Tender Files.

17.1.2: Objective:-

The objective of this thematic audit is to examine the records & ascertain if...

- i)Due tender procedures have been followed for procurement of high value goods/equipment..
- ii)Sanction from competent authority for purchase & floating of tender has been obtained as per the provisions of OGFR & Municipal Acts & Rules.
- iii)Purchase orders have been split up to avoid the necessity for obtaining the sanction of higher authority required with reference to the total amount of the orders.
- iv)Irregular purchases have been made according to previous years' tender without floating fresh tender.

17.1.3:Criteria:-

The criteria followed while examining the records are as follows:

- i)The Odisha Municipal Rules,1953(Rules 352 to 364)
- ii)The OGFR, Vol-I on purchase of stores(Rules 53,96,97 & 98)
- iii)Finance Department Guidelines for procurement of Goods vide O.M No.4939/F,dtd 13.02.2012.

17.1.4:Audit Findings:-

- (i) Purchase of goods without inviting tender process

As per Finance Department guide lines for procurement of goods vide OM No.4939 /F dt. 13-2-2012 vide para 11 it is suggested that, all the departments should procure goods whose estimated cost exceeds one lakh but within 05 lakhs through limited tender enquiry . As per .

Limited Tender Enquiry:

- i)This method may be adopted when estimated value of the goods to be procured is more than Rs.1 lakh(Rupees One Lakh) and less than Rs. 5 lakh (Rupees five lakh), copies of the bidding document should be sent directly by speed post/ registered post/ courier/ e-mail to the registered/approved firms ed for this purpose from the list prepared. The number of supplier firms to be approached for such Limited Tender Enquiry should be more than three. Wherever necessary, efforts should be made to identify a higher number of approved suppliers to obtain more responsive bids on competitive basis.
- ii)Sufficient time should be allowed for submission of bids in Limited Tender Enquiry cases.

But on verification of paid vouchers wrt purchase file relating to the year 2015-16,2016-17 and 2017-18 , it is noticed that the following procurement has been made by this Municipality although money value of the goods exceeds 01 lakh but does not increase 05 lakh inviting spot quotation rather than the tender process as discussed above.

Procurements made basing on tender of previous years without going for fresh tender during the current financial year.

Sl No	Date of procurement(Date of placing supply order)	Reference Vr No./Date	Item(s) Purchased	Amount of purchase(Rs)	Reference to the year in which the tender was finalized
1.	30.07.2015	300/11.08.2015	Electrical Accessories	3,85,900.00	2014-15
2.	13.01.2016	897/10.03.2016	Electrical Accessories	3,19,000.00	2014-15
3.	06.02.2017	773/14.03.2017	Electrical Accessories	4,18,600.00	2014-15

Irregularities detected in procurement of Electrical Accessories during the financial years 2015-16 & 2016-17.

- Ø i) As per FD OM No-4939/F 13.02.2012, copies of the bidding document should be sent directly by speed post/registered post/courier/e-mail to the registered approved firms(at least three nos) ed for this purpose from the list prepared. But same has not been done.
- Ø ii)No Quotation Call has been invited during the year 2015-16.
- Ø ii)For the financial year 2016-17, the Quotation Call should be invited before April-2017 i.e giving sufficient time for processing till the completion of the tender process. But the Quotation Call processing started on 14.12.2016. That is at the end of the year 2016-17.

Ø No news paper cutting has been attached in the procurement file. So Audit could not know, when the advertize published. And in how many papers, the advertize published. At a result, audit is in dark that, whether sufficient time has been given for the bidders or not. As per provision, advertize should be given in three nos of news papers, i.e in two odia daily & one in English that to at least before three weeks.

Ø In the year 2016-17 only one bidder named R.Dash,M/S Annapurna Electricals, Boriguma had applied. But his quotation call had been rejected due to delayed received i.e after the last date. Then order for supply had been given to Radha Agency,Gopini Street,Paralakhemundi. Here Audit questions that, on what basis, Radha Agency got the order to supply ? 1st mistake is that, decision has not been taken from the purchase committee. 2nd mistake is that, although Radha Agency had not participated in the quotation call process, at least he could have purchased the quotation papers. Then there would be a chance for Radha Agency to apply to supply the electrical goods at worst case i.e in urgency & exigency case. But the same has not been happened. Then under which authority the local authority was empowered to invite Radha Agency to supply ? Even if, any application on behalf of Radha Agency has not been received to supply electrical goods in 2016-17, which is proven by the silence of the order sheet & the electrical file as well. That means Radha Agency had not intention at all to supply in 2016-17 as per the demand of quotation call. Then what was necessary to invite him voluntarily ? Whether it is not a matter of favoritism or was there not any vested interest behind the deal ? Any way it is worth investigation.

Ø No irregularity found in procurement of goods during the financial year 2017-18.

During exit conference the local authority stated that Keeping in view of urgency & exigency & the then situational circumstances, the Municipality had been urged to procure the Electrical Materials that to as per the instruction of Council Body Meeting. Hence the sub para may be dropped. However the compliance furnished by the local authority without any documentary evidence is not sufficient to drop the para. So till approval of the same by the competent authority the entire amount of Rs.1123500.00 is kept under objection.

17.2 -

Scrutiny on MLALAD Scheme.

Members of Legislative Assembly Local Area Development fund (MLA LAD fund) has been introduced in Odisha since 1997-98 to increase the participation of local people and their representatives in the planning process. MLALAD fund is to be utilized for small but essential projects/works based on the felt needs of the local people. It is also meant for providing missing links between the 'Operational' to the 'Non-operational' plan assets for which funds cannot be provided under any other on-going programs.

On scrutiny of MLALAD scheme in this Municipality it is revealed that, there are as many 21 nos of projects have been sanctioned during the year 2017-18. Among them 15 nos of projects have been taken up & not a single project has been completed during the year. Anyway only four nos of projects along with an extension project(extra allotted fund) from spill over projects have been completed during the year. That to two nos of case records each are of the year 2010-11 & 2016-17 & a single case record of the year 2011-12. So, as only five nos of case records are to be scrutinized, so instead of preparing a general verification report, Audit went through the case records one by one & what ever found irregularities, furnished below.

Name of the Contractor	Name of the work	Grant	Bill	Vr.No & Date	E.C	Bill Amount	Completed in the Year	Remarks
Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of community hall near reserve office at Betaguda	MLA 11-12	1st & Final	129 & 130/ 06.06.2017	4 lack	68816	2017-18	5 years delayed
Sri A Chandra Sekhar Rao	Construction of community hall near reserve office at Betaguda	MLA Lad 2016-17	1st & Final	132 & 133/ 06.06.2017	2 lack	200000	2017-18	5 years delayed
Sri Chitta Ranjan Dash	Restoration of Durga prasad byamsala W. No. 03	MLA LAD 2016-17	1st & Final	101 & 102/ 22.05.2017	2 lack	200000	2017-18	No Delay
Sri Deepa Chandan Bardhan	Restoration of Church in Ward No. 07 of PKD MPLY	MLA LAD 2010-11	2nd and Final Bill	496 & 497/	2 lack	25473	2017-18	5 years delayed
Sri Kodi Srinu	Restoration of Mandir at Bhavani Talkies Street of Paralakhemundi	MLA LAD - 2010-11	1st and Final Bill	726 & 727/ 17.3.18	0.5lack	50000	2017-18	5 years delayed

Municipality

1) Name of the work-Const of Community Hall at Betaguda near Reserve Office

Head of account-MLALAD-2011-12

Estimated Cost-Rs4,47,000.00

Revised E.C-Rs4,00,000.00

Vr No-582 & 583/2016-17(1st R/A), Vr No-129 & 130/2017-18(2nd & Final R/A)

MB No-289, Pg No-01 to 19 & 154 to 162

Agency-A Chandra Shekhar Rao

J.E-Ganesh Prasad Patra

M.E-Sri Sanjeeb Champati

Study of Provision, Procedure, Norms & Conditions w.r.t. Guideline & OPWD Code.

This is a construction work of Community Hall under MLALAD- 2011-12 of Rs4,47,000.00.

The estimate of Rs 4,47,000.00 was prepared by the JE & placed for approval on 12.07.2012 which got technical approval by ME on 12.07.2012 & administrative approval by EO & Chairman on 17.07.2012.

The plan & estimate was submitted to the DPO-Gajapati vide It no-3493/21.07.2012 for approval. But the same was rejected by the DPO vide It no-616/21.08.2012 objecting that, the recommendation amount was Rs4,00,000.00 in stead of Rs4,47,000.00.

Then a Revised Estimate of Rs4,00,000.00 was prepared by the JE. After getting administrative approval from EO & Chairman, the estimate resubmitted to the DPO vide It no-4444/11.10.2012 & got approval vide It no-860/08.11.2012.

Drawback Point detected by Audit:- Unnecessary three months delay due to communication gap between Municipality Office & the office of the Hon'ble MLA.

A recommendation letter received from Hon MLA to issue Work Order to A.Chandra Shekhar Rao on dtd 15.11.2012.

The EO signed the work order on dtd 16.11.2012 & same was issued to the agency vide letter no-92/08.01.2013 directing to complete the project within 4 months.

Drawback Point detected by Audit:- EO signature on the work order on 16.11.2012 but the issue date on 08.01.2013. Unnecessary delay of 53 days.

The agency did not started the work for a long period nearly about one year although starting period is maximum 7 days.

Drawback Point detected by Audit:- No disciplinary action has been taken by the authority against the agency as per OPWD Code. Unnecessary delay of one year.

Then ME reported the matter on 18.01.2014 i.e. after elapsing one year. Chairman came to know on 20.01.2014 & ordered to issue notice to the agency to start the work. Notice issued to the agency vide It no-205/21.01.2014.

Drawback Point detected by Audit:- Spot/Physical verification authority are JE & ME. They have not informed it till one year.

Still the agency did not started the work lingering more & more refuting & rebuffing the order.

In the mean time, what happened, about that, the case record is silent.

Drawback Point detected by Audit:- Anyway no disciplinary action has been taken by the authority against the agency as no communication letters or any order sheet has been maintained in the case record. So killing of more than two years time period.

After elapsing more than two year, one letter received from the Hon MLA vide It no-Res/203/24.06.2016 complaining that, work has not been started yet & instructed to take necessary formalities to start the work immediately after issue of fresh work order. But about the fresh work order, the case record is silent.

From the order sheet it is revealed that, the same agency A.Chandra Sekhar Rao started the work on 22.07.2016. The 1st R/A bill was prepared by the JE as on 10.11.2016 & 2nd R/A & final bill was prepared as on 24.05.2017.

The Estimated cost was Rs4,00,000.00. and the final bill touched to Rs4,00,000.00.

Drawback Point detected by Audit:- In this way, the project got delayed more than 4 years.

Comparative statement between Agreement & Actual work done.(Matrix-I)

Sl No	Name of the Item	As per Agreement			As per Bill form			Excess	Less	Deviation
		Qty(cum)	Rate(Rs)	Amount(Rs)	Qty(cum)	Rate(Rs)	Amount(Rs)			
1	Earthwork	62.96	49.17	3095.74	54.89	49.17	2698.94	-	396.80	
2	Sand work	57.17	202.26	11563.20	31.99	202.26	6470.30		5092.91	
3	CC(1:3:6)	7.42	2807.33	20830.39	14.87	2807.33	41745.00	20914.61		
4	CC(1:1.5:3)									
5	Foundation	11.23	4959.45	55694.62	17.22	4959.45	85401.73	29707.11		
	Lintel Beam	1.88	6798.62	12781.41	0.00	6798.62	0.00		12781.41	
	Column & Roof Beam	6.97	7466.23	52039.62	7.42	7466.23	55399.43	3359.80		
	Roof Slab	9.48	6794.89	64415.56	3.85	6794.89	26160.33		38255.23	
	Chaja	6.75	511.63	3453.50	0.00	511.63	0.00		3453.50	
6	Suppling fitting HYSD bar...	9.17Q	4956.52	45451.29	25.38Q	4956.52	125796.48	80345.19		
7	RRHG stone masonry...	12.74	1543.18	19660.11	36.62	1543.18	56511.25	36851.14		
8	WBCB Brick work...	20.42	2075.84	42388.65	0.00	2075.84	0.00		42388.65	
9	12mm thick cement plaster(1:3)..	102.35sqm	56.59	5791.99	0.00	56.59	0.00		5791.99	
10	16mm thick cement plaster(1:6)..	138.01	75.95	10481.86	0.00	75.95	0.00		10481.86	
11	White washing..	240.36sqm	7.20	1730.59	0.00	7.20	0.00		1730.59	
12	Supplying Fitting of MS Door Window...	766.50	65.00	49822.50	0.00	65.00	0.00		49822.50	
13	Painting....	21.90	20.44	447.64	0.00	20.44	0.00		447.64	
14	Display Board & others..	1.00	500.00	500.00	1.00	500.00	0.00		500.00	
				400148.68			400183.45	171177.85	171143.07	
			Limited to	400000.00			400000.00	171143.03	171143.07	

Study of Specification, Quantity, Rate, Amount w.r.t. Estimate & Bill Form.

If one verify the above matrix, one can notice that, from sl no- 01 to 07 the work has been done except chaja. And the total work done touched to the hilt of Rs4,00,000.00 which is equal to the estimated cost Rs4,00,000.00. Further the excess amount is equal to the less amount. That means wherever excess amount was needed or in less amount the work could be managed, the work has been done accordingly. So it proves that, no extra fund is required for the items from sl no- 01 to 07 except chaja work. In other words, the works from sl no-01 to 07 is all round complete except Chaja work.

Then another thing is worth noting that, the rate allowed in the estimate, which was prepared in 2011-12 is exactly same as the rate allowed in the bill form which has been prepared in 2016-17 & 2017-18. Work done item lessened but rate remained same. That means over all quantity must be increased in the items from sl no-01 to 07 which is outright violation of estimate. Then Audit questions that, what is the necessary of making an estimate ? Because estimate maintains proportion. At a result equilibrium of the project is maintained & longevity increases. Then another thing is worth noting that, the work has to completed within 4 months. That means within 2011-12 or max within early 2012-13. But the project was continued till May-2017. In the mean time, the laborer cost as well as the material cost have been increased a lot. Then the agency executed the work in loss ?? Very ridiculous

Then Audit questioned that, what about the rest items(sl no-08 to 14) which are incomplete. Because nothing is mentioned in the case record. In response to audit, the local authority replied that, another Rs200000.00 has been sanctioned under MLALAD-2016-17 to complete the same. Then Audit discovered that case record & tried to relate both case records & what ever found is furnished below.

II)Extension project

Name of the work-Const of Community Hall at Betaguda near Reserve Office

Head of account-MLALAD-2016-17

Estimated Cost-Rs2,00,000.00

Vr No-132 & 133/2017-18(1st R/A & Final)

MB No-289,Pg No-163 to 171

Agency-A Chandra Shekhar Rao

J.E-Ganesh Prasad Patra

M.E-Sri Sanjeeb Champati

Study of Provision, Procedure, Norms & Conditions w.r.t. Guideline & OPWD Code.

The official order received from DPO vide It no-341/25.07.2016 for submission of feasibility report under SDP-2016-17. The plan & estimate was prepared by the JE & got both technical & administrative approval on 10.01.2017 & the same was submitted to DPO vide It no-693/09.03.2017. The work order issued to Sri A.Chandra Shekhar Rao as per the recommendation of Hon'ble MLA on 23.03.2017. Agreement was done on the same date 23.03.2017. The work was completed on 23.05.2017.

Comparative statement between Agreement & Actual work done.(Matrix-II)

Sl No	Name of the Item	As per Agreement			As per Bill form			Excess	Less	Deviation
		Qty(cum)	Rate(Rs)	Amount(Rs)	Qty(cum)	Rate(Rs)	Amount(Rs)			
1	CC(1:1.5:3) work									
	Roof Beam	4.36	11687.33	50956.76	4.52	11687.33	52826.73	1869.97		
	Roof Slab	8.03	9871.40	79267.34	9.86	9871.40	97332.00	18064.66		
2	Supplying fitting of HYSD bar...	12.39Qntl	5477.99	67872.30	14.73Qntl	5477.99	80690.79	12818.50		
3	Display Board etc...	1.00	2000.00	2000.00	1.00	4500.00	4500.00	2500.00		
				200096.40			235349.53			
					Deduction		35302.43			
			Limited to	200000.00		Limited to	200000.00			

Drawback Point detected by Audit:- Comparing both matrix it is transparent that, from SI No-01 to 07 in matrix-I, all items have been completed all round except chaja work. That means Earth works, Sand work, Foundation work, CC works, Lintel work, Beam work, Column work, Slab work, HYSD bar & RRHG Stone masonry work have already done completely. Only Brick work, Plaster work, white washing, Door Window, Painting & Display board works remained to perform which are to be done in the extra allotted fund of Rs2,00,000.00. But it is a matter of surprise that, the CC works & HYSD bar works have again done & the undone works have not been done which can be noticed from matrix-II. So the execution of the project seems mysterious. How can one trust that, irregularity has not been done ? Rather great irregularity in this concern can not be denied. So the total project is comes under scanner.

In response to Audit objection memo no-94/18.07.2018, the local authority replied that, actually the project could not completed within that cost of Rs 6,00,000.00. So another fund of Rs3,00,000.00 had been sanctioned underSDP-2017-18 to complete the same and the undone works detected by the Audit have been completed all round & the final bill also passed in the financial year 2018-19.

Here Audit advised that, any project must not delayed unnecessarily because time to time rate of the labourer, materials increases. At a result, the cost of project increases. So unnecessary delay is directly propertional to loss of fund. Hence the local authority is advised to ponder over it & take effective steps to curb the delay.

17.3 -

Target and Achievement:

Various Developmental schemes like BRGF, Road Devp, MV Tax, MPLAD, MLALAD and 13th FCA etc were being implemented in this Municipality. The main object of the scheme was to provide cent percent government facilities to the public. So that the migration of labourers to other state could be curtailed. Further creation of durable assets in urban area for communication and to provide domestic houses to urban BPL families through slum houses scheme and enhancing livelihood of urban poor. With this aim and object of holistic programmes or schemes a meager percentage achieved which is not satisfactory. Hence the local authority is advised to take effective steps to achieve cent percent against the target fixed in AAP and to provide government facilities to the public in time. The target and achievement of various development schemes of this Municipality are given below.

Name of the Scheme	Financial Achievement						Physical Achievement					
	OB	Funds received during the year 2017-18	Total fund available	Expenditure	Unspent balance at the end of the year	Percentage of expenditure to that of available fund	No of spill over projects from previous years	No of projects planned for the current year as per annual action plan	Total	No of projects completed during the year	No of spill over projects to the next year	Percentage of achievement
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
BRGF	48286346.55	0.00	48286346.55	14690279.00	33596067.55	30.42	19	0	19	19	0	100.00
M V Tax	7753010.00	3579000.00	11332010.00	4278137.00	7053873.00	37.75	11	7	18	8	10	44.44
Maintenance of R & B General	1998932.00	2411000.00	4409932.00	4953499.00	-543567.00	112.33	0	3	3	0	3	0.00
Devolution Funds	14093259.00	12172000.00	26265259.00	6410389.00	19854870.00	24.41	1	18	19	1	18	5.26
14th F C Basic Grant	12100000.00	18672000.00	30772000.00	12984215.00	17787785.00	42.19	27	14	41	15	26	36.59
Maintenance of Capital Assets (4th SFC)	0.00	906000.00	906000.00	608883.00	297117.00	67.21	5	1	6	4	2	66.67
Creation of Capital Assets	0.00	1898000.00	1898000.00	1751470.00	146530.00	92.28	3	1	4	3	1	75.00

NRB (G) Cremation Ground	1287019.00	474000.00	1761019.00	536110.00	1224909.00	30.44	1	1	2	1	1	50.00
13th F C Grant	31213088.00	0.00	31213088.00	7042133.00	24170955.00	22.56	7	0	7	5	2	71.43
4TH SFC	2862885.00	0.00	2862885.00	1930999.00	931886.00	67.45	6	0	6	4	2	66.67
MLA LAD	3546218.00	6185000.00	9731218.00	544199.00	9187019.00	5.59	8	21	29	9	20	31.03
MP LAD	1932522.00	500000.00	2432522.00	680987.00	1751535.00	28.00	3	1	4	2	2	50.00
C C Road	5302175.00	0.00	5302175.00	106769.00	5195406.00	2.01	3	0	3	1	2	33.33
SDP Grant	473501.00	1000000.00	1473501.00	1013713.00	459788.00	68.80	5	1	6	3	3	50.00
Devolution Funds (TOWN Hall)	17285000.00	0.00	17285000.00	0.00	17285000.00	0.00	1	0	1	0	1	0.00
SUH	0.00	1600000.00	1600000.00	0.00	1600000.00	0.00	0	1	1	0	1	0.00
Children Park	225738.00	0.00	225738.00	0.00	225738.00	0.00	1	0	1	0	1	0.00
AWC	0.00	1400000.00	1400000.00	0.00	1400000.00	0.00	0	2	2	0	2	0.00
SBM	7854593.00	1244850.00	9099443.00	940300.00	8159143.00	10.33	1459	259	1718	537	1181	31.26

PARA: 18 MISCELLANEOUS

18.1 - Lack Of response/compliance to last and previous Audit Reports -												
<p>The outstanding para of last and previous Audit reports of last five years are awaiting for settlement due to non submission of compliance report. In reply the local Authority stated that steps would be taken for submission of compliance report at an early date .Although this Municipality is supported with full staff strength but no sincere steps are being taken by the local authority to attend the audit report and submission of compliance to the proper authority .</p> <p>Therefore the local authority is suggested to submit the para wise compliance of last Audit reports with a copy of council resolution to proper quarter failing which the very purpose Audit would be defeated.</p>												
18.2 - Non Maintenance of Compliant/grievance register-												
<p>No separate Compliant/grievance register has been maintained by this Municipality .The position of Compliant/grievance received and disposed during the year 2017-18 has been sought by Audit through POM No dated vide page , the local authority could not furnish the following information regarding Compliant/grievance position for the year 2017-18</p> <p>1)Complaints pending for disposal at the beginning of the year</p> <p>2)Complaints received during the year</p>												

3)Complaints disposed off during the year

4)Complaints pending for disposal at the end of the year

Due to non maintenance of Compliant/grievance register ,the audit could not ascertain the actual position of action taken against the problem faced by the civic people.

Therefore the executive Officer is suggested to maintain the grievance register as per prescribed format to overcome the problem faced by the urban citizen in day to day life.

18.3 - Non Conduct of periodical Inspection-

As per Rule 111 of OM Rule 1953 ,a physical verification of all stores should be made at least once in every year by the head of the office concerned or such other officer as may be specially authorized by him in this behalf.

During scrutiny of electrical and sanitation stock register it is noticed that thorough out the year 2017-18 ,the physical verification of the stocks are not made .Due to non conduct of the physical verification the dealing assistant in charge of the stock maintained the stock in haphazard manner and the loss of the stock and store could not be avoided .

Therefore the local authority suggested to conduct regular periodical inspection as per OM rule and compliance reported.

18.4 - Non-conduct of Annual verification of pensioners

As per MBPY Rules 2008 and NSAP guidelines, the annual verification of beneficiaries sanctioned and paid shall be conducted by the competent Authority by 30th April and 30th June of each year for MBPY and NSAP beneficiaries respectively. The verification was to be done to identify and the ineligible and dead beneficiaries and recommended/approve eligible new beneficiaries from the priority list maintained.

Further, the social audit in each year by 30th September shall also be conducted to identify the grievances if any on the scheme and steps taken thereon for due redressal and also to ensure the timely payment to the eligible identified pensioners without any harassment and hardship; The points that have arisen, if any, in the District Vigilance Monitoring Committee along with their Action taken reports may please be furnished to Audit.

Scrutiny of records, Audit observed that annual verification of beneficiaries sanctioned and paid were not conducted by the competent Authority by 30th April and 30th June each year for MBPY and NSAP beneficiaries respectively. The verification was to be done to identify and the ineligible and dead beneficiaries and recommended/approve eligible new beneficiaries from the priority list maintained. Further audit observed that due to non review undisbursed pension, authenticity of payment made by the concerned assistants to actual beneficiaries were doubtful. This apart, Audit found that thumb impressions were not identified and certified by the distributing officers during the period 2017-18

Further, it was noticed that no records were maintained by the Municipality for conducting any awareness campaign organized through public addressed system for identifying of eligible beneficiaries. Thus it was observed that ion of beneficiaries and monitoring of the distribution of the benefits were not properly conducted by the Municipality.

18.5 - Vehicles purchased and kept idle-

No such vehicle or equipment is kept idle or has been purchased without requirement. Hence no comments.

19.1 -

Loan:-

The position of Loan basing on the previous Audit Report furnished below.

Sl No	Purpose of Loan	Rate of Int	Loan Outstanding as on 01.04.2017	Loan disbursed during the year 2017-18	Int accrued during the year 2017-18	Total	Principal paid during the year 2017-18	Int paid during the year 2017-18	Balance as on 31.03.2018
1	Water Supply	unknown	140625.00	0.00	0.00	140625.00	0.00	0.00	140625.00
2	NSDP	19%	1643272.19	0.00	312221.71	1955493.90	0.00	0.00	1955493.90
3	NSDP	19%	2385399.03	0.00	453225.81	2838624.84	0.00	0.00	2838624.84
4	Total		4169296.22	0.00	765447.52	4934743.74	0.00	0.00	4934743.74

As per Rule 149 of O.M. Rules 1953 a Loan register should be maintained in Form No. XXVII. Further Rule 150 envisages that, the Loan amount shall not be appropriated even temporarily to any object other than that for which the loan was raised. Any Loan register has not been maintained in this Municipality. As per previous audit report it is seen that a total sum of Rs.4169296.22. has been pending for repayment. Sincere steps may be taken to re-payment the outstanding Loan amount of Rs.4934743.74 as on 31.03.2018 prior to be penalized. The present position of Principal and interest as on 31.3.2018 supported with relevant file could not be produced to audit in spite of issue objection memo as any no ledger is maintained in this Municipality. In response to audit objection no reply is furnished. However E.O. is advised to trace out the exact position of Loan and compliance reported to audit.

During exit conference the local authority assured to repay all the balance outstanding loan during 18-19 after verification of concerned files. Hence the local authority is advised for in-time repayment of outstanding loan and compliance reported.

19.2 - CPF position of Municipal staff

In accordance with Rule 436 of O.M. Rule 1953 every council shall maintain and administrate a provident fund as per rule 442 of O.M. Rules 1953. A provide fund ledger in Form No.P.F.5. is to be kept in the Municipality Office. As per Rule 449 of O.M. Rules, 1953 the provident fund ledger, Abstract register, cash book and other records of the fund shall be examined monthly by the Executive Officer. But neither the CPF ledger nor any abstract register nor cash book has been maintained in this municipality. On issue of audit objection memo in this regards the local authority replied that CPF ledger, abstract register and the cash book have not maintained since long but the CPF pass books. Basing on the figures of last audit report and entries made in the CPF pass books the actual position of CPF of Municipal staff for the year 2017-18 is furnished below.

C.P.F.Position of staff of paralakhemundi municipality for the year 2017-18.								
SL NO	NAME OF EMPLOYEES	Account Number(U.B.I; PKD)	O.B as on 01.04.2017	Deposited in 2017-18	Interest	Total	Withdrawl in 2017-18	C.B. as on 31.03.2018
1	Sri Podal Rabi	406902010007346	29119	52740	1809	83668	40000	43668
2	Sri N. Abhimanyu	406902010007359	55889	63993	2061	121943	35000	86943
3	Sri Podal Kishore	406902010007350	170970					0
4	Sri Podal Ganesh	406902010007352	36348	55924	1521	93793	20000	73793
5	Smt N.	406902010007	50909	49320	1743	101972	30000	71972

	Saraswati	353						
6	Smt Guralu Radha	406902010007 360	30345	55940	1648	87933	30000	57933
7	Smt Guralu Lalita	406902010007 358	56258	63540	1979	121777	30000	91777
8	Smt Podal Kamala	406902010007 365	119442	44334	5071	168847	0	168847
9	Smt Nag Anjali	406902010007 363	44461	36743	1635	82839	25000	57839
10	Smt N. Sukumari	406902010007 374	89899	60240	4124	154263	60000	94263
11	Smt N. Mariyama	406902010007 380	62110	70740	3505	136355	0	136355
12	Smt N. Kannama	406902010007 379	64451	60840	3057	128348	60000	68348
13	Smt N. Rasalu	406902010007 381	47368	69140	1916	118424	35000	83424
14	Sri N. Babudhan	406902010007 382	56736	63424	2797	122957	0	122957
15	Sri Guralu Raju	406902010007 395	50128	68124	1593	119845	55000	64845
16	Sri Podal Janu	406902010007 387	108376	41748	4589	154713	0	154713
17	Smt B. Appayama	406902010007 388	25259	65824	1560	92643	30000	62643
18	Smt N. Kanna	406902010007 389	46110					0
19	Smt Guralu Segudu	406902010007 385	172431	11600	6793	190824	0	190824
20	Sri H. K. Nagabansa	406902010007 366	69755	56906	3059	129720	85000	44720
21	Smt Podal Parvati	406902010007 367	58512	50096	2746	111354	0	111354
22	Smt J. Purnama	406902010007 368	109915	29522	4569	144006	70000	74006
23	Sri Amulya Padal	406902010597 266	15038	26527	972	42537	0	42537
24	Smt p. kanaka	406902010597 265	30702	29756	1084	61542	30000	31542
25	Sri S. Ballabho	406902010597 267	39936	10872	1670	52478	0	52478
26	Smt Podal Nirmala	406902010007 393	31118	61844	1761	94723	35000	59723
27	32Smt N. Chilikama	406902010007 369	54864	55179	2338	112381	40000	72381
28	Sri P Simanchal	406902010007 370	35134	55308	1464	91906	25000	66906
29	Sri Bada Krishna	406902010007 371	34342	54332	1426	90100	25000	65100
30	Smt N. Sukundi	406902010007 372	41601	51058	1974	94633	35000	59633
31	Sri Podal Nanu	406902010007 373	63201	36772	2645	102618	0	102618
32	Sri S Koteswar Rao	406902010007 307	86139	18400	3162	107701	75000	32701
33	Sri H K Palaka	406902010007 310	82849	47798	3189	133836	50000	83836
34	Sri Aliya Sabara	406902010007 311	30707	89998	2420	123125	0	123125
35	Sri G S behera	406902010007 325	101369	51278	2806	155453	105000	50453
36	Sri G D Khanja	406902010007 314	156456	36614	6442	199512	0	199512
37	Sri A Durga Prasad	406902010007 315	52727	78848	3003	134578	0	134578
38	Sri Brundaban Pani	406902010007 313	19723	23654	948	44325	15000	29325

39	Sri Y Gaddaya	406902010007 317	106785	36154	4470	147409	0	147409
40	Sri V Laxman Rao	406902010007 318	70128	43298	1840	115266	90000	25266
41	Sri S Ch Panigrahi	406902010007 319	159494	15798	6281	181573	100000	81573
42	Sri M K satapathy	406902010007 320	40950	60058	1963	102971	30000	72971
43	Sri B B Rout	406902010007 324	52048	69857	1805	123710	65000	58710
44	Sri G C Behera	406902010007 312	51741	98932	3017	153690	60000	93690
45	Sri P Durga Rao	406902010007 327	81181	74196	2675	158052	90000	68052
46	Sri P K Behera	406902010007 328	74679	24556	2825	102060	60000	42060
47	Sri S N Mahankuda	406902010007 330	50097	70630	1677	122404	65000	57404
48	Smt Hemalata mishra	406902010007 331	52665	64154	2033	118852	70000	48852
49	T Hemalata	406902010007 332	139921	42950	5822	188693	100000	88693
50	Sri Balmiki Barik	406902010007 333	8012	62796	1028	71836	20000	51836
51	Sri B Bimudu	406902010007 334	80982	73496	2573	157051	85000	72051
52	Smt Subasini Mahankuda	406902010007 335	78147	23596	2947	104690	60000	44690
53	Sri Bansidhar Patanaik	406902010007 337	135629	50070	3582	189281	140000	49281
54	Sri P Sankar Rao	406902010007 338	73989	13655	2940	90584	0	90584
55	Sri N Muralimohan Rao	406902010007 340	141159	35932	5884	182975	0	182975
56	Sri N Simanchal	406902010007 341	37156	8964	1964	48084	0	48084
57	Sri R P Jena	406902010007 342	69567	10362	3122	83051	0	83051
58	Sri P K Lima	406902010007 343	32183	53848	1564	87595	35000	52595
59	Sri B P Mishra	406902010596 657	56345	9198	2262	67805	0	67805
60	Sri Fakira Behera	406902010596 654	20693	35292	1247	57232	0	57232
61	Sri V linga Raju	406902010596 653	48297	8010	1939	58246	0	58246
62	Smt Kuntala Ku Panda	406902010596 656	48297	8010	1939	58246	0	58246
63	Smt S K Subudhhi	406902010596 655	48297	8010	1939	58246	0	58246
64	Sri R K Bebarta	406902010596 661	28330	39810	1121	69261	18000	51261
65	Sri P K Sahu	406902010597 925	40159	8068	1623	49850	0	49850
66	Sri P.K.Samal	406902010598	45692	0	45692	0	45692	45692
67	Sri G.P.Patra	406902010599 190	70370	0	70370	0	70370	70370
	Total		4373690	2848676	7222366	7056385	5094366	5094366

SD/E.M.D):-

As per Rule 141 of the Odisha Municipal Rules, 1953 a "Deposit ledger" (Form no.XX) and as per Rule 143 of the Odisha Municipal Rules, 1953 at the close of every quarter a list of outstanding deposits (Form no.-XXI) are to be maintained. But no such deposit register has been maintained in this Municipality. Also at the close of every quarter, a list of outstanding deposits has not been maintained. Instead of repeated objection raised in last and previous audit neither the S.D./EMD Ledger nor cash book thereof has been maintained. On issue of audit objection memo in this score the local authority replied that "the S.D. ledger has not been maintained since long". Hence once again advised to the local authority to ensure the maintenance of S.D. Ledger to avoid double payment in future. However basing on figure of last audit report the actual position of deposit (SD/EMD) for the year 2017-2018 is furnished below;

OB as on 01.04.2017	SD/EMD collected during the year	Total	SD/EMD refunded during the year	CB as on 31.03.2018
12295223.00	426060.00	12721283.00	247013.00	12474270.00

19.4 - Non remittance of Govt. Dues.Royalty,VAT,Cess,IT

Rules 6 of OTC Volume (1) & Rule 4 of OGFR stipulates that, all moneys received /realized on behalf of Govt Dues, should be deposited in full into Treasury within 3 days of its receipt/realization. Keeping the Govt. money or revenue outside the Treasury is highly irregular and not permissible. But on scrutiny of the accounts of Paralakhemundi Municipality, it is found that, an amount of Rs733555.00 as Govt. dues, which were deducted from the work bills remained in the Municipality account without being remitted to Govt. Account or Treasury as on 31.03.2018. So the Local Authority is advised to deposit the Govt Dues without further delay as there is much need of funds for developmental works. An abstract position of Royalty, Cess,VAT & IT collected, deposited & to be deposited during the year 2017-18 has been worked out by Audit given below

	Royalty	VAT	CESS	IT	PT
O.B as on 01.04.2017	83060.00	471683.00	57413.00	9399.00	112000.00
Collected during the year	796425.00	626869.00	541155.00	658682.00	108275.00
Total	879485.00	1098552.00	598568.00	668081.00	220275.00
Deposited during the year	796425	626869.00	541155.00	658682.00	108275.00
To be deposited as on 31.03.2018	83060.00	471683.00	57413.00	9399.00	112000.00

During exit conference the local authority assured to deposit all the balance govt. dues during 18-19 after verification of concerned files. However the local authority is advised for in-time deposit of Govt., dues and compliance reported.

PARA: 20 RESULT OF AUDIT AND CONCLUSION

20.1 - General Remarks-

Maintenance of account of the Municipality is poor as per remark offered in the foregoing paragraph. The internal sources of income are too low in comparison with the expenditure as well as budget provision.

20.2 - General Remarks

As a result of this Audit a sum of Rs6153600.00 is held under objection which includes Rs362685.00 is suggested for recovery .

20.3 - Recommendation-

- 1.The head of the office of the Municipality suggested to inspect the own office regularly so that the internal control among the staff would be strengthen.
- 2.The local authority is suggested to review the last and previous audit reports and Inspection Reports so that the drawback points in account would be ascertained as the AR is the mirror of the account.
3. The local Authority is suggested to give emphasis about enhancement of own revenue by suitable planning.
4. The local authority is suggested to adhere the budgetary provision so that the financial condition of Municipality would be improved.
5. Old outstanding Advances need to be recouped on pilot basis .
- 6.The local authority is suggested to appraise the council to implement the new assessment of holding taxes.
- 7.Local Authority is suggested to chalk out a suitable plan to accelerate the spending efficiency of Grants for the intended purpose by submitting the UC to proper quarter so that further grant from Govt cannot be avoided.

Audit Suggestion :-

For strengthening of the financial position and enforcing financial discipline of the Municipality may follow the suggestions given below-

- 1)collection of long outstanding taxes and other dues through special drive .
- 2). Enforcement of provision led U/s 161,162,163 & 201 of the Odisha Municipal Act,
- 3). Survey of new holdings by collecting data from different sources like from electric deptt. on new electricity connections within the Municipality area,
- 4.) Assessment of new holdings .
- 5). Revaluation of old assessed cases, if any,
- 6). Utilization of Grants under Public conveyance (which was remain unutilized and the asset may be created.
- 7.) Intra district transfer system among the tax collectors of the Municipality may be adopted by Govt.in HUD
- 8.) Suitable cadre may be created among the executive officers of the Municipality

As a result of this Audit transactions involving a sum of Rs 6153600.00 are held under objection which include an amount of Rs 362685.00 suggested for recovery. Besides, a sum of Rs 55289 was recovered at the instance of audit. The details are furnished in the following tables.

Result Of Audit

Sl No	Paragraph No.	Amount suggested for recovery(In Rs:)	Amount kept under objection including amount suggested for recovery (In Rs:)	Amount Surchargeable(In Rs:)	Amount Embezzlement(In Rs:)	Amount Othercases(In Rs:)	Remarks
1	8.1	198500.00	198500.00	198500.00	0.00	0.00	
2	9.1	0.00	59841.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	13.2	0.00	256650.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	13.3	0.00	11000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	13.4	0.00	972804.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	14.1	14000.00	14000.00	0.00	0.00	14000.00	
7	14.2	3906.00	3906.00	0.00	0.00	3906.00	
8	14.5	1100.00	1100.00	0.00	0.00	1100.00	
9	14.6	11000.00	11000.00	0.00	0.00	11000.00	
10	14.7	0.00	167200.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
11	14.8	0.00	1958600.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
12	14.12	0.00	1241320.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
13	15.2	5389.00	5389.00	5389.00	0.00	0.00	
14	15.3	9696.00	9696.00	9696.00	0.00	0.00	
15	15.4	11120.00	11120.00	11120.00	0.00	0.00	
16	15.5	7165.00	7165.00	7165.00	0.00	0.00	
17	15.6	2000.00	2000.00	2000.00	0.00	0.00	
18	15.7	1320.00	1320.00	1320.00	0.00	0.00	
19	15.8	4156.00	4156.00	0.00	0.00	4156.00	
20	15.9	4559.00	4559.00	4559.00	0.00	0.00	
21	15.10	7454.00	7454.00	7454.00	0.00	0.00	
22	15.11	3500.00	3500.00	3500.00	0.00	0.00	
23	15.12	4422.00	4422.00	0.00	0.00	4422.00	
24	15.13	302.00	302.00	302.00	0.00	0.00	
25	15.14	1153.00	1153.00	1153.00	0.00	0.00	
26	15.15	2633.00	2633.00	2633.00	0.00	0.00	
27	15.16	1100.00	1100.00	1100.00	0.00	0.00	
28	15.17	1405.00	1405.00	0.00	0.00	1405.00	
29	15.18	5010.00	5010.00	5010.00	0.00	0.00	
30	15.19	2963.00	2963.00	2963.00	0.00	0.00	
31	15.20	2082.00	2082.00	0.00	0.00	2082.00	
32	15.21	1347.00	1347.00	1347.00	0.00	0.00	
33	15.22	2842.00	2842.00	2842.00	0.00	0.00	
34	15.23	486.00	486.00	486.00	0.00	0.00	
35	15.24	3740.00	3740.00	3740.00	0.00	0.00	
36	15.25	3558.00	3558.00	0.00	0.00	3558.00	
37	15.26	1713.00	1713.00	1713.00	0.00	0.00	
38	15.27	18150.00	18150.00	18150.00	0.00	0.00	
39	15.28	9850.00	9850.00	9850.00	0.00	0.00	
40	15.29	6392.00	6392.00	6392.00	0.00	0.00	
41	15.30	8672.00	8672.00	8672.00	0.00	0.00	
42	17.1	0.00	1123500.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total		362685.00	6153600.00	317056.00	0.00	45629.00	

Spot Recovery

Sl No	Ref to Para No/Audit Objection Statement Page No	M.R.No	Date	Amount(In Rs:)	Name of the person
1			0000-00-00	0	
2			0000-00-00	0	
3			0000-00-00	0	
4			0000-00-00	0	
5			0000-00-00	0	
6			0000-00-00	0	
7			0000-00-00	0	
8			0000-00-00	0	
9			0000-00-00	0	
10			0000-00-00	0	
11			0000-00-00	0	

12			0000-00-00	0	
13			0000-00-00	0	
14			0000-00-00	0	
15			0000-00-00	0	
16			0000-00-00	0	
17	14.9	83/73	2018-12-24	1300	Brundaban Pani, OTS
18	14.9	83/74	2018-12-24	600	Yerukola Gadaaya, OTS
19	14.9	90/3	2018-12-26	1500	Aliya Sabar, OTS
20	14.4	90/27	2019-01-15	1031	Ganesh Prasad Patra, JE
21	14.3	90/29	2019-01-15	500	H.K.Nagabansa, Sweeper
22	12.1	90/5	2018-12-27	592	P.Durga Prasad Rao, Driver
23	11.12	83/69	2018-12-24	18060	Brundaban Pani, OTS
24	11.11	83/99	2018-12-26	1727	A. Durga Prasad, Cashier-cum-OTS
25	11.10	83/68	2018-12-24	5222	Brundaban Pani, OTS
26	11.9	83/98	2018-12-26	5730	A.Durga Prasad-Cashier-cum-OTS
27	11.8	83/100	2018-12-26	500	Manoj Kumar Satpathy, BC
28	11.6	83/97	2018-12-26	570	Alia Sabar, OTS
29	11.7	83/96	2018-12-26	200	Suresh Chandra Panigrahi, OTS
30	11.5	83/70	2018-12-24	860	Yerukola Gaddaya, OTS
31	11.2	90/4	2018-12-27	979	Pradeep Kumar Lima, Jamadar
32	11.3	90/1	2018-12-26	5640	Vallam Dethy Laxman Rao, OTS
33	11.4	90/2	2018-12-26	3441	Vallam Dethy Laxman Rao, OTS
34	11.1	83/95	2018-12-26	6837	Girish Chandra Behera, Peon
Total				55289	

Audit Certificate

Cetrified that the accounts of Paralakhemundi Municipality for the financial year 2017-2018 have been covered under audit and found correct subject to the comments / remarks offered in the foregoing paragraphs .

District Audit Officer
Local Fund Audit,GAJAPATI